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#### Abstract

The paper deals with an integrodifferential operator which models numerous phenomena in superconductivity, in biology and in viscoelasticity. Initialboundary value problems with Neumann, Dirichlet and mixed boundary conditions are analyzed. An asymptotic analysis is achieved proving that for large $t$, the influences of the initial data vanish, while the effects of boundary disturbances are everywhere bounded.


Keywords: initial-boundary problems for higher order parabolic equations; Laplace transform; superconductivity; FitzHugh-Nagumo model.
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## 1 Introduction

If $u=u(x, t)$, let us consider the following integrodifferential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} u \equiv u_{t}-\varepsilon u_{x x}+a u+b \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} u(x, \tau) d \tau=F(x, t, u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon, a, b, \beta$ are positive constants, $x$ denotes the direction of propagation and $t$ is the time. According to the meaning of $F(x, t, u)$, equation (11) describes the evolution of several linear or non linear physical models. For instance, when $F=f(x, t)$, (1) is related to the following linear phenomena:

- motions of viscoelastic fluids or solids [1-4];

[^0]- heat conduction at low temperature [5-7],
- sound propagation in viscous gases [8].

When $F=F(x, t, u)$, some non linear phenomena involve equation (11) both in superconductivity and biology.

- Superconductivity - Let $u$ be the difference between the wave functions phases of two superconductors in a Josephson junction. The equation describing tunnel effects is the following one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon u_{x x t}-u_{t t}+u_{x x}-\alpha u_{t}=\sin u-\gamma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where constant $\gamma$ is a forcing term proportional to a bias current, while the $\varepsilon$ - term and the $\alpha$-term account for the dissipative normal electron current flow, respectively along and across the junction [9, 10 .

Equation (2) can be obtained by (1) as soon as one assumes

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\alpha-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad b=-\frac{a}{\varepsilon}, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t, u)=-\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(t-\tau)}[\operatorname{sen} u(x, \tau)-\gamma] d \tau \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, when the case of an exponentially shaped Josephson junction (ESJJ) is considered, the evolution of the phase inside this junction is described by the third order equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x x}-\lambda \partial_{x}\right)\left(\varepsilon u_{t}+u\right)-\partial_{t}\left(u_{t}+\alpha u\right)=\sin u-\gamma, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a positive constant generally less than one and the terms $\lambda u_{x t}$ and $\lambda u_{x}$ represent the current due to the tapering junction. In particular $\lambda u_{x}$ corresponds to a geometrical force driving the fluxons from the wide edge to the narrow edge. 10 -12 An (ESJJ) provides several advantages with respect to a rectangular junction ( 14 and reference therein). For instance, in [11] it has been proved that it is possible to obtain a voltage which is not chaotic anymore, but rather periodic excluding, in this way, some among the possible causes of large spectral width. It is also proved that the problem of trapped flux can be avoided. Numerous applications and devices involve Josephson junctions, for example SQUIDs which are very versatile and can be used in a lot of fields. (see f.i. [15] and references therein).

Moreover, if $u=e^{\lambda x / 2} \bar{u}$, (5) turns into an equation like (2) and hence into (1).

- Biology - Let us consider the FitzHugh-Nagumo system (FHN) which models the propagation of nerve impulses. [16]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-v+f(u)  \tag{6}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=b u-\beta v
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, $u(x, t)$ models the transmembrane voltage of a nerve axon at a distance x and time t , while $v(x, t)$ is an auxiliary variable acting as a recovery variable. Besides, the function $f(u)$ has the qualitative form of a cubic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=-a u+\varphi(u) \quad \text { with } \quad \varphi=u^{2}(a+1-u), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $\varepsilon, b, \beta$ are non negative and the parameter $a$, representing the threshold constant, is generally $0<a<1$. (see f.i. 17 and references therein)

Denoting by $v_{0}$ the initial value of v , system (6) (7) can be given the form of the integrodifferential equation (1) as soon as one puts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t, u)=\varphi(u)-v_{0}(x) e^{-\beta t} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, initial value problems with Neumann, Dirichlet and mixed boundary conditions for (1) are considered. By means of properties of the fundamental solution $K_{0}(x, t)$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$, appropriate estimates are obtained. The function $K_{0}(x, t)$ has already been determined and analyzed in [18] and an analysis related to a Neumann boundary problem has been conducted in [19. The aim of this paper is an asymptotic analysis for the initial boundary value problem both with Dirichlet conditions and with mixed conditions. These cases involve $x$-derivative of theta functions $\theta(x, t)$ and $\theta^{*}(x, t)$ which are determined in Section (3). So, effects of boundary perturbations can be evaluated by means of a well known theorem on asymptotic behavior of convolutions. As an example, according to the equivalence between operator $\mathcal{L}$ and the FHN system, an estimate of the solution related to the reaction-diffusion system (6) is obtained proving that, for large $t$, effects determined by boundary disturbance are bounded.

## 2 Some Models of Superconductivity and Biology

Let $T$ be an arbitrary positive constant and

$$
\Omega_{T} \equiv\{(x, t): 0 \leq x \leq L ; 0<t \leq T
$$

(I) A first example is related to Neumann boundary conditions (NBC)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{L} u=F(x, t, u), & (x, t) \in \Omega_{T}  \tag{9}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in[0, L] \\
u_{x}(0, t)=\psi_{1}(t), & u_{x}(L, t)=\psi_{2}(t),
\end{array}\right) 0<t \leq T
$$

In superconductivity, this problem occurs when the magnetic field, proportional to the phase gradient, is assigned [20,21]. In mathematical biology, it can refer to a two-species reaction diffusion system subjected to flux boundary conditions [16. The same conditions are present in case of pacemakers [22] and are applied also to study distributed (FHN) systems [23] or to solve FHN systems by means of numerical calculations [24].
(II) Another example concerns Dirichlet boundary conditions (DBC)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{L} u=F(x, t, u), & (x, t) \in \Omega_{T}  \tag{10}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in[0, L] \\
u(0, t)=g_{1}(t), \quad u(L, t)=g_{2}(t), & 0<t \leq T
\end{array}\right.
$$

In superconductivity, (10) 3 refer to the phase boundary specifications [12 14. In excitable systems these conditions occur when the behavior of a single dendrite has to be determined and the voltage level is fixed [22] or when the pulse propagation in a continuum of heart cells is studied [22,25]. Besides, the Dirichlet problem is also considered to determine universal attractors both for Hodgkin-Huxley equations and for FHN systems, [26] and for stability analysis and asymptotic behavior of reaction-diffusion systems solutions, 27-31, or in hyperbolic diffusion [32].
(III) At last, mixed boundary conditions (MBC) as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{L} u=F(x, t, u), & (x, t) \in \Omega_{T}  \tag{11}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in[0, L] \\
u(0, t)=h_{1}(t), & u_{x}(L, t)=h_{2}(t), & 0<t \leq T
\end{array}\right.
$$

occur in many physical examples both in superconductivity (see,f.i. [33] and references therein) and in biology, as shown in [16, 22]. In particular, in [34, mixed boundary conditions are considered in order to give qualitative information concerning both the threshold problem and the asymptotic behavior of large solutions for the FHN system.

When $F=f(x, t)$ is a linear function, problems (9)-(11) can be solved by Laplace transformation with respect to $t$. Let $z(x, t)$ be an arbitrary function admitting Laplace transform $\hat{z}(x, s)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{z}(x, s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s t} z(x, t) d t=\mathcal{L}_{t} z \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Referring to the parameters $a, \beta, b, \varepsilon$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=s+a+\frac{b}{s+\beta}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}^{2}=\sigma^{2} / \varepsilon \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we denote by $\theta(x, s)$ and $\theta^{*}(x, s)$ the following Laplace transforms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\theta}(y, \tilde{\sigma})=\frac{\cosh [\tilde{\sigma}(L-y)]}{2 \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sinh (\tilde{\sigma} L)}=  \tag{14}\\
=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma}\left\{e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[e^{-\frac{2 n L+y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}+e^{-\frac{2 n L-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}\right]\right\} \\
\hat{\theta}^{*}(y, \tilde{\sigma})=\frac{\sinh [\tilde{\sigma}(L-y)]}{2 \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \cosh (\tilde{\sigma} L)}=  \tag{15}\\
=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma}\left\{e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e^{-\frac{4 n L+y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}+e^{-\frac{4 n L-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e^{-\frac{2 n L+y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}+e^{-\frac{2 n L-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sigma}\right)\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the Laplace transform solutions of the linear problems (9)-(11) can be obtained by means of standard techniques and it results:

- Formal solution for initial boundary problem with (NBC)

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{u}(x, s)= & \int_{0}^{L}[\hat{\theta}(|x-\xi|, s)+\hat{\theta}(|x+\xi|, s)]\left[u_{0}(\xi)+\hat{f}(\xi, s)\right] d \xi  \tag{16}\\
& -2 \varepsilon \hat{\psi}_{1}(s) \hat{\theta}(x, s)+2 \varepsilon \hat{\psi}_{2}(s) \hat{\theta}(x-L, s)
\end{align*}
$$

- Formal solution for (DBC)

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{u}(x, s)= & \int_{0}^{L}[\hat{\theta}(|x-\xi|, s)-\hat{\theta}(x+\xi, s)]\left[u_{0}(\xi)+\hat{f}(\xi, s)\right] d \xi-  \tag{17}\\
& -2 \varepsilon \hat{g}_{1}(s) \hat{\theta}_{x}(x, s)+2 \varepsilon \hat{g}_{2}(s) \hat{\theta}_{x}(x-L, s)
\end{align*}
$$

- Formal solution for (MBC)

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{u}(x, s)= & \int_{0}^{L}\left[\hat{\theta}^{*}(x+\xi, s)-\hat{\theta}^{*}(|x-\xi|, s)\right]\left[u_{0}(\xi)+\hat{f}(\xi, s)\right] d \xi+  \tag{18}\\
& -2 \varepsilon \hat{h}_{1}(s) \hat{\theta}_{x}^{*}(x, s)+2 \varepsilon \hat{h}_{2}(s) \hat{\theta}^{*}(L-x, s) .
\end{align*}
$$

## $3 \quad K_{0}(x, t)$ and $\theta(x, t)$ Properties

The Neumann boundary value problem has already been solved in 19. Let us consider now cases (II) and (III).

Let $K_{0}(x, t)$ be the fundamental solution of the linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ defined in (11). It has already been determined in [18 and one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}(r, t)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \varepsilon}}\left[\frac{e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4 t}-a t}}{\sqrt{t}}-\sqrt{b} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4 y}-a y}}{\sqrt{t-y}} e^{-\beta(t-y)} J_{1}(2 \sqrt{b y(t-y)}) d y\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=|x| / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and $J_{n}(z)$ is the Bessel function of first kind. Function $K_{0}$ has the same basic properties of the fundamental solution of the heat equation, and in the half-plane $\Re e s>\max (-a,-\beta)$ it results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{t} K_{0} \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s t} K_{0}(r, t) d t=\frac{e^{-r \sigma}}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is defined in (13) ${ }_{1}$.
Among other properties, in [18] the following estimates have been proved:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Re}\left|K_{0}(x-\xi, t)\right| d \xi \leq e^{-a t}+\sqrt{b} \pi t e^{-\omega t} \quad \int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{\Re}\left|K_{0}(x-\xi, t)\right| d \xi \leq \beta_{0}  \tag{21}\\
\left|K_{0}\right| \leq \frac{e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4 t}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi \varepsilon t}}\left[e^{-a t}+b t E(t)\right] \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

where constants $\omega, \beta_{0}$ and $E(t)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega=\min (a, \beta), \quad \beta_{0}=\frac{1}{a}+\pi \sqrt{b} \frac{a+\beta}{2(a \beta)^{3 / 2}}  \tag{23}\\
E(t)=\frac{e^{-\beta t}-e^{-a t}}{a-\beta}>0
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, denoting by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}(r, t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} K_{i-1}(x, \tau) d \tau \quad(i=1,2) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

kernels $K_{1}(x, t)$ and $K_{2}(x, t)$ have the same properties of $K_{0}(x, t)$. Hence, the following theorem holds [18]:

Theorem 3.1 For all the positive constants $a, b, \varepsilon, \beta$ it results:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Re}\left|K_{1}\right| d \xi \leq E(t) ; \quad \int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{\Re}\left|K_{1}\right| d \xi \leq \beta_{1}  \tag{25}\\
\int_{\Re}\left|K_{2}(x-\xi, t)\right| d \xi \leq t E(t) \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=(a \beta)^{-1}$.
In order to obtain inverse formulae of (17) and (18), let us apply (20) to (14) (15). Then, one deduces the following functions which are similar to theta functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(x, t)= & K_{0}(x, t)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[K_{0}(x+2 n L, t)+K_{0}(x-2 n L, t)\right] \\
& =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} K_{0}(x+2 n L, t)  \tag{27}\\
\theta^{*}(x, t)= & 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} K_{0}(x+4 n L, t)-\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} K_{0}(x+2 n L, t) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Some of the properties of function $\theta(x, t)$ have already been evaluated in [19. Precisely, denoting by $C=2 \varepsilon \pi^{2} /\left(6 e L^{2}\right)$ and letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon \omega}}+\frac{b \omega^{-3 / 2}}{4 \sqrt{\varepsilon}|a-\beta|}\left[1+\frac{C}{b}|a-\beta|+\frac{3 C}{2 \omega}\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $\theta(x, t)$ function, defined in (27), satisfies the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{L}|\theta(|x-\xi|, t)| d \xi \leq(1+\sqrt{b} \pi t) e^{-\omega t}  \tag{30}\\
\int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{0}^{L}|\theta(|x-\xi|, t)| d \xi \leq \beta_{0} ; \quad \int_{0}^{\infty}|\theta(x, \tau)| d \tau \leq C_{0} \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, it results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(x, t)=0 ; \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} \theta(x, \tau) d \tau=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon \sigma_{0}} \frac{\cosh \sigma_{0}(L-x)}{\sinh \left(\sigma_{0} L\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}=\sqrt{\left(a+\frac{b}{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$.
Furthermore, as for $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}$, from (19), it is well-rendered that the x derivative of the integral term vanishes for $x \rightarrow 0$, while the first term represents the derivative with respect to $x$ of the fundamental solution related to the heat equation. So, by means of classic theorems (see,f.i. [35] p. 60), conditions (10) 3 are surely satisfied.

Moreover, one has:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{x}(x, \tau) d \tau=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{\sinh \sigma_{0}(x-L)}{\sinh \left(\sigma_{0} L\right)} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{x}^{*}(x, \tau) d \tau=-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sigma_{0}(L-x)}{\cosh \left(\sigma_{0} L\right)} \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4 Asymptotic Behaviours

When the source term $F=f(x, t)$ is a prefixed function depending only on $x$ and $t$, then, initial boundary value problems (10) (11) are linear and can be solved explicitly. Moreover, when $F=F(x, t, u)$ depends also on the unknown function $u(x, t)$, then these problems admit integral differential formulations and one has:

- Integro differential equation for problem (10) (DBC):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u(x, t)=\int_{0}^{L}[\theta(|x-\xi|, t)-\theta(x+\xi, t)] u_{0}(\xi) d \xi- \\
2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{x}(x, t-\tau) g_{1}(\tau) d \tau+2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{x}(x-L, t-\tau) g_{2}(\tau) d \tau  \tag{34}\\
+\int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{0}^{L}[\theta(|x-\xi|, t-\tau)-\theta(x+\xi, t-\tau)] F(\xi, \tau, u(x, \tau)) d \xi
\end{array}
$$

- Integro differential equation for (11) (MBC):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u(x, t)=\int_{0}^{L}\left[\theta^{*}(|x-\xi|, t)-\theta^{*}(x+\xi, t)\right] u_{0}(\xi) d \xi- \\
2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{x}^{*}(x, t-\tau) h_{1}(\tau) d \tau+2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \theta^{*}(L-x, t-\tau) h_{2}(\tau) d \tau  \tag{35}\\
+\int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{0}^{L}\left[\theta^{*}(|x-\xi|, t-\tau)-\theta^{*}(x+\xi, t-\tau)\right] F(\xi, \tau, u(x, \tau)) d \xi
\end{array}
$$

Now, if $\mathcal{B}_{T}$ denotes the Banach space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{T} \equiv\left\{z(x, t): z \in C\left(\Omega_{T}\right),\|z\|=\sup _{\Omega_{T}}|z(x, t)|,<\infty\right\} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $D$ is the following set:

$$
D \equiv\left\{(x, t, u):(x, t) \in \Omega_{T},-\infty<u<\infty\right.
$$

then, let us assume the source term $F(x, t, u)$ be defined and continuous on $D$ and uniformly Lipschitz continuous in $(x, t, u)$ for each compact subset of $\Omega_{T}$. Besides, let $F$ be a bounded function for bounded $u$ and there exists a constant $C$ such that:

$$
\left|F\left(x, t, u_{1}\right)-F\left(x, t, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq C\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right| .
$$

So, by means of standard methods related to integral equations and owing to basic properties of $K_{0}$, it is possible to prove that the mappings defined by (34) (35) are a contraction of $\mathcal{B}_{T}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{T}$ and so they admit a unique fixed point $u(x, t) \in \mathcal{B}_{T}$. [35, 36]

In order to enable a quicker reading, attention will be paid only to the initial boundary value problem with Dirichlet conditions. However, all the following analysis can be applied to the mixed problem,too.

At first, let us consider $g_{i}=0(i=1,2)$ and let

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|=\sup _{0 \leq x \leq L}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|, \quad\|F\|=\sup _{\Omega_{T}}|F(x, t, u)| .
$$

In [18] the following theorem has been proved:
Theorem 4.1 When $g_{i}=0 \quad(i=1,2)$, solution (34), for large $t$, verifies the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x, t)| \leq 2\left[\|F\| \beta_{0}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|(1+\sqrt{b} \pi t) e^{-\omega t}\right], \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\min (a, \beta)$ and $\beta_{0}$ is defined by $(23)_{2}$.
As for contributes of boundary data, the well known theorem will be considered 37]:

Theorem 4.2 Let $h(t)$ and $\chi(t)$ be two continuous functions on $[0, \infty[$. If they satisfy the following hypotheses

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exists \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \chi(t)=  \tag{38}\\
\chi(\infty), \quad \exists \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)=h(\infty),  \tag{39}\\
\dot{h}(t) \in L_{1}[0, \infty)
\end{gather*}
$$

then, it results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{o}^{t} \chi(t-\tau) \dot{h}(\tau) d \tau=\chi(\infty)[h(\infty)-h(0)] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to this, it is possible to state:
Theorem 4.3 Let $g_{i}(i=1,2)$ be two continuous functions converging for $t \rightarrow \infty$. In this case one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{x}(x, \tau) g_{i}(t-\tau) d \tau=g_{i, \infty} \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{\sinh \sigma_{0}(x-L)}{\sinh \sigma_{0} L} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}=\sqrt{\left(a+\frac{b}{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$.
Proof. Let us apply (40) with $h=\int_{0}^{t} \theta_{x}(x, \tau) d \tau$ and $\chi=g_{i}(i=1,2)$. Then, (41) follows by (33) 1 .

## 5 An Example: Estimate for the FitzHugh Nagumo System

When $u(x, t)$ is determined, by means of (6), the $v(x, t)$ component is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=v_{0} e^{-\beta t}+b \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} u(x, \tau) d \tau \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

To achieve the expression of the solution $(u, v)$, let us denote by $f_{1} * f_{2}$ the convolution

$$
f_{1}(\cdot, t) * f_{2}(\cdot, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f_{1}(\cdot, t) f_{2}(\cdot, t-\tau) d \tau
$$

So that, referring to Dirichlet conditions, if

$$
G(x, \xi, t)=\theta(|x-\xi|, t)-\theta(x+\xi, t),
$$

and denoting by $N(x, t)$ the following known function depending on the data $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
N(x, t)=-2 \varepsilon g_{1}(t) * \theta_{x}(x, t)+  \tag{43}\\
+2 \varepsilon g_{2}(t) * \theta_{x}(x-L, t)+\int_{0}^{L} u_{0}(\xi) G(x, \xi, t) d \xi-e^{-\beta t} * \int_{0}^{L} v_{0}(\xi) G(x, \xi, t) d \xi
\end{gather*}
$$

it results:

$$
\begin{align*}
v(x, t)= & v_{0} e^{-\beta t}+b e^{-\beta t} * N(x, t) \\
& \left.\left.+b e^{-\beta t} * \int_{0}^{L} G(x, \xi, t-\tau) * \varphi[\xi, \tau, u(\xi, \tau)]\right]\right\} d \xi \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

So, the asymptotic effects due to initial disturbances are vanishing, while the effects of the source terms are bounded. Indeed, letting

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|=\sup _{0 \leq x \leq L}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|, \quad\left\|v_{0}\right\|=\sup _{0 \leq x \leq L}\left|v_{0}(x)\right|,
$$

and

$$
\|\varphi\|=\sup _{\Omega_{T}}|\varphi(x, t, u)|
$$

by means of (8) (34) and (44) and owing to the estimates (21) 1 , (25), (26), the following theorem holds:

Theorem 5.1 For regular solution $(u, v)$ of the (FHN) model, when $g_{1}=g_{2}=0$, the following estimates hold:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
|u| \leq 2\left[\left\|u_{0}\right\|(1+\pi \sqrt{b} t) e^{-\omega t}+\left\|v_{0}\right\| E(t)+\beta_{0}\|\varphi\|\right]  \tag{45}\\
|v| \leq\left\|v_{0}\right\| e^{-\beta t}+2\left[b\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|+t\left\|v_{0}\right\|\right) E(t)+b \beta_{1}\|\varphi\|\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

As for the asymptotic effects of boundary perturbations $g_{1}, g_{2}$ by means of (41), when $u_{0}=0$ and $F=0$, one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.u=g_{1, \infty} \frac{\sinh \sigma_{0}(L-x)}{\sinh \sigma_{0} L}+g_{2, \infty} \frac{\sinh \sigma_{0} x}{\sinh \sigma_{0} L} \right\rvert\,,  \tag{46}\\
v=\frac{b}{\beta}\left[g_{1, \infty} \frac{\sinh \sigma_{0}(L-x)}{\sinh \sigma_{0} L}+g_{2, \infty} \frac{\sinh \sigma_{0}(x)}{\sinh \sigma_{0} L}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 6 Remarks

- The paper is concerned with the nonlinear integral equation (1) whose kernel is a Green function with numerous basic properties typical of the diffusion equation.
- Neumann, Dirichlet and mixed boundary conditions are considered, and integro differential formulations of non linear problems are obtained.
- The asymptotic behavior for initial boundary value problem with Dirichlet conditions is evaluated, showing that effects due to initial disturbances vanish, while the influences of the source term and boundary perturbations are everywhere bounded.
-The analysis related to Dirichlet conditions can be applied to mixed problem, too. Indeed, like $\theta(x, t)$, also the Green function $\theta^{*}(x, t)$ defined in (15) depends on the fundamental solution $K_{0}$.
- The equivalence among equation (11) and numerous models allow us to apply asymptotic theorems to many other problems related to various physical fields.
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#### Abstract

In the paper a new class of uncertain differential equations based on the possibility theory is introduced. It is argued that this class is well-suited for modeling uncertain dynamic processes when the uncertainty has a non-probabilistic nature, or when the available statistical information is not sufficient for constructing a reliable stochastic model. The problems of existence and uniqueness of solutions of the proposed equations are studied and a numerical method for their solution is provided.
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## 1 Introduction

The methods of (quantitative) possibility theory [7, 10, 11, 20 allow one to estimate the level of possibility of some event with respect to possibilities of other events on the basis of subjective opinions of experts. These methods are useful for reasoning about uncertain processes and phenomena in cases when the lack of statistical information does not allow one to apply probabilistic methods, or when uncertainty has a non-probabilistic nature. The applications such as prognostication of social-economic phenomena, medical diagnostics, modeling of human-machine systems, etc. often require differential equations with uncertainty in the structure and/or parameters. However, in these applications the available statistical information is often rather limited or unreliable (because of absence of repetitions of the studied phenomena under the same conditions). Therefore, it is reasonable to apply non-probabilistic uncertainty theories (e.g. possibility theory) in such cases [4,20].

[^1]However, to the best of our knowledge, in the context of possibility theory a theory of uncertain differential equations has not been developed in the literature. In contrast, in the context of L. Zadeh's fuzzy set theory, fuzzy differential equations were studied extensively [2, 3, 8, 13-16, 19]. Such studies often consider either ordinary differential equations with fuzzy parameters [15], or equations of the evolution of a membership function [2, 12, 14, 15]. Although these approaches sometimes provide an alternative to stochastic modeling, they have some drawbacks. Differential equations with fuzzy parameters do not allow one to describe uncertain dynamic changes in the law of evolution (right-hand side of equation), because fuzzy parameters do not depend on time. The equations of evolution of membership function are not direct generalizations of ordinary differential equations. In most applications differential equations describe an evolution of the state of a system, but it is not obvious how to convert a state equation into an equation describing evolution of a membership function.

In this paper we propose a different approach to modeling of uncertain dynamics, which is based on possibility theory. We argue that it addresses the disadvantages of fuzzy differential equations described above.

Our class of possibilistic differential equations is based on the notion of a possibilistic walk process. Such equations can be considered as possibilistic analogs of stochastic Ito equations which have a wide range of applications in stochastic modeling. We will study the problems of existence and uniqueness of solutions of these equations and provide a numerical method for their solution.

## 2 Preliminaries

We will use the following framework of (quantitative) possibility theory 4, 7. Let $X$ be a non-empty set of elementary events and $(X, \mathbf{A}), \mathbf{A} \subseteq 2^{X}$ be a measurable space. The elements of $\mathbf{A}$ are called (compound) events.

Definition 2.1 A possibility measure is a function $P: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}\right)=\sup _{i \in I} P\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

for any collection $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of elements of $\mathbf{A}$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i} \in \mathbf{A}$.
Definition 2.2 A necessity measure is a function $N: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_{i}\right)=\inf _{i \in I} N\left(A_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any collection $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of elements of $\mathbf{A}$ such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_{i} \in \mathbf{A}$.
Definition 2.3 A possibility space is a tuple $(X, \mathbf{A}, P, N)$, where $P$ and $N$ are respectively a possibility and necessity measure on the measurable space $(X, \mathbf{A})$.

Definition 2.4 A possibility space $(X, \mathbf{A}, P, N)$ is called regular, if $P(X)=1$, $N(X)=1$, and $N(A)=1-P(\neg A)$ for all $A \subseteq X$ (where $\neg A$ denotes the complement of a set $A \subseteq X)$.

Definition 2.5 A possibility space $(X, \mathbf{A}, P, N)$ is called complete, if $\mathbf{A}=2^{X}$ (the power set of $X$ ).

The assumptions of the regular possibility space are rather standard and are used in many works on possibility theory [11,20]. It was shown in the work [5] that a regular possibility space ( $X, \mathbf{A}, P, N$ ) can be embedded in some complete regular possibility space $\left(X, 2^{X}, P^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)$, where $P^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime}$ are extensions of $P$ and $N$. For this reason, in this article we will consider only complete regular possibility spaces.

Let us fix a complete regular possibility space $\left(X, 2^{X}, P, N\right)$ and denote

$$
X_{\alpha}=\{x \in X \mid P(\{x\})>\alpha\}
$$

for each $\alpha \in[0,1]$. In particular, $X_{0}$ is the set of elementary events which have non-zero possibility.

Let $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0,+\infty)$ and $T$ be a finite or infinite interval in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Under our assumption of completeness of the possibility space we will use the following terminology:

- A possibilistic variable is a (total) function $\xi: X \rightarrow Y$; if $Y=\mathbb{R}$, then $\xi$ is called a scalar possibilistic variable; if $Y=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (where $d$ is a natural number), then $\xi$ is called a vector possibilistic variable.
- The distribution of a possibilistic variable $\xi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping $\mu_{\xi}: Y \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\mu_{\xi}(y)=P\{x \in X \mid \xi(x)=y\}$.
- Possibilistic variables $\xi_{k}: X \rightarrow Y_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, m$ are called non-interactive (independent), if the distribution $\mu_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{m}}$ of the vector possibilistic variable $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\mu_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, . ., \xi_{m}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)=\min \left\{\mu_{\xi_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right), \mu_{\xi_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right), \ldots, \mu_{\xi_{m}}\left(u_{m}\right)\right\}
$$

for all $u_{1} \in Y_{1}, u_{2} \in Y_{2}, \ldots, u_{m} \in Y_{m}$.

- A possibilistic process is a (total) function $p: T \times X \rightarrow Y$; if $Y=\mathbb{R}$, then $p$ is called a scalar process; if $Y=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then $p$ is called a vector process.
- A trajectory of a possibilistic process $p: T \times X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping $t \mapsto p(t, x)$ for a fixed $x \in X$.
- the distribution of a process $p: T \times X \rightarrow Y$ is a function $F_{p}: 2^{T \rightarrow Y} \rightarrow[0,1]$, where

$$
F_{p}(q)=P(\{x \in X \mid \forall t \in T p(t, x)=q(t)\})
$$

for each function $q: T \rightarrow Y$, i.e. $F_{p}(q)$ is a possibility of the event " $q$ is a trajectory of $p$ ".

- An $\alpha$-trajectory of $p$ (where $\alpha \in[0,1)$ ) is a function $q: T \rightarrow Y$ such that $F_{p}(q)>\alpha$, i.e. $q$ is a trajectory of $p$ with a possibility level greater than $\alpha$.

We will abbreviate $P(\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{pred}(\xi(x))\})$ as $P\{\operatorname{pred}(\xi)\}$, where $\operatorname{pred}$ is some predicate. For example, $P\{\xi=y\}$ will denote $P(\{x \in X \mid \xi(x)=y\})$. Also, we will usually omit the second argument (elementary event) of a possibilistic process. For example, $P\{p(t)=1\}$ will denote $P(\{x \in X \mid p(t, x)=1\})$.

We will denote by $\|$.$\| the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Definition 2.6 [4]. A possibilistic variable $\xi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is called normal, if its distribution has a form

$$
\mu_{\xi}(y)=\varphi\left(\left\|\Xi^{-1 / 2}\left(y-y_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a monotonically decreasing function such that $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(u)=0$ and $\varphi(0)=1, y_{0}$ is a constant vector (mean value), $\Xi$ is a positive-definite matrix (covariance-like matrix).

Definition 2.7 [4/20]. A possibilistic walk process $w: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a possibilistic process such that:

1. $w$ has non-interactive increments, i.e. for any time moments $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<$ $t_{n+1}$, the possibilistic variables $w\left(t_{i+1}\right)-w\left(t_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$ are non-interactive.
2. For each $t_{0} \geq 0, t>t_{0}, y, y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ the transition possibility has a form

$$
P\left\{w(t)=y, w\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}\right\}=\varphi\left(\frac{\left\|\Xi^{-1 / 2}\left(y-y_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}}{t-t_{0}}\right)
$$

where $\Xi$ (a covariance-like matrix of $w$ ) is a positive-definite matrix, and $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$ (a distribution function of $w$ ) is a monotonically decreasing function such that $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(u)=0$ and $\varphi(0)=1$.
3. $w(0, x)=0$.

Possibilistic walk processes can be considered as analogs of stochastic Wiener processes. The existence of a possibilistic walk process was established in [6], where it was proved that for any $\varphi$ such that $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(u)=0, \varphi(0)=1$ and for any positive-definite matrix $\Xi$ there exists a possibility space and a possibilistic walk process $w$ such that $\varphi$ is a distribution function of $w$ and $\Xi$ is a covariance-like matrix of $w$.

## 3 Main Result

Let $w$ be a scalar possibilistic walk process with $\Xi=1$ and a distribution function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow[0,1]$. Let $D$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (where $d \geq 1$ ), and $a: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $b: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be continuous mappings. Let $\left(t_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times D$.

We will use the following lemma to construct our class of possibilistic differential equations:

Lemma 3.1 [6]. For each $\alpha \in[0,1], t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and $x \in X_{\alpha}$, the trajectory $t \mapsto w(t, x)$ is locally absolutely continuous and satisfies the following inequality almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$(with respect to Lebesgue measure):

$$
\left|\frac{\partial w(t, x)}{\partial t}\right| \leq \sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}
$$

Consider the following initial-value problem with parameter $x \in X$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
d y(t, x)=a(t, y(t, x)) d t+b(t, y(t, x)) d w(t, x)  \tag{2}\\
y\left(t_{0}, x\right)=y_{0} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

or the same problem in the integral form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t, x)=y_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a(s, y(s, x)) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s, y(s, x)) d w(s, x) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1 An $\alpha$-solution (where $\alpha \in[0,1)$ ) of the problem (22)-(3) (or the problem (4)) on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a possibilistic process $y: I \times X \rightarrow D$ such that for each $x \in X_{\alpha}$ the trajectory $t \mapsto y(t, x)$ is locally absolutely continuous and satisfies (2)-(3) almost everywhere on $I$ (in the sense of Lebesgue measure).

A solution of the problem (21)-(3) is a 0 -solution of this problem.
We will use a special notion of uniqueness of solutions:
Definition 3.2 The problem (22)-(3) (or the problem (44)) has a unique $\alpha$-solution on $I$, if it has some $\alpha$-solution, and each two $\alpha$-solutions of (21)-(3) on $I$ are equal on the set $I \times X_{\alpha}$. The problem (2)-(3) has a unique solution, if it has a unique 0 -solution.

Let us denote by $B\left(y_{0}, r\right)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid\left\|y-y_{0}\right\| \leq r\right\}$ a closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Theorem 3.1 (About existence and uniqueness of $\alpha$-solution) Assume
that the functions $a(t, y)$ and $b(t, y)$ are continuous on the set $C=I \times B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$, where $I=\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\Delta t\right], \Delta t>0, r>0$, and satisfy Lipschitz condition with respect to $y$, i.e.

$$
\|a(t, y)-a(t, z)\| \leq L\|y-z\|, \quad\|b(t, y)-b(t, z)\| \leq L\|y-z\|,
$$

for some constant $L>0$ and all $t \in I, y, z \in B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$.
Let $\alpha \in(0,1), M_{a}=\max _{(t, y) \in C}\|a(t, y)\|, M_{b}=\max _{(t, y) \in C}\|b(t, y)\|$. Then the problem (2)-(3) has a unique $\alpha$-solution on $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+h\right.$ ), where

$$
h=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 L}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L} \sqrt[4]{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}}, \frac{r}{M_{a}+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)} M_{b}}, \Delta t\right\}
$$

Proof. Let us fix a number $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ and denote $I_{\epsilon}=\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\epsilon h\right]$. Consider the space $F_{\epsilon}$ of all continuous functions $f: I_{\epsilon} \rightarrow B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$ such that $f\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. Let us define a uniform metric on this space:

$$
\rho_{\epsilon}(f, g)=\max _{t \in I_{\epsilon}}| | f(t)-g(t) \|
$$

Because $B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{0}, r\right)$ is closed, it is a complete subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then the space of all continuous (and bounded) functions $f: I_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with metric $\rho_{\epsilon}$ is complete. Thus $F_{\epsilon}$ is a (non-empty) complete metric space.

Let us fix an elementary event $x_{0} \in X_{\alpha}$ and consider the mapping $\Phi_{\epsilon}: F_{\epsilon} \rightarrow\left(I_{\epsilon} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ) such that

$$
\Phi_{\epsilon}(f)(t)=y_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a(s, f(s)) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s, g(s)) d w\left(s, x_{0}\right)
$$

For each $f \in F_{\epsilon}$ the function $t \mapsto \Phi_{\epsilon}(f)(t)$ is defined and continuous $I_{\epsilon}$, because $h \leq \Delta t$, $s \mapsto a(s, f(s))$ and $s \mapsto b(s, f(s))$ are continuous on $I_{\epsilon}$, and the trajectory $s \mapsto w\left(s, x_{0}\right)$ is absolutely continuous on $I_{\epsilon}$.

Also, we have $\Phi_{\epsilon}(f)\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ and for each $t \in I_{\epsilon}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\Phi_{\epsilon}(f)(t)-y_{0}\right\| \leq \sup _{t \in I_{\epsilon}}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|a(s, f(s))\| d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|b(s, f(s))\|\left|\frac{\partial w\left(s, x_{0}\right)}{\partial s}\right| d s\right) \leq \\
\leq \epsilon h\left(\max _{(s, y) \in C}\|a(s, y)\|+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)} \max _{(s, y) \in C}\|b(s, y)\|\right)= \\
= \\
\epsilon h\left(M_{a}+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)} M_{b}\right) \leq \epsilon r<r
\end{array}
$$

by Lemma 3.1. Thus $\Phi_{\epsilon}$ maps $F_{\epsilon}$ to itself. Let us prove that $\Phi_{\epsilon}$ is a contracting mapping. The Lipschitz condition implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\Phi_{\epsilon}(f), \Phi_{\epsilon}(g)\right) \leq \max _{t \in I_{\epsilon}}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|a(s, f(s))-a(s, g(s))\| d s+\right. \\
\left.\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|b(s, f(s))-b(s, g(s))\|\left|\frac{\partial w\left(s, x_{0}\right)}{\partial s}\right| d s\right) \leq \\
\leq\left(L+L \epsilon h \sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\right) \epsilon h \rho(f, g) \leq \\
\leq 2 L \max \left\{\epsilon h, \sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}(\epsilon h)^{2}\right\} \rho(f, g) \leq \max \left\{\epsilon, \epsilon^{2}\right\} \rho(f, g),
\end{array}
$$

because $h \leq \min \left(\frac{1}{2 L}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L} \sqrt[4]{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}}\right)$. Then the mapping $\Phi_{\epsilon}$ is contracting, because $\epsilon \in(0,1)$. By the Banach fixed point theorem, $\Phi$ has a unique fixed point. Obviously, this fixed point is absolutely continuous and satisfies (2)-(3) almost everywhere on $I_{\epsilon}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that every absolutely continuous function which satisfies (2)-(3) almost everywhere on $I_{\epsilon}$ is a fixed point of $\Phi_{\epsilon}$. Then because $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ and $x_{0} \in X_{\alpha}$ are arbitrary, it is straightforward to show that the problem (2)-(3) has a unique $\alpha$-solution on $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+h\right)$ in sense of Definition 3.2.

## Theorem 3.2 (About global existence and uniqueness of solution)

Assume that the functions $a(t, y)$ and $b(t, y)$ are continuous on the set $C=\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and satisfy a local Lipschitz condition with respect to $y$ : there exists a continuous function $L:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a(t, y)-a(t, z)\| & \leq L(r)\|y-z\| \\
\|b(t, y)-b(t, z)\| & \leq L(r)\|y-z\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, r>0$, and $y, z \in B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$. Assume that the functions $a(t, y), b(t, y)$ satisfy the following growth conditions for some constant $K>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|a(t, y)\|^{2} \leq K\left(1+\|y\|^{2}\right) \\
& \|b(t, y)\|^{2} \leq K\left(1+\|y\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the problem (2)-(3) has a unique solution on $\left[t_{0} ;+\infty\right)$.

Proof. Let us choose an arbitrary $x_{0} \in X_{0}$. Then $x_{0} \in X_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$.
Assume that a function $t \mapsto y\left(t, x_{0}\right)$ is defined (and continuous) on some segment $I=\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+h\right], h>0$, and satisfies (4) on $I$. Then

$$
\left\|y\left(t, x_{0}\right)-y_{0}\right\| \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\|a\left(s, y\left(s, x_{0}\right)\right)\right\| d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\|b\left(s, y\left(s, x_{0}\right)\right)\right\|\left|\frac{\partial w\left(s, x_{0}\right)}{\partial s}\right| d s
$$

This inequality, the growth conditions, and Lemma 3.1 imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y\left(t, x_{0}\right)-y_{0}\right\| \leq\left(1+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(1+K\left\|y\left(s, x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $t \in I$,

$$
\left\|y\left(t, x_{0}\right)\right\| \leq R(t)
$$

where a scalar function $R(t)$ satisfies the following Cauchy problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=\left\|y_{0}\right\|+\left(1+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(1+K R(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that (6) has the following solution defined for all $t \geq t_{0}$ :

$$
R(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sinh \left(\sqrt{K}\left(1+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\sinh ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{K}\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right)\right)
$$

Thus any extension of $t \mapsto y\left(t, x_{0}\right)$ from $I=\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+h\right]$ to $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+h^{\prime}\right], h^{\prime}>h$ which satisfies (4) has a norm bounded from above by the function $R(t)$.

For each $r>0$ let us denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(r)=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 L(r)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 L(r)} \sqrt[4]{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}}, \frac{r}{M(r)+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)} M(r)}\right\} \\
M(r)=\sqrt{K\left(1+\left(r+\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right)^{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

The growth conditions imply that

$$
\max _{t \geq 0, y \in B\left(y_{0}, r\right)}\|a(t, y)\| \leq M(r), \max _{t \geq 0, y \in B\left(y_{0}, r\right)}\|b(t, y)\| \leq M(r)
$$

Then from Theorem 3.1] we have that for each $t_{0}^{\prime} \geq t_{0}, r^{\prime}>0$ and $y_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $B\left(y_{0}^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) \subseteq B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$ the problem (21) together with initial condition $y\left(t_{0}^{\prime}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime}$ has a unique $\alpha$-solution $y_{r^{\prime}, t_{0}^{\prime}, y_{0}^{\prime}}(t, x)$ on $\left[t_{0}^{\prime}, t_{0}^{\prime}+h\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ) (because we can choose an arbitrary $\Delta t>0$ in the statement of Theorem (3.1).

Let us fix an arbitrary $\tau>t_{0}$. Let us construct a finite or infinite sequences of trajectories $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots$, positive numbers $r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots$ and time moments $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\left(t_{0}\right.$ is defined as in the statement of this theorem) such that

- $r_{0}=R(\tau)-\left\|y_{0}\right\|+1$;
- if $n \geq 0$ and $t_{n}<\tau$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -t_{n+1}=t_{n}+h\left(r_{n}\right) / 2 \\
& \left.-y_{n+1}(t)=y_{r_{n}, t_{n}, y_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)}\left(t, x_{0}\right) \text { for all } t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right] \text { (here } y_{0}(.)=y_{0}\right) \\
& -r_{n+1}=r_{0}-\left(R\left(t_{n+1}\right)-\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ is increasing and $\left(r_{n}\right)$ is decreasing (because the function $R$ is strictly monotone). These sequences may be finite, if $\left(t_{n}\right)$ reaches or becomes greater than $\tau$. It is easy to check by induction on $n$ that the functions $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are indeed correctly defined and their concatenation is a trajectory which satisfies (2)-(3) on $\left[t_{0}, t_{n}\right]$ using the inclusion $B\left(y_{n}\left(t_{n}\right), r_{n}\right) \subseteq B\left(y_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ which follows from (5) and (6).

If we assume that the sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ is infinite, then it is bounded from above (by $\tau$ ) and the equation $t_{n+1}=t_{n}+h\left(r_{0}-R\left(t_{n}\right)+\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right) / 2$ holds for all $n \geq 1$. Then because of continuity of the functions $h$ and $R$, we have $h\left(r_{0}-R\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}\right)+\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right)=$ $h\left(1+R(\tau)-R\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}\right)\right)=0$. But this is impossible when $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}<\tau$. Thus the sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ is finite or its elements tend to $\tau$. This implies that there exists a trajectory $t \mapsto y\left(t, x_{0}\right)$ which satisfies (21)-(3) on $\left[t_{0}, \tau\right)$.

Because $\tau>t_{0}, \alpha \in(0,1)$ and $x_{0} \in X_{\alpha}$ are arbitrary, we conclude that the problem (22)-(3) has a unique solution on $\left[t_{0} ;+\infty\right)$ in the sense of Definition 3.1 Uniqueness of this solution (in sense of Definition 3.2) easily follows from Theorem 3.1,

## 4 Numerical Solution

Definition 4.1 The $(t, \alpha)$-cut of a solution of the equation (4) is the set

$$
Y(t, \alpha)=\left\{y(t, x) \mid x \in X_{\alpha}\right\}
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \alpha \in[0,1)$.
The $(t, \alpha)$-cut contains all points which can be reached by $\alpha$-trajectories of a solution at time $t$. The family of all cuts of the solution gives a complete description of its distribution.

Definition 4.2 An estimate of $(t, \alpha)$-cut of the solution of the equation (4) is a set $\hat{Y}(t, \alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the $(t, \alpha)$-cut $Y(t, \alpha)$ is a dense subset of $\hat{Y}(t, \alpha)$.

By a numerical solution of the equation (4) we mean some numerical representation of a family of estimates of $\left(t_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$-cuts for a finite set of pairs $\left\{\left(t_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right) \mid i \in I\right\}$. The numerical solution gives information about sets which can be reached by the solution of (4) with a given level of possibility.

Let us associate with the equation (4) the following dynamical system with scalar input control $u(t)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
d z(t)=a(t, z(t)) d t+b(t, z(t)) u(t),  \tag{7}\\
z\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0} . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us denote by $B U(r)$ the set of all bounded measurable controls $u: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sup _{t}|u(t)| \leq r$.

Theorem 4.1 [6]. The set $U(t, \alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of all points which can be reached by the system (7), (8) at time $t$ by means of controls $u \in B U\left(\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\right)$ is an estimate of $(t, \alpha)$-cut of the solution of equation (4).

This theorem reduces the problem of finding numerical solution of the equation (4) to the problem of finding reachable sets of the controlled system (7), (8). The problem of finding reachable sets is well studied [1] and can be solved numerically using existing tools such as dynamic programming.

## 5 Numeric Example

Let us consider how the results obtained above can be applied to the problem of modeling dynamics of epidemics. We start with a simple Ross epidemic model [18. In this model the population of $N$ individuals is divided into two groups:

- susceptible individuals, $S$;
- infective individuals, $I$.

It is assumed that the following statements hold:
(1) the population is homogeneous, there are no births, deaths, immigrations and emigrations;
(2) there is no latent period of the infection, recoveries from illness are not taken into account;
(3) the infection rate is proportional to the fraction of infectives.

The model is described by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(t)=N-I(t),  \tag{9}\\
\frac{d I}{d t}=a I(t)(N-I(t)), \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $S(t)$ and $I(t)$ are the numbers of susceptible and infective individuals at time $t$, $N$ is the total number of individuals (constant), $a$ is a positive constant.

The model (9)-(10) can be improved by taking into account recovery and transmission of disease from external source as described in [9. Let us denote by $y(t)=I(t) / N$ the fraction of infected individuals. The improved model has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)=a y(t)(1-y(t))-b y(t)+c(1-y(t)) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $a>0$ is the rate of transmission from individual to individual;
- $b>0$ is the rate of recovery;
- $c>0$ is the rate of transmission from external source.

Although the model (11) is more accurate than (9)-(10), it is still a rather rough simplification of the real dynamics of epidemics. To take into account inaccuracy of (9), following [9] let us add a dynamic uncertainty to this model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y(t, x)=a y(t, x)(1-y(t, x)) d t-b y(t, x) d t+c(1-y(t, x)) d t+\sigma(y(t)) d w(t, x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(y)$ is a function of the form $\delta y(1-y), \delta>0$. This equation differs from a stochastic epidemic model proposed in [9] in that the uncertainty is modeled by a possibilistic walk process $w(t, x)$ instead of the Wiener process. This allows one to estimate the influence of uncertainties on propagation of epidemics on the basis of expert opinions [11, 20] instead of statistical data (the latter may be very limited for new or unfamiliar types of infections).

Then we obtain the final possibilistic epidemic model:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d y(t, x)=a y(t, x)(1-y(t, x)) d t-b y(t, x) d t+  \tag{13}\\
+c(1-y(t, x)) d t+\delta y(t)(1-y(t, x)) d w(t, x) \\
y(0, x)=y_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is not difficult to check that this problem has a unique solution (to simplify this task it is sufficient to assume that $y$ always takes values in $[0,1]$, because it represents a fraction of infected individuals).

The solution $y(t, x)$ is a possibilistic process. Let us find an estimate of $\alpha$-cut of $y(t, x)$. Let us apply the system (77)-(8) to the equation (13):

$$
\begin{gather*}
z^{\prime}(t)=a z(t)(1-z(t))-b z(t)+  \tag{14}\\
+c(1-z(t))+\delta z(t)(1-z(t)) u(t) \\
z(0)=y_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us define $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ as solutions of the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=a y_{1}(t)\left(1-y_{1}(t)\right)-b y_{1}(t)+c\left(1-y_{1}(t)\right)-  \tag{15}\\
& -\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\left|\delta y_{1}(t)\left(1-y_{1}(t)\right)\right|, \quad y_{1}(0)=y_{0}, \\
& y_{2}^{\prime}(t)=a y_{2}(t)\left(1-y_{2}(t)\right)-b y_{2}(t)+c\left(1-y_{2}(t)\right)+  \tag{16}\\
& \quad+\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\left|\delta y_{2}(t)\left(1-y_{2}(t)\right)\right|, \quad y_{2}(0)=y_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to verify that the segment $\left[y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right]$ is a reachable set at time $t$ for the system (14) with controls $u \in B U\left(\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}\right)$. So the set $\left[y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right]$ is an estimate of $\alpha$-cut of the solution of (14) by Theorem (6].

Assume that $\alpha>\varphi\left(a^{2} / \delta^{2}\right)$. Then non-negative stationary solutions of the equations (15), (16) are given by the following expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{y}_{1}(\alpha)=\frac{a-b-c+\delta C_{\alpha}+\sqrt{\left(a-b+c+\delta C_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+4 b c}}{2\left(a+\delta C_{\alpha}\right)} \\
& \hat{y}_{2}(\alpha)=\frac{a-b-c-\delta C_{\alpha}+\sqrt{\left(a-b+c-\delta C_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+4 b c}}{2\left(a-\delta C_{\alpha}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}$.
Thus we can accept that for large $t$, the fraction of infected individuals belongs to the segment $\left[\hat{y}_{1}(\alpha), \hat{y}_{2}(\alpha)\right]$ with the level of possibility $\alpha$.

Let us consider a numerical example.


Figure 1: The lower and upper bound for the fraction of infected individuals when $a=1$. The horizontal axis represents the possibility level $\alpha$.


Figure 2: The lower and upper bounds for the fraction of infected individuals for different values of parameter $a$.

Example 5.1 Let $\varphi(x)=\exp (-x), a=1, b=0.4, c=0.01, \delta=0.1$. Figure 1 shows the curves $\hat{y}_{1}$ (lower bound) and $\hat{y}_{2}$ (upper bound). The horizontal axis represents the possibility level $\alpha$. Figure 2 shows the similar curves for different values of $a$ (but the possibility level $\alpha$ is represented on vertical axis).

Figures 1 and 2 were produced by the following MATLAB [17] program:

```
function r = f(alpha,a,s)
    b = 0.4; c = 0.01;
    dC = s * 0.1 * sqrt(-log(alpha));
```

```
    r = (a-b-c+dC + sqrt ((a-b+c+dC).^2+4*b*c))./(2*(a+dC));
I = 0.01:0.01:1; nul = zeros(length(I));
plot3(f(I,0.4,1),nul+0.4,I, f(I,0.4,-1),nul+0.4,I); hold on
plot3(f(I,1,1),nul+1,I, f(I,1,-1),nul+1,I);
plot3(f(I,2,1),nul+2,I, f(I,2,-1),nul+2,I);
```


## 6 Conclusion

In the paper we have studied the problem of modeling of uncertain dynamics using the methods of possibility theory. We have constructed a new class of uncertain differential equation with respect to a possibilistic walk process. We have studied the problems of existence and uniqueness of solutions of these equations and proposed a method which can be used to solve them numerically.

The obtained results can be used for modeling social-economic and ecological phenomena, medical diagnostic tasks, and other uncertain processes or phenomena in which available statistical information is not sufficient for constructing a reliable stochastic model, or the uncertainty has a non-probabilistic nature.
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#### Abstract

The main objective of this paper is to study solutions of a nonautonomous neutral differential equation of parabolic type with a deviating argument in an arbitrary Banach space. The main results are obtained by the SobolevskiiTanabe theory of parabolic equations and the Banach fixed point theorem.
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## 1 Introduction

A natural way of generalizing differential equations is allowing the unknown function to appear with different values of the argument. Thus, differential equations with a deviating argument are differential equations in which the unknown function and its derivative appear in different places of the argument. This type of equations arise in many fields such as the theory of automatic control, the theory of self-oscillating systems, the problems of long-term planning in economics, the study of problems related with combustion in rocket motion, a series of biological problems, and many other areas [2]. One of the important examples is the process in fuel injection system for high-speed diesel engines which can be modeled as differential equations with a deviating argument of neutral type (see [2]).

The purpose of this work is to study solutions of the following type of neutral equation in a Banach space $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d}{d t}[u(t)+g(t, u(a(t)))]+A(t) u(t) & =f(t, u(t), u(h(u(t), t))), t>0  \tag{1}\\
u(0) & =u_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

[^2]where $u: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow X$. Here, we assume that $-A(t)$, for each $t \geq 0$, generates an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators on $X$. The continuous functions $f, g$ and $h$ satisfy suitable conditions in their arguments and the function $a:[0, T] \rightarrow[0, T]$ satisfies the delay properties.

The plentiful applications motivate the development of the theory of differential equation with deviating arguments (see e.g. [1,6,9,13, 14, 17-19] and references cited therein).

Fu and Liu [6] have considered the following abstract neutral functional equation with infinite delay:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[u(t)+f\left(t, u_{t}\right)\right]+A(t) u(t) & =g\left(t, u_{t}\right), \quad t \in(0, T] \\
u_{0} & =\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $u(t)$ takes values in a Banach space $X$, the family $\{A(t): t \in[0, T], T \in[0, \infty)\}$ of unbounded linear operators generates a bounded linear evolution operators on $X$, the function $f:[0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_{0} \rightarrow X$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in both variables, the function $g:[0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_{0} \rightarrow X$ satisfies suitable conditions (here $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ is a phase space defined appropriately). The existence of a solution has been obtained by the Sadovskii fixed point principle.

In [8], Haloi et. al. have studied the existence of solutions to the following differential equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}[u(t)+g(t, u(a(t)))]+A(t)[u(t)+g(t, u(a(t)))] & =f(t, u(t), u(h(u(t), t))), t>0 \\
u(0) & =u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The main results are obtained by the Banach fixed point theorem without any regularity assumption on the function $g$.

Using the Banach fixed point theorem and the Sobolevskii-Tanabe theory of parabolic equations, we prove the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of a solution to Problem (1). The main results generalize some results of [7], [9, [14] and [19].The work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide preliminaries, assumptions and lemmas that will be needed for proving the main results. In Section 3, we prove the main results. Finally, we discuss an example as an application of the abstract results.

## 2 Preliminaries and Assumptions

This section deals with basic assumptions, preliminaries and lemmas necessary for proving the main results. For more details, we refer to [4, 12, 15, 16.

Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a complex Banach space. Let $\{A(t): 0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq T<\infty\}$ be a family of linear operators on the Banach space $X$. We use the following assumptions.
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ For each $t \in[0, T], A(t)$ is closed linear operator with domain $D(A)$ of $A(t)$ independent of $t$ and dense in $X$.
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ For each $t \in[0, T]$, the resolvent $R(\lambda ; A(t))$ exists for all Re $\lambda \leq 0$ and there is a constant $C>0$ (independent of $t$ and $\lambda$ ) such that

$$
\|R(\lambda ; A(t))\| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda|+1}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0, t \in[0, T]
$$

$\left(H_{3}\right)$ For each fixed $s \in[0, T]$, there are constants $C>0$ and $\rho \in(0,1]$ such that

$$
\left\|[A(t)-A(\tau)] A(s)^{-1}\right\| \leq C|t-\tau|^{\rho}
$$

for any $t, \tau \in[0, T]$. Here $C$ and $\rho$ are independent of $t, \tau$ and $s$.
It is well known that the assumption $\left(H_{2}\right)$ implies that for each $s \in[0, T],-A(s)$ generates a strongly continuous analytic semigroup $\left\{e^{-t A(s)}: t \geq 0\right\}$ in $\mathfrak{L}(X)$, where $\mathfrak{L}(X)$ denotes the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on $X$. Then there exist positive constants $C$ and $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|e^{-t A(s)}\right\| \leq C e^{-\delta t}, \quad t \geq 0  \tag{2}\\
&\left\|A(s) e^{-t A(s)}\right\| \leq \frac{C e^{-\delta t}}{t}, \quad t>0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $s \in[0, T][4]$. In the remainder of this work, $C$ will denote a constant independent of $s, t$.

Theorem 2.1 44, 15] If the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, then there exists a unique fundamental solution $\{U(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ to homogeneous Cauchy problem.

Now consider the following inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} u(t)+A(t) u(t)=h(t), t>t_{0} \geq 0, \quad u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C^{\beta}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right)$ denote the space of all $X$-valued functions $h(t)$, that are uniformly Hölder continuous on $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$ with exponent $\beta$, where $0<\beta \leq 1$. Then $C^{\beta}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right)$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$
\|h\|_{C^{\beta}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right)}=\sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq T}\|h(t)\|+\sup _{t, s \in\left[t_{0}, T\right], t \neq s} \frac{\|h(t)-h(s)\|}{|t-s|^{\beta}} .
$$

Then we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2 44, 15] Let the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. If $h \in C^{\beta}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right)$, then there exists a unique solution to Problem (4). Furthermore, the solution is given by

$$
u(t)=U\left(t, t_{0}\right) u_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} U(t, s) h(s) d s, \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq T
$$

and $u:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow X$ is a strongly continuously differentiable on $\left(t_{0}, T\right]$.
It follows from the assumptions $\left(H_{2}\right)$ that the negative fractional powers of the operator $A(t)$ is well defined and defined as

$$
A(t)^{-\alpha}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau A(t)} \tau^{\alpha-1} d \tau
$$

for $\alpha>0$. Then $A(t)^{-\alpha}$ is a one-to-one and bounded linear operator on $X$ 4]. We define the positive fractional powers of $A(t)$ by $A(t)^{\alpha} \equiv\left[A(t)^{-\alpha}\right]^{-1}$. It can be seen that $A(t)^{\alpha}$
is closed linear operator with domain $D\left(A(t)^{\alpha}\right)$ dense in $X$ and $D\left(A(t)^{\alpha}\right) \subset D\left(A(t)^{\beta}\right)$ if $\alpha>\beta$. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, let $X_{\alpha}=D\left(A(0)^{\alpha}\right)$ and equip the space $X_{\alpha}$ with the graph norm

$$
\|x\|_{\alpha}=\left\|A(0)^{\alpha} x\right\|
$$

Then $\left(X_{\alpha},\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ is a Banach space. If $0<\alpha \leq 1$, the embeddings $X_{1} \hookrightarrow X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$ are dense and continuous. For each $\alpha>0$, we define $X_{-\alpha}=\left(X_{\alpha}\right)^{*}$, the dual space of $X_{\alpha}$, and endow the space $X_{-\alpha}$ with the natural norm

$$
\|x\|_{-\alpha}=\left\|A(0)^{-\alpha} x\right\|
$$

Then $\left(X_{-\alpha},\|\cdot\|_{-\alpha}\right)$ is a Banach space. The following assumptions are necessary for proving the main results. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, let $V_{\alpha}$ and $V_{\alpha-1}$ be open sets in $X_{\alpha}$ and $X_{\alpha-1}$ respectively. For each $u \in V_{\alpha}$ and $u_{1} \in V_{\alpha-1}$, there are closed balls such that $B_{\alpha} \equiv B_{\alpha}(u, r) \subset V_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha-1} \equiv B_{\alpha-1}\left(u_{1}, r_{1}\right) \subset V_{\alpha-1}$ for $r>0$ and $r_{1}>0$.
$\left(H_{4}\right)$ There exist constants $L_{f} \equiv L_{f}\left(t, u, u_{1}, r, r_{1}\right)>0$ and $0<\theta_{1} \leq 1$ such that the nonlinear continuous function $f:[0, T] \times V_{\alpha} \times V_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(t, x, x_{1}\right)-f\left(s, y, y_{1}\right)\right\| \leq L_{f}\left(|t-s|^{\theta_{1}}+\|x-y\|_{\alpha}+\left\|x_{1}-y_{1}\right\|_{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{\alpha}, x_{1}, y_{1} \in B_{\alpha-1}$ and for all $s, t \in[0, T]$.
$\left(H_{5}\right)$ There exist constants $L_{h} \equiv L_{h}(t, u, r)>0$ and $0<\theta_{2} \leq 1$ such that the continuous function $h: V_{\alpha} \times[0, T] \rightarrow[0, T]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
|h(x, t)-h(y, s)| & \leq L_{h}\left(\|x-y\|_{\alpha}+|t-s|^{\theta_{2}}\right)  \tag{6}\\
h(\cdot, 0) & =0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{\alpha}$ and for all $s, t \in[0, T]$.
$\left(H_{6}\right)$ There exists constant $L_{g} \equiv L_{g}\left(t, u_{1}, r_{1}\right)>0$ such that the continuous function $g:[0, T] \times V_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow X_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g\left(t, x_{1}\right)-g\left(s, y_{1}\right)\right\|_{1} \leq L_{g}\left\{|t-s|+\left\|x_{1}-y_{1}\right\|_{\alpha-1}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in B_{\alpha-1}$ and $t, s \in[0, T]$.
$\left(H_{7}\right)$ The function $a:[0, T] \rightarrow[0, T]$ has the following properties:
(i) $a$ satisfies the delay property $a(t) \leq t$ for all $t \in[0, T]$.
(ii) The function $a$ is Lipschitz continuous; that is, there exists a positive constant $L_{a}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a(t)-a(s)| & \leq L_{a}|t-s| \text { for all } t, s \in[0, T] \\
L_{a}\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-2}\right\| & <1
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use the following lemmas in the subsequent sections.
Lemma 2.1 [5, Lemma 1.1] Let $h \in C^{\beta}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right)$. Define $\mathcal{F}: C^{\beta}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right) \rightarrow$ $C\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X_{1}\right)$ by

$$
\mathcal{F} h(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} U(t, s) h(s) d s, t_{0} \leq t \leq T
$$

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a bounded mapping and $\|\mathcal{F} h\|_{C\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X_{1}\right)} \leq C\|h\|_{C^{\beta}\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right)}$ for some constant $C>0$.

Lemma 2.2 [10, Lemma 2] Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $f \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X_{\alpha}\right)$. Define

$$
w(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} U(t, s) f(s) d s, t_{0} \leq t \leq T
$$

Then $w \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; X_{1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\left(t_{0}, T\right] ; X\right)$ and $\frac{d w(t)}{d t}+A(t) w(t)=f(t), t_{0}<t \leq T$.

## 3 Main Results

In this section, we prove the main results on the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of a solution to Problem (11). Let $I$ denote the interval $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ for some positive number $T_{0}$ to be determined later. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, let $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ denote the space of all $X_{\alpha}$-valued continuous functions on $I$, endowed with the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, where

$$
\|\phi\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in I}\|\phi(t)\|_{\alpha}, \phi \in C\left(I ; X_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
Y_{\alpha} \equiv C_{L_{\alpha}}\left(I ; X_{\alpha-1}\right)=\left\{\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}:\|\psi(t)-\psi(s)\|_{\alpha-1} \leq L_{\alpha}|t-s| \quad \text { for all } t, s \in I\right\},
$$

where $L_{\alpha}$ is a positive constant to be specified later. Then $Y_{\alpha}$ is a Banach space endowed with the sup-norm of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$.

Definition 3.1 A continuous function $u: I \rightarrow X_{\alpha}$ is said to be a mild solution to Problem (1) if
(i) $g(\cdot, \cdot) \in X_{1}$;
(ii) $u$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t)=U(t, 0)\left[u(0)+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]-g(t, u(a(t)))+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) A(s) g(s, u(a(s))) d s \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s, u(s), u(h(u(s), s))) d s, t \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $u(0)=u_{0}$.

Definition 3.2 A continuous function $u: I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a solution to Problem (11) if $u$ satisfies the following:
(i) $u(\cdot)+g(\cdot, u(a(\cdot))) \in C_{L_{\alpha}}\left(I ; X_{\alpha-1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\left(0, T_{0}\right) ; X\right) \cap C(I ; X)$;
(ii) $u(\cdot) \in X_{1}$ and $g(\cdot, u(a(\cdot))) \in X_{1}$;
(iii) $\frac{d}{d t}[u(t)+g(t, u(a(t)))]+A(t) u(t)=f(t, u(t), u(h(u(t), t)))$ for all $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$;
(iv) $u(0)=u_{0}$.

Let $u_{0} \in X_{\alpha}$ and let $r>0$ be chosen small enough such that the assumptions $\left(H_{4}\right)-$ $\left(H_{6}\right)$ hold for the closed balls $B_{\alpha}=B_{\alpha}\left(u_{0}, r\right)$ and $B_{\alpha-1}=B_{\alpha-1}\left(u_{0}, r\right)$. Let $K>0$ and $0<\eta<\beta-\alpha$ be fixed constants. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}=\{ & \left\{v \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha}: v(0)=u_{0},\right. \\
& \left.\sup _{t \in I}\left\|v(t)-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq r,\|v(t)-v(s)\|_{\alpha} \leq K|t-s|^{\eta} \text { for all } s, t \in I\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be seen that the set $\mathcal{S}$ is a non-empty, closed and bounded subset of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. Based on the ideas of Friedman [4], Fu and Liu [6] and Gal [7], we have the following theorem on existence and uniqueness of a local solution to Problem (11).

Theorem 3.1 For $0<\alpha<\beta \leq 1$, let $u_{0} \in X_{\beta}$. If the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$ hold, then there exist a positive number $T_{0} \equiv T_{0}\left(\alpha, u_{0}\right)$ and a unique solution $u(t)$ to Problem (1) on the interval $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$.

Proof. For each $v \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t \in I$, we define a map $H$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H v(t)=U(t, 0)\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]-g(t, v(a(t)))+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f_{v}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{v}(t)=f(t, v(t), v(h(v(t), t)))$. If $v \in \mathcal{S}$, then the assumptions $\left(H_{4}\right)$ and $\left(H_{5}\right)$ imply that $f_{v}(t)$ is Hölder continuous on $I$ of exponent $\gamma=\min \left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \eta\right\}$. Also for $v \in \mathcal{S}$, it is clear from the assumptions $\left(H_{6}\right)$ and $\left(H_{7}\right)$ that $A(t) g(t, v(a(t)))$ is Hölder continuous on $I$ of exponent $\eta$. Thus by Lemma 2.1, the map $H$ is well defined and it can be seen that $H v \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. We will claim that $H$ maps from the set $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{S}$ for sufficiently small $T_{0}>0$. Indeed, if $t_{1}, t_{2} \in I$ with $t_{2}>t_{1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|H v\left(t_{2}\right)-H v\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{\alpha-1} \\
& \leq\left\|\left[U\left(t_{2}, 0\right)-U\left(t_{1}, 0\right)\right]\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]\right\|_{\alpha-1} \\
& \quad+\left\|g\left(t_{2}, v\left(a\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right)-g\left(t_{1}, v\left(a\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right\|_{\alpha-1} \\
& \quad+\left\|\int_{0}^{t_{2}} U\left(t_{2}, s\right) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} U\left(t_{1}, s\right) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s\right\|_{\alpha-1} \\
& \quad+\left\|\int_{0}^{t_{2}} U\left(t_{2}, s\right) f_{v}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} U\left(t_{1}, s\right) f_{v}(s) d s\right\|_{\alpha-1} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the inclusion $X \rightarrow X_{\alpha-1}$ is bounded, we get the following estimate for first term on the right hand side of (9) (cf. [4, see Lemma II. 14.1]) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[U\left(t_{2}, 0\right)-U\left(t_{1}, 0\right)\right]\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]\right\|_{\alpha-1} \leq C_{1}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{\alpha}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is some positive constant.
Similarly, the assumptions $\left(H_{6}\right)$ and $\left(H_{7}\right)$ imply the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g\left(t_{2}, v\left(a\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right)-g\left(t_{1}, v\left(a\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right\|_{\alpha-1} \leq C_{2}\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}=\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-2}\right\| L_{g}\left(1+L_{a} L_{\alpha}\right)$.

Using [4, Lemma II. 14.4], we get the following estimates for the third and fourth term on the right hand side of (9) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t_{2}} U\left(t_{2}, s\right) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} U\left(t_{1}, s\right) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s\right\|_{\alpha-1} \\
& \quad \leq C_{3} M_{g}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\left(\left|\log \left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right|+1\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$M_{g}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\|g(t, v(a(t)))\|_{1}$ and $C_{3}$ is some positive constant, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t_{2}} U\left(t_{2}, s\right) f_{v}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} U\left(t_{1}, s\right) f_{v}(s) d s\right\|_{\alpha-1} \\
& \quad \leq C_{4} N_{f}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\left(\left|\log \left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right|+1\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{f}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|f_{v}(t)\right\|$ and $C_{4}$ is some positive constant.
Using estimates (10), (11), (12) and (13) in inequality (9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H v\left(t_{2}\right)-H v\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{\alpha-1} \leq L_{\alpha}\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad L_{\alpha}=\max \left\{C_{1}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{\alpha}, \frac{\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-2}\right\| L_{g}}{1-\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-2}\right\| L_{a}}, C_{3} M_{g}\left(\left|\log \left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right|+\right.\right.$ 1), $\left.C_{4} N_{f}\left(\left|\log \left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right|+1\right)\right\}$.

For sufficiently small $T_{0}>0$, we will show that

$$
\sup _{t \in I}\left\|H(v)(t)-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq r
$$

Since $u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right) \in X_{\alpha}$, we can choose sufficiently small $T_{1}>0$ such that (cf. [4, Lemma II.14.1]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|[U(t, 0)-I]\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \frac{r}{4} \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, it is clear from the assumptions $\left(H_{6}\right)$ and $\left(H_{7}\right)$ that we can choose $T_{2}>0$ small enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(t, v(a(t)))-g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \frac{r}{4} \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[0, T_{2}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K_{1}:=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|f\left(t, u_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right\|$.
We choose $T_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{C_{5}}{1-\alpha} L_{f}\left[\left(1+L_{\alpha} L_{h}\right) r+T_{3}^{\theta_{2}}\right]+\frac{C_{5} K_{1}}{1-\alpha}\right) T_{3}^{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{r}{4}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{5}$. Now from the assumptions $\left(H_{4}\right)$ and $\left(H_{5}\right)$, we have for $t \in\left[0, T_{3}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\| & \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s, v(s), v(h(v(s), s))) d s \|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & C_{5} L_{f} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left[\left\|v(s)-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}+\left\|v([h(v(s), s)])-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha-1}\right] d s \\
& +C_{5} K_{1} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} d s \\
\leq & C_{5} L_{f} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left[\left\|v(s)-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}+L_{\alpha}|h((v(s), s))-h(u(0), 0)|\right] d s \\
& +C_{5} K_{1} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} d s \\
\leq & C_{5} L_{f} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left[\left\|v(s)-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}+L_{\alpha}|h((v(s), s))-h(u(0), 0)|\right] d s \\
& +\frac{C_{5} K_{1} \delta^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \\
\leq & C_{5} L_{f} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left[r+L_{\alpha} L_{h}\left(\left\|v(s)-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}+s^{\theta_{2}}\right)\right] d s+\frac{C_{5} K_{1} T_{3}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \\
\leq & C_{5} L_{f}\left[\left(1+L_{\alpha} L_{h}\right) r+T_{3}^{\theta_{2}}\right] \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} d s+\frac{C_{5} K_{1} T_{3}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{C_{5}}{1-\alpha} L_{f}\left[\left(1+L_{\alpha} L_{h}\right) r+T_{3}^{\theta_{2}}\right]+\frac{C_{5} K_{1}}{1-\alpha}\right) T_{3}^{1-\alpha} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{5}$. Let $K_{2}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|g\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{1}$. We choose $T_{4}>0$ small enough such that

$$
C_{6}\left(L_{g} L_{\alpha} L_{a} T_{4}+K_{2}\right) \frac{T_{4}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{r}{4}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{6}$. Using the assumptions $\left(H_{6}\right)$ and $\left(H_{7}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s\right\|_{\alpha} & \leq C_{6} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left(L_{g}\left(1+L_{\alpha} L_{a}\right) s+\left\|g\left(s, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{1}\right) d s \\
& \leq C_{6}\left(L_{g}\left(1+L_{\alpha} L_{a}\right) T_{4}+K_{2}\right) \frac{T_{4}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{6}$ is a positive constant. Combining estimates (15), (16), (17) and (18), we obtain

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{5}\right]}\left\|H v(t)-u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq r
$$

where $T_{5}=\min \left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right\}$.
It remains to show

$$
\|H v(t+h)-H v(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq K h^{\eta}
$$

for some $K>0$ and $0<\eta<1$. Let $T_{6}>0$ be a sufficiently small number. If $0 \leq \alpha<$ $\beta \leq 1,0 \leq t \leq t+h \leq T_{6}$, then we have for $t \in\left[0, T_{6}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|H v(t+h)-H v(t)\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq \|[U(t+h, 0)-U(t, 0)]\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right) \|_{\alpha}\right. \\
& +\|g(t+h, v(a(t+h)))-g(t, v(a(t)))\|_{\alpha} \\
& +\left\|\int_{0}^{t+h} U(t+h, s) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s-\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& +\left\|\int_{0}^{t+h} U(t+h, s) f(s, v(s), v(h(v(s), s))) d s-\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s, v(s), v(h(v(s), s))) d s\right\|_{\alpha} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The bellow estimates follow from [4, Lemma II.14.1 and Lemma II.14.4],

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|[U(t+h, 0)-U(t, 0)]\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]\right\|_{\alpha} \leq C_{7}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{\beta} h^{\beta-\alpha} ;  \tag{20}\\
& \quad\left\|\int_{0}^{t+h} U(t+h, s) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s-\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) A(s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq C_{8} M_{g} h^{1-\alpha}(1+|\log h|) ;  \tag{21}\\
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t+h} U(t+h, s) f(s, v(s), v(h(v(s), s))) d s-\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s, v(s), v(h(v(s), s))) d s\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq C_{9} N_{f} h^{1-\alpha}(1+|\log h|)
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{7}, C_{8}$ and $C_{9}$ are some positive constants. Again form the assumption $\left(H_{6}\right)$ and $\left(H_{7}\right)$, it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g(t+h, v(a(t+h)))-g(t, v(a(t)))\|_{\alpha} \leq C_{10} L_{g}\left(1+L_{\alpha} L_{a}\right) h \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{10}$. Combining estimates (20), (21), (22) and (23), we get for $t \in$ $\left[0, T_{6}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|H v(t+h)-H v(t)\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq h^{\eta}\left[C_{7}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{\beta} T_{6}^{\beta-\alpha-\eta}+C_{10} L_{g}\left(1+L_{\alpha} L_{a}\right) h^{1-\eta}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+C_{8} M_{g} T_{6}^{1-\alpha-\eta}(1+|\log h|)+C_{9} N_{f} T_{6}^{\nu} h^{1-\alpha-\eta-\nu}(|\log h|+1)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\nu>0, \nu<1-\alpha-\eta$. Hence, for sufficiently small $T_{6}>0$, we have

$$
\|H v(t+h)-H v(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq K h^{\eta}
$$

for $t \in\left[0, T_{6}\right]$ and for some $K>0$. Thus, we have shown that $H$ maps from the set $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{S}$.

We will now claim that the map $H$ is a strict contraction. We choose $T_{7}>0$ such that

$$
L_{g}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|+C L_{g}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\| \frac{T_{7}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}+C L_{f}\left(2+L_{\alpha} L_{h}\right) \frac{T_{7}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

for some positive constant $C$. Using the assumptions $\left(H_{4}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$ and [15, inequality (1.65), page 23], we have for $t \in\left[0, T_{7}\right]$ and $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|H v_{1}(t)-H v_{2}(t)\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq L_{g}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& +C L_{g}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\| \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left\|v_{1}(a(s))-v_{2}(a(s))\right\|_{\alpha} d s \\
& +C L_{f} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left(\left\|v_{1}(s)-v_{2}(s)\right\|_{\alpha}+\left\|v_{1}\left(\left[h\left(v_{1}(s), s\right)\right]\right)-v_{2}\left(\left[h\left(v_{2}(s), s\right)\right]\right)\right\|_{\alpha-1}\right) d s \\
& \leq L_{g}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& +C L_{g}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \frac{T_{7}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}+C L_{f}\left(2+L_{\alpha} L_{h}\right) \frac{T_{7}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

for a positive constant $C$. Thus, the choice of $T_{7}$ implies that the map $H$ is a strict contraction. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a complete metric space, by the Banach fixed-point theorem, there exists $v \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $H v=v$. Thus Problem (11) has a unique mild solution on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ where $T_{0}=\min \left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}, T_{5}, T_{6}, T_{7}\right\}$

From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, it follows that $v \in C^{1}\left(\left(0, T_{0}\right) ; X\right)$. Thus $v$ is a solution to Problem (11) on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$.

Next we will prove the following theorem that gives the existence of a global solution to Problem (1).

Theorem 3.2 Let the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$ hold. If there are continuous nondecreasing real valued functions $k_{1}(t), k_{2}(t)$ and $k_{3}(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f(t, x, y)\| & \leq k_{1}(t)\left(1+\|x\|_{\alpha}+\|y\|_{\alpha-1}\right)  \tag{25}\\
|h(x, t)| & \leq k_{2}(t)\left(1+\|x\|_{\alpha}\right)  \tag{26}\\
\|g(t, y)\|_{1} & \leq k_{3}(t)\left(1+\|y\|_{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x \in X_{\alpha}$ and $y \in X_{\alpha-1}$, then Problem (1) has a unique solution and the solution exists for all $t \in[0, T], T \in[0, \infty)$ for each $u_{0} \in X_{\beta}$, where $0<\alpha<\beta \leq 1$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that there exists a $T_{0} \in(0, T]$ and a unique local solution $u(t)$ on $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ to Problem (11) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t)=U(t, 0)\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]-g(t, u(a(t)))+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) A(s) g(s, u(a(s))) d s \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s, u(s), u(h(u(s), s))) d s, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
\|u(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq \tilde{C}
$$

for all $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ and for some constant $\tilde{C}$ that is independent of $t$, then the solution $u(t)$ to Problem (11) may be continued further to the right of $T_{0}$. Thus to show global existence of the solution $u(t)$, it is enough to show that $\|u(t)\|_{\alpha}$ is bounded as $t \uparrow T$.

Let $k_{1}(T)=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} k_{1}(t), k_{2}(T)=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} k_{2}(t)$ and $k_{3}(T)=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} k_{3}(t)$. Form the assumptions $\left(H_{4}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$, (25), (26) and (27), we get for $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq & \left\|U(t, 0)\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& +\|g(t, u(a(t)))\|_{\alpha}+\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U(t, \tau) A(\tau) g(\tau, u(a(\tau))) d \tau\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& +\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau), u(h(u(\tau), \tau))) d \tau\right\|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & \| A^{\alpha}(0) A^{-\beta}(t) A^{\beta}(t) U(t, 0) A(0)^{-\beta} A(0)^{\beta}\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right] \\
& +k_{3}(T)\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\|\left(1+\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|_{s \in[0, t]}\|u(s)\|_{\alpha}\right) \\
& +k_{3}(T) \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha}\left(1+\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\| \sup _{\zeta \in[0, \tau]}\|u(\zeta)\|_{\alpha}\right) d \tau \\
& +k_{1}(T) \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha}\left[\left(1+\|u(\tau)\|_{\alpha}+L_{\alpha}\left|h(u(\tau), \tau)-h\left(u_{0}, 0\right)\right|+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha-1}\right] d \tau\right. \\
\leq & \left(C\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{\beta}+k_{3}(T)\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\| \tilde{L}+k_{1}(T)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha} d \tau\right) \\
+ & \left(k_{3}(T)\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\| \tilde{L}+k_{1}(T)\left(1+L_{\alpha} k_{2}(T)\right)\right) \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha}\left(1+\sup _{\zeta \in[0, \tau]}\|u(\zeta)\|_{\alpha}\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{L}=\max \left\{1,\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\right\}$. Thus we have

$$
\left.\sup _{s \in[0, t]}\|u(s)\|_{\alpha} \leq \tilde{L}_{1}+\tilde{M}_{1} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha}\left(1+\sup _{\zeta \in[0, \tau]}\|u(\zeta)\|_{\alpha}\right)\right) d \tau
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{L}_{1}=\frac{\left(C\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|_{\beta}+k_{3}(T)\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\| \tilde{L}+k_{1}(T)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha} d \tau\right)}{\left(1-k_{3}(T)\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-2}\right\|\right)}, \\
\tilde{M}_{1}=\frac{\left(k_{3}(T)\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\| \tilde{L}+k_{1}(T)\left(1+L_{\alpha} k_{2}(T)\right)\right)}{\left(1-k_{3}(T)\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-2}\right\|\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying Gronwall's Lemma, we get that $\|u(t)\|_{\alpha}$ is bounded as $t \uparrow T$.
Next we give a theorem of existence of solutions to Problem (11) under more smoothness condition on the function $f$ and $u_{0}$. Denote $D(A(0))$ by $X_{1}$. Equipped this space $X_{1}$ with the graph norm

$$
\|x\|_{1}:=\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|A(0) x\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ that is equivalent to the usual norm $\|A(0) \cdot\|$.
Let $V_{1}$ and $V$ be open sets in $X_{1}$ and $X$, respectively. For each $u \in V_{1}$ and $u_{1} \in V$, there are closed balls $B_{1} \equiv B_{1}(u, r)$ and $B \equiv B\left(u_{1}, r_{1}\right)$ such that $B_{1} \subset V_{1}$ and $B \subset V$ for some $r, r_{1}>0$. We make the following stronger assumptions.
$\left(H_{4}\right)^{\prime}$ There exist constants $L_{f} \equiv L_{f}\left(t, u, u_{1}, r, r_{1}\right)>0$ and $0<\theta_{1} \leq 1$, such that the nonlinear function $f:[0, T] \times V_{1} \times V \rightarrow X_{\alpha}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(t, x, x_{1}\right)-f\left(s, y, y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq L_{f}\left(|t-s|^{\theta_{1}}+\|x-y\|_{1}+\left\|x_{1}-y_{1}\right\|\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{1}, x_{1}, y_{1} \in B$, for all $s, t \in[0, T]$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$.
$\left(H_{5}\right)^{\prime}$ There exist constants $L_{h} \equiv L_{h}\left(t, u_{1}, r_{1}\right)>0$ and $0<\theta_{2} \leq 1$, such that $h$ : $V_{1} \times[0, T] \rightarrow[0, T]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& |h(x, t)-h(y, s)| \leq L_{h}\left(\|x-y\|_{1}+|t-s|^{\theta_{2}}\right),  \tag{29}\\
& h(\cdot, 0)=0 \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{1}$ and for all $s, t \in[0, T]$.
$\left(H_{6}\right)^{\prime}$ There exists constant $L_{g} \equiv L_{g}\left(t, u_{1}, r_{1}\right)>0$ such that the continuous function $g:[0, T] \times V \rightarrow X_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g(t, x)-g(s, y)\|_{1} \leq L_{g}\{|t-s|+\|x-y\|\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B$ and $t, s \in[0, T]$.
Then we have the following theorem on the existence and uniqueness of a solution to Problem (1).

Theorem 3.3 Let $u_{0} \in X_{1}$ and let the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)^{\prime}-\left(H_{6}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(H_{7}\right)$ hold. Then there exist a positive number $T_{0}$ and a unique solution $u(t)$ to Problem (11) on the interval $I \equiv\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ such that $u \in C_{L}(I ; X) \cap C^{1}\left(\left(0, T_{0}\right) ; X\right) \cap C(I ; X)$, where

$$
C_{L}(I ; X)=\left\{\psi \in C\left(I ; X_{1}\right):\|\psi(t)-\psi(s)\| \leq L|t-s| \text { for all } t, s \in I\right\}
$$

for some constant $L>0$. Moreover, we assume that there are positive constants $k_{4}(t)$, $k_{5}(t)$ and $k_{6}(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f(t, x, y)\|_{\alpha} & \leq k_{4}(t)\left(1+\|x\|_{1}+\|y\|\right) \text { for } 0<\alpha<1,  \tag{32}\\
|h(x, t)| & \leq k_{5}(t)\left(1+\|x\|_{1}\right)  \tag{33}\\
\|g(t, y)\|_{1} & \leq k_{6}(t)(1+\|y\|) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x \in X_{1}$ and $y \in X$. Then the unique solution of (1) exists for all $t \geq 0$.
Proof. We define a map $P$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P v(t)=U( & t, 0)\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]-g(t, v(a(t)))+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) g(s, v(a(s))) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s, v(s), v(h(v(s), s))) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $t \in I=\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ and for each $v \in C\left(I, B_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2, the map $P$ from $C\left(I, B_{1}\right)$ into $C\left(I ; X_{1}\right)$ is well defined.

Let

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{y \in C\left(I ; X_{1}\right) \cap C_{L}(I ; X): y(0)=u_{0}, \quad \sup _{t \in I}\left\|y(t)-u_{0}\right\|_{1} \leq r\right\}
$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{S}$ is nonempty, closed, and bounded subset of $C\left(I ; X_{1}\right) \cap C_{L}(I ; X)$. Thus $\mathcal{S}$ is a complete metric space. It can be proved that the map $P: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a contraction mapping. The proof can be obtained by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, so we omit the details of the proof.

We now prove the asymptotic stability of a solution to Problem (11) that is based on ideas of Friedman [3] and Webb [19].

Theorem 3.4 Let the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$ hold and $u_{0} \in X_{\beta}$, where $0<\alpha<$ $\beta \leq 1$. Then there exists a continuous solution $u(t)$ to Problem (1) on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ for some $T_{0}>0$.

In addition, suppose that there exist continuous functions $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ that map $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$, and there exist constants $c_{4}>0$ and $c_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f(t, u(t), u(h(u(t), t)))\| & \leq c_{4}\left(\epsilon_{1}(t)+\|u(t)\|_{\alpha}+\|u(t)\|_{\alpha-1}\right) \text { for } 0<\alpha<1  \tag{35}\\
\|g(t, u(a(t)))\|_{1} & \leq c_{5}\left(\epsilon_{2}(t)+\|u(t)\|_{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Then
(i) if $\epsilon_{1}(t)$ and $\epsilon_{2}(t)$ are bounded on $[0, \infty)$, then $\|u(t)\|_{\alpha}$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$;
(ii) if $\epsilon_{1}(t)$ and $\epsilon_{2}(t)$ are of $O\left(e^{\sigma t}\right)$ for some $-1<\sigma<0$, then $\|u(t)\|_{\alpha}=O\left(e^{\sigma t}\right)$;
(iii) if $\epsilon_{1}(t)$ and $\epsilon_{2}(t)$ are of $o(1)$, then $\|u(t)\|_{\alpha}=o(1)$.

Proof. It can be seen that there exists $0<\theta<\delta$ (cf. 4, see page 176]) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A(t)^{\gamma} U(t, 0)\right\| \leq \frac{C}{t^{\gamma}} e^{-\theta t}, \text { if } t>0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and some constant $C>0$. The solution to Problem (1) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t)=U(t, 0)\left[u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right]-g(t, u(a(t)))+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) A(s) g(s, u(a(s))) d s \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s, u(s), u(h(u(s), s))) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in I$. Now, for $t>0$, put $\varphi(t)=e^{\theta t}\|u(t)\|_{\alpha}$. Using (37) in the solution of (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t) & \leq C t^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|+c_{5}\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\|\left(\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\| \varphi(t)+e^{\theta t} \epsilon_{2}(t)\right) \\
& +C c_{5} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\theta s}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left(\epsilon_{2}(s)+\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\|u(s)\|_{\alpha}\right) d s \\
& +C c_{4} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\theta s}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left[\epsilon_{1}(s)+\|u(s)\|_{\alpha}+\|u(s)\|_{\alpha-1}\right] d s \\
& \leq C t^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|+c_{5}\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\|\left(\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\| \varphi(t)+e^{\theta t} \epsilon_{2}(t)\right) \\
& +C \int_{0}^{t}\left[c_{4} \epsilon_{1}(s)+c_{5} \epsilon_{2}(s)\right] e^{\theta s}(t-s)^{-\alpha} d s \\
& +C\left[c_{4}\left(1+\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\right)+c_{5}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\right] \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} \varphi(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(t) \leq & \left\{C_{0} t^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|+C_{0} e^{\theta t} \epsilon_{2}(t)+C_{0} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\theta s}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left[c_{4} \epsilon_{1}(s)+c_{5} \epsilon_{2}(s)\right] d s\right\} \\
& +C_{0} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} \varphi(s) d s \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{0}=\frac{\max \left\{C, c_{5}\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\|, C\left[c_{4}\left(1+\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\right)+c_{5}\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\right]\right\}}{\left(1-c_{5}\left\|A(0)^{\alpha-1}\right\|\left\|A(0)^{-1}\right\|\right)}$. Denote

$$
\chi(t)=C_{0} t^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|+C_{0} e^{\theta t} \epsilon_{2}(t)+C_{0} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\theta s}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\left[c_{4} \epsilon_{1}(s)+c_{5} \epsilon_{2}(s)\right] d s
$$

Then it is clear that

$$
\chi(t) \leq C_{0} t^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|+C_{0} e^{\theta t} \epsilon_{2}(t)+\tilde{C} e^{\theta t} \sup _{0 \leq s<\infty}\left\{c_{4} \epsilon_{1}(s)+c_{5} \epsilon_{2}(s)\right\}
$$

for some constant $\tilde{C}>0$. By the method of iteration, we get from (38) that

$$
\varphi(t) \leq \chi(t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left[\sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{j-1-j \alpha}[\Gamma(1-\alpha)]^{j}}{\Gamma(j-j \alpha)}\right] \chi(s) d s
$$

Since the series in the bracket is bounded by $D_{1}(t-s)^{-\alpha} \exp \left[D_{2}(t-s)^{1-\alpha}\right]$ for some constants $D_{1}, D_{2}>0$, it follows that, for $t \geq 1$ and for any $\lambda>0$,

$$
\varphi(t) \leq D_{3} e^{\lambda t}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|+D_{4} e^{\theta t} \epsilon_{2}(t)+D_{5} e^{\theta t} \sup _{0 \leq s<\infty}\left\{c_{4} \epsilon_{1}(s)+c_{5} \epsilon_{2}(s)\right\}
$$

where $D_{3}, D_{4}$ and $D_{5}$ are some positive constants. Thus, for any $0<\theta_{0}<\theta$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq D_{3} e^{-\theta_{0} t}\left\|u_{0}+g\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|+D_{4} \epsilon_{2}(t)+D_{5} \sup _{0 \leq s<\infty}\left\{c_{4} \epsilon_{1}(s)+c_{5} \epsilon_{2}(s)\right\} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the proof follows from the inequality (39).
Remark 3.1 If $A(t)$ is a self adjoint positive definite operator in a Hilbert space $X$, then Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 can be strengthened. The assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ imply that for $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and for all $s, t \in[0, T]$ [11, page 185],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A(t)^{\gamma} A(s)^{-\gamma}\right\| \leq C\left\|A(t) A(s)^{-1}\right\|^{\gamma} \leq \widetilde{C_{1}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C, \widetilde{C_{1}}>0$ are constants. Then Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 can be proved with less regularity assumption on $u_{0}$.

## 4 Example

Consider the following problem with a deviating argument

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[w(t, x) \quad & g(t, w(a(t), x))]+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} w(t, x)+b(t, x) w(t, x)  \tag{41}\\
& =H(x, w(t, x))+G(t, x, w(t, x)) \\
w(t, 0)= & w(t, 1), \quad t>0 ; \\
w(0, x)= & w_{0}(x), \quad x \in(0,1)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $b(t, x)$ is a continuous function in $x$ and uniformly Hölder continuous function in $t$. Here $H(x, w(t, x))=\int_{0}^{x} K(x, y) w(\widetilde{g}(t)|w(t, y)|, y) d y$ for all $(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times(0,1)$. Assume that $\widetilde{g}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is locally Hölder continuous in $t$ with $\widetilde{g}(0)=0$ and $K \in$
$C^{1}([0,1] \times[0,1] ; \mathbb{R})$. The function $G: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in $x$, locally Hölder continuous in $t$, locally Lipschitz continuous in $u$, uniformly in $x$.

Let $X=L^{2}((0,1) ; \mathbb{R}), A(t) u(t)(x)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} u(t, x)-b(t, x) u(t, x)$. Then $X_{1}=$ $D(A(0))=H^{2}(0,1) \cap H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ and $X_{1 / 2}=D\left((A(0))^{1 / 2}\right)=H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Then the family $\{A(t): t>0\}$ satisfies the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ on each bounded interval $[0, T]$ ( see [4, 6]).

Put $w(t, \cdot) \equiv u(t)$, then Problem (41) can be written as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d}{d t}[u(t)+g(t, u(a(t)))]+A(t) u(t) & =f(t, u(t), u(h(u(t), t))), t>0  \tag{42}\\
u(0) & =u_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We define $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \times H^{-1}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{2}(0,1)$ by

$$
f(t, \phi, \psi)=H(x, \psi)+G(t, \phi)
$$

for $\phi \in H^{-1}(0,1) \equiv H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ and $\psi \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ Here $H: H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{2}(0,1)$ is defined as $H(x, \psi(x, t))=\int_{0}^{x} K(x, y) \psi(y, t) d y$ for $x \in(0,1)$ and $\psi \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Then it can be proved that $f$ satisfies the assumption $\left(H_{4}\right)$ for $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. We assume $h: H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}$defined by $h(\phi(x, t), t)=\widetilde{g}(t)|\phi(x, t)|$ satisfies the assumption $\left(H_{5}\right)$ for $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ ( see Gal [7). We also assume that the function $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times L^{2}(0,1) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ satisfies the assumption $\left(H_{6}\right)$ for $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. We can take the function $a(t)$ where $a(t)=k t$ for $t \in[0, T]$ and $0<k \leq 1$. Thus, we can apply our the results to study the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of a solution to Problem (41).
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#### Abstract

We examine the synchronization transition of a pair of unidirectionally coupled gyroscope. Based on Lyapunov stability theory and linear matrix inequalities (LMI), some necessary and sufficient criteria for stable synchronous behaviour are obtained and an exact analytic estimate of the threshold for complete chaos synchronization is derived. Finally, numerical simulation results are presented to validate the feasibility of the theoretical analysis.
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## 1 Introduction

In the last two decades, an intensive research activity has been devoted to the study of dynamics of coupled and driven chaotic systems. Despite the considerable body of knowledge that has already been gained and established, research on coupled nonlinear systems still remains an active field. In view of the importance of the classical results from the dynamics of driven or coupled harmonic oscillators in science and technology, the question of which phenomena emerge when chaotic oscillators are coupled or somehow

[^3]driven or perturbed has been and is still of great interest. The most relevant and widely studied phenomena until now are the synchronization [1/5] and the suppression of chaos [2, 4] 8. Due to the potential applications in various areas of science and technology, synchronization between two dynamical system has stimulated a wide range of research activity and many effective methods have been presented [1, 9,11 .

In the past, research on chaos synchronization and its applications has intensively focused on the autonomous chaotic systems such as Lorenz, Chen, Rössler etc, but recently, the dynamics and synchronization of non-autonomous chaotic systems such as Duffing oscillator, gyroscopes, etc have witnessed tremendous research interest due to their potential applications in engineering and life sciences 12 18. In particular, the gyroscopes, from a purely scientific viewpoint show strange and interesting properties, and from engineering viewpoint, they have great utility in the navigation of rockets, aircrafts, spacecrafts and in the control of complex mechanical system. In the past years, the gyroscope has been found with rich phenomena [12, 19, 20, for example, when subjected to harmonic vertical base excitations, it exhibits a variety of interesting dynamical behaviours that span the range from regular to chaotic motions [11, 12, 20-22].

The synchronization of the symmetric gyroscope model presented in Ref. [12] has been achieved using different methods, for example, four different kinds of one way coupling [12], active control [23], backstepping design [13, 24], fuzzy logic controller [25], sliding mode control [26, 27], sliding based fuzzy control [28] and so on. Very recently, synchronization of uncertain gyros was considered in [29]. Among the above methods, it is well known that linear feedback method provides simple control inputs for synchronization and has lately been employed to achieve stable synchronization in various unidirectionally coupled systems including, double well Duffing oscillators (DDOs) 30, parametrically excited Duffing oscillators 31 and the gyroscope. However, a crucial issue is the assessment of stability analysis for feedback controlled system and the determination of appropriate feedback gains that would guarantee stable synchronization. Since the beginning of the studies on synchronization of chaotic systems, the stability of synchronous motion was considered the most crucial question needed to be addressed, in order to furnish the proper conditions for a laboratory verification of theoretical findings. The problem of stability can be tackled in different ways and different criteria could be established, depending on specific conditions of interest.

One of the most popular and widely used criterion is the conditional Lyapunov exponents, which constitute average measurements of expansion or shrinkage of small displacements along the synchronized trajectory. However, it has been shown that negativity of the conditional Lyapunov exponents is not a sufficient condition for a stable synchronized state due to some unstable invariant sets in the stable synchronization manifold [32. Whether this condition is necessary or not has remained an open issue (see 33] and references therein), and needs to be studied further. In 30, we proposed a linear state error feedback approach based on Lyapunov stability theory and Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI) 34, to analyze the stability of the synchronized state and also determine sufficient criteria for stable synchronous behaviour. This method is used because, it is known that many engineering optimization problem can be easily translated into linear matrix inequality (LMI) problems and a wide variety of problems arising in system and control theory can be reduced to a few standard convex or quasi-convex optimization problems involving LMI. The resulting optimization problem can be solved numerically with very high efficiency [35]. Moreover, the Lyapunov methods which are traditionally applied to the analysis of system stability, can just as well be used to determine thresh-
old coupling, $k_{t h}$, at which complete synchronization could be reached in master-slave or mutually coupled oscillators. Critical coupling for the on-set of stable synchronization in coupled or driven oscillators is relevant for various scientific and technological applications 36.

In this paper, we consider the synchronization of unidirectionally coupled gyroscopes. We propose a novel stability criterion using Lyapunov stability theory and linear matrix inequality (LMI) to determine the threshold coupling, $k_{t h}$, at which full and stable synchronous behaviour could be reached in the master-slave coupled gyroscope. The advantage of our method is that the coupling parameters of the system can be obtained at the same time by solving the LMI without predetermining them to check the criterion. Furthermore, the LMI can be easily solved by various optimization algorithms. The sufficient criteria can be applied to directly design the coupling strength resulting in the synchronization. The rest of the paper is structured as follows: in the next section, we present the synchronization scheme, while Section 3 is devoted to synchronization threshold and stability criteria, Section 4 is devoted to numerical results and discussions and the paper is concluded in Section 5 .

## 2 Model and Synchronization Preliminaries

Here, we consider the motion of the symmetric gyro with linear-plus-cubic damping given as 12 ]

$$
\ddot{\theta}+\alpha^{2} \frac{(1-\cos \theta)^{2}}{\sin ^{3} \theta}-\beta \sin \theta+c_{1} \dot{\theta}+c_{2} \dot{\theta}^{3}=(f \sin \omega t) \sin \theta
$$

where $f \sin \omega t$ is a parametric excitation, $c_{1} \dot{\theta}$ and $c_{2} \dot{\theta}^{3}$ are linear and nonlinear damping, respectively and $\alpha^{2} \frac{(1-\cos \theta)^{2}}{\sin ^{3} \theta}-\beta \sin \theta$ is a nonlinear resilence force. After necessary transformation, the gyroscope equation in non-dimensional form can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=x_{2}  \tag{1}\\
& \dot{x}_{2}=-\alpha^{2} \frac{\left(1-\cos x_{1}\right)^{2}}{\sin ^{3} x_{1}}-c_{1} x_{2}-c_{2} x_{2}^{3}+(\beta+f \sin \omega t) \sin x_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\beta_{\phi}}{I_{1}}=\frac{I_{3} \omega_{z}}{I_{1}}, \quad c_{1}=\frac{D_{1}}{I_{1}}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{D_{1}}{I_{1}}, \quad \beta=\frac{M_{g} l}{I_{1}}, \quad f=\frac{M_{g} \bar{l}}{I_{1}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nonlinear gyro given by Eq. (1) exhibits varieties of dynamical behaviour including chaotic motion displayed in Figure 1 for the following parameters $\alpha^{2}=100, \beta=$ $1, c_{1}=0.5, c_{2}=0.05, \omega=2$, and $f=35.5$ as given in 12.

By letting $\eta(t)=\beta+f \sin \omega t$ and using the first two terms of the Taylor series expansion of $\frac{\left(1-\cos x_{1}\right)^{2}}{\sin ^{3} x_{1}}$, system (11) can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1} & =x_{2} \\
\dot{x}_{2} & =-\frac{\alpha^{2} x_{1}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2} x_{1}^{3}}{12}-c_{1} x_{2}-c_{2} x_{2}^{3}+\eta(t) \sin x_{1} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

To facilitate the present analysis, we express system (3) in the following vector form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: (a) The Poincaré map and (b) phase portrait showing a chaotic attractor of nonlinear gyroscope with the following parameters $\alpha^{2}=100, \beta=1, c_{1}=0.5, c_{2}=0.05, \omega=2$, and $f=35.5$.
where $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T} \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ are state space variables and

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
\frac{-\alpha^{2}}{4} & -c_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\alpha^{2} x_{1}^{3}}{12} & -c_{2} x_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})=\eta\binom{0}{\sin x_{1}}
$$

In order to examine the synchronization between two unidirectional coupled gyroscopes, we construct a master-slave synchronization scheme for two identical chaotic gyroscopes by linear state error feedback controller in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
M & : \dot{\mathbf{x}}=A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \\
S & : \dot{\mathbf{y}}=A \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})+\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}), \\
C & : \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t})=K(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}=K(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$ is the linear state feedback control input and $K \in \mathbf{R}^{2 \times 2}$ is a constant control matrix that determines the strength of the feedback into the response system. By defining the synchronization error variable as the difference between the relevant dynamical variables given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}=x-y \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the error dynamics for the master-slave system (5) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{e}}=\left(A-K+M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})+G\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\alpha^{2} m_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)}{12} & -c_{2} m_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right), \\
& m_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}, \\
& m_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=x_{2}^{2}+x_{2} y_{2}+y_{2}^{2},  \tag{8}\\
& G\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\eta\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right), g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=-\frac{\left(\sin x_{1}-\sin y_{1}\right)}{x_{1}-y_{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the absence of the control matrix $K$ Eq. (7) would have an equilibrium at ( 0,0 ). Our aim is to choose the appropriate coupling matrix $K$ such that the trajectories of the master system $x(t)$ and slave one $y(t)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|e\|=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|x(t)-y(t)\|=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|*\|$ represents Euclidean norm of a vector.

## 3 Threshold and Criteria for Synchronization

Here, we have employed the Lyapunov's direct method and linear matrix inequality (LMI) 37] to establish some criteria for global chaos synchronization in the sense of error system (77). The classical method of Lyapunov stability theory which employs Lyapunov functionals was known for the analysis and synthesis of synchronization dynamics of coupled and driven oscillators (e.g see Refs. 38|39). In addition to the familiar approach of analyzing and synthesizing the synchronization behaviour of coupled systems; the present paper employed the Lyapunov direct method to obtain the threshold coupling at which the two systems become completely synchronized.

To begin with, we have applied the following assumption and lemma to prove the main theorem of this paper.

Assumption. The chaotic trajectory of the master gyroscope (11) is bounded i.e. for any bounded initial condition $x(0)$ within the defining domain of the drive system, there exists a positive real constant, $\sigma$, such that $\mid(x(t) \mid \leq \sigma \forall t \geq 0$.

Remark 1 This assumption is reasonable and valid in the context of bounded feature of chaotic attractors [40].

Lemma 1 For $g\left(x_{1} \cdot y_{1}\right)$ defined earlier, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right| \leq 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof. By the differential mean-value theorem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin x_{1}-\sin y_{1}=\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right) \cos \phi, \phi \in\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \text { or } \phi \in\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\frac{-\left(\sin x_{1}-\sin y_{1}\right)}{x_{1}-y_{1}}=-(\cos \phi) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the inequality (10) holds.
Next, we proceed by utilizing the stability theory on time-varied systems 34 to derive sufficient criteria for global chaos synchronization in the sense of the error system (7). The following theorem is related to the general control matrix

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k_{11} & k_{12}  \tag{13}\\
k_{21} & k_{22}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2 \times 2}
$$

Theorem 1 The master-slave system (4) achieves global chaos synchronization if a symmetric positive matrix

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{11} & p_{12}  \tag{14}\\
p_{12} & p_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and a coupling matrix $K \in \mathbf{R}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (13) are chosen such that for any $t>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{1}=-p_{11} k_{11}-p_{12} k_{21}+\left|p_{12}\right| \omega^{\beta}<0  \tag{15}\\
& \Omega_{2}=p_{12}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-p_{22}\left(k_{22}+c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)<0  \tag{16}\\
& 4 \Omega_{1} . \Omega_{2}>L^{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$L=\left[\left|p_{11}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-p_{12}\left(k_{11}+k_{22}+c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)-p_{22} k_{21}\right| p_{22} \omega^{\beta}\right]$,
where $\omega^{\beta}=\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}$.
Proof. Let us assume a quadratic Lyapunov function of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(e)=\mathbf{e}^{T} P \mathbf{e} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is a positive definite symmetric matrix defined in (14). The derivative of the Lyapunov function with respect to time, $t$, along the trajectory of the error system (7) is of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V}(e)=\dot{\mathbf{e}}^{T} P \mathbf{e}+\mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{P} \dot{\mathbf{e}} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (7) into the system (19), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V}(e)=\mathbf{e}^{T}\left[(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{G})^{T} \mathbf{P}+\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{G})\right] \mathbf{e} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dot{V}(e)<0$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=(A-K+M+\mathbf{G})^{T} \mathbf{P}+\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{G})<0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{11} & \mu_{12}  \tag{22}\\
\mu_{12} & \mu_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu_{11}=-2 p_{11} k_{11}+2 p_{12}\left(\eta g-\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}+\frac{\alpha^{2} m_{1}}{12}+k_{21}\right)\right), \mu_{12}=p_{11}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-p_{12}\left(k_{11}+\right.$ $\left.k_{22}+c_{1}+c_{2} m_{2}\right)+p_{22}\left(\eta g-\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}+\frac{\alpha^{2} m_{1}}{12}+k_{21}\right)\right)$ and $\mu_{22}=2 p_{12}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-2 p_{22}\left(c_{1}+\right.$ $c_{2} m_{2}+k_{22}$ ) respectively. The symmetric matrix in (22) is negative definite if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 p_{11} k_{11}+2 p_{12} L^{\alpha} & <0  \tag{23}\\
2 p_{12}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-2 p_{22}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} m_{2}+k_{22}\right) & <0  \tag{24}\\
4 L_{1} L_{2}-L_{3} & >0 \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L^{\alpha}=\eta g-\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}+\frac{\alpha^{2} m_{1}}{12}+k_{21}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1} & =\left[p_{12} L^{\alpha}-p_{11} k_{11}\right] \\
L_{2} & =\left[p_{12}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-p_{22}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} m_{2}+k_{22}\right)\right] \\
L_{3} & =\left[p_{11}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-p_{12}\left(k_{11}+k_{22}+c_{1}+c_{2} m_{2}\right)+p_{22} L^{\alpha}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the Assumption that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|m_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right| & =\left|x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 3 \sigma_{1}^{2} \\
\left|m_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|x_{2}^{2}+x_{2} y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 3 \sigma_{2}^{2} \\
|\eta(t)| & =b|\beta+f \sin \omega t| \leq \beta+|f| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the matrix $P$ is positive definite, we have $p_{11} p_{22}-p_{12}^{2}>0$, so that $-2 p_{11} k_{11}+$ $2 p_{12} L^{\alpha} \leq-2 p_{11} k_{11}-2 p_{12} k_{21}+\left|2 p_{12}\right| L^{\alpha} \leq 2 \Omega_{1}$,
$\left|p_{11}\left(1-k_{12}\right)-p_{12}\left(k_{11}+k_{22}+c_{1}+c_{2} m_{2}\right)+p_{22}\left[\eta g-\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}+\frac{\alpha^{2} m_{1}}{12}+k_{21}\right)\right]\right| \leq \mid p_{11}(1-$ $\left.k_{12}\right)-p_{12}\left(k_{11}+k_{22}+c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)-p_{22} k_{21} \left\lvert\,+p_{22}\left(\eta-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}\right)\right.$.
The inequalities (23)-(25) hold if the inequalities (15)-(17) are satisfied. This completes the proof.

For the purpose of applications, it is necessary that the simplest possible synchronization controllers are employed. Hence, the following corollaries can be obtained from the main theorem of this paper.

Corollary 1 If the coupling matrix is defined by $\mathbf{K}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ and the symmetric positive definite matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is as defined in (24) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}>\frac{\left|p_{12}\right|\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)}{p_{11}}  \tag{26}\\
& k_{2}>\frac{p_{12}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{22}}{p_{22}}  \tag{27}\\
& 4\left[\left|p_{12}\right|\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)-p_{11} k_{1}\right]\left[p_{12}-p_{22}\left(k_{2}+c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)\right]> \\
& {\left[\left\lvert\,\left(p_{11}-p_{12}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) \left\lvert\,+p_{22}\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)\right.\right]^{2}\right.\right.} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

then the master-slave system (4) achieves global chaos synchronization.
Proof. The inequalities (26) - (28) can be obtained according to the inequalities (15)-(17) with $k_{11}=k_{1}, k_{22}=k_{2}$ and $k_{12}=k_{21}=0$.

Corollary 2 The master-slave system (4) achieves global chaos synchronization if the coupling matrix $\mathbf{K}=\operatorname{diag}\{k, k\}$ and the positive symmetric matrix $\mathbf{P}$ defined in (14) are chosen such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& k=\max \left(\frac{\left|p_{12}\right|\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)}{p_{11}}, \quad \frac{p_{12}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{22}}{p_{22}}\right) \geq 0  \tag{29}\\
& \quad 4\left(p_{11} p_{22}-p_{12}^{2}\right) k^{2}-4 k\left[2 p_{22}\left|p_{12}\right|\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p_{11}\left(p_{12}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{22}\right)\right)-\mid p_{12}\left(p_{11}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{12} \mid\right] \\
& \quad+4\left|p_{12}\right|\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)\left(p_{12}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{22}\right)  \tag{30}\\
& -\left[\left|p_{11}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{12}\right|+p_{22}\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)\right]^{2}>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Letting $k_{1}=k_{2}=k$ in the partial synchronization conditions (26) and (27), the inequality (29)) can be obtained.

For $k>0$ given by (29), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\left|p_{11}-p_{12}\left(2 k+c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)\right|+p_{22}\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)\right]^{2} \leq} \\
{\left[\left|p_{11}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{12}\right|+2 k\left|p_{12}\right|+p_{22}\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)\right]^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, the inequality (30) can be realised by partial synchronization criterion (28) with $k_{1}=k_{2}=k$. Since $p_{11} p_{22}-p_{12}^{2}>0$, the solution $k$ to the inequality (30) exists.

Remark 2 We select the elements of the positive symmetric matrix $\mathbf{P}$ as $p_{12}=$ $0, p_{11}=p_{22}\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)$, and obtain the following algebraic synchronization criterion via the inequalities (29) and (30).

$$
\begin{align*}
K & =\operatorname{diag}\{k, k\}, \\
k & >\frac{\sqrt{\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)}{2}=k_{t h}^{1} . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 3 The synchronization scheme (5) achieves global chaos synchronization if the control matrix $K=\operatorname{diag}\{k, 0\}$ and a symmetric positive definite matrix $\mathbf{P}$ given in (14) are selected such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& k>b \frac{\left|p_{12}\right| \gamma}{p_{11}}  \tag{32}\\
& n p_{12}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{22}<0  \tag{33}\\
& \quad k\left[\left|p_{12}\left(p_{11}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{12}\right)\right|+\left|p_{12}\right| p_{22} \gamma-2\left(\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{22}-p_{12}\right) p_{11}\right] \\
& p_{12}^{2} k^{2} 2+4\left|p_{12}\right|\left(\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{22}-p_{12}\right) \gamma+\left[\left|p_{11}-\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) p_{12}\right|+p_{22} \gamma\right]^{2}<0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma=\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}$.
Remark 3 We select the symmetric positive definite matrix

$$
\mathbf{P}=p_{22}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $p_{22}>0$.
The following synchronization criterion is gained based on the criteria (31)-(34).

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\operatorname{diag}\{k, 0\}, \quad k>\frac{\beta+|f|+\frac{\alpha^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}}{2\left(c_{1}+3 c_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Results and Discussion

In this section, we present numerical simulation results to confirm the obtained criteria. We utilized the fourth order Runge-Kutta routine with the following initial conditions $\left(x_{1}(0), y_{1}(0)\right)=(1.0,-1.0),\left(x_{2}(0), y_{2}(0)\right)=(1.0,-1.2)$, a time-step of 0.001 and fixing the parameter values of $\alpha^{2}=100, \beta=1, c_{1}=0.5, c_{2}=0.05, \omega=2$, and $f=35.5$ as in Figure 1, to ensure chaotic motion, we solved the master-slave system (4) with the control matrices as defined in Eqs. (31) and (35). The simulation results obtained reveal that the trajectory of the master gyroscope depicted in Figure 1 is bounded and the error dynamics shown in Figure 2 oscillate chaotically with time when the two systems are decoupled. The partial variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ of the chaotic attractor satisfy $x_{1}(t)=x_{2}(t)<1.25$ for any $t \geq 0$. Thus we find out that the constant $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=1.25$.

The critical coupling at which complete synchronization could be observed is vital for many scientific and technological applications because it provides useful information regarding the operational regime for optimal performance in coupled systems. In Figure 3 , we displayed a simulation result of average error, $E_{\text {ave }}$, against coupling, $k$, and noticed that as $k$ increases and as full synchronization is approached, $E_{\text {ave }} \rightarrow 0$ asymptotically


Figure 2: Average error, $E_{\text {ave }}$, as a function of time for the uncoupled systems with the same parameters as in Figure 1.
at the threshold coupling, $k_{t h} \approx 5.98$. Then for all $k>k_{t h}, E_{\text {ave }}=0$ and remains stable as $t \rightarrow \infty$ implying that the oscillators are completely synchronized. Interestingly, we noticed that by direct calculations of Eq. (31) for the control matrix $K=\operatorname{diag}\{k, k\}$, $k>k_{t h}=6.18$. Thus the obtained criterion is in good agreement with numerical simulation result.


Figure 3: Average Error dynamics, $E_{\text {ave }}$, as a function of the coupling strength, $k$. Here the parameters of the system are as in Figure 1.

Using the criterion defined by Eq. (31), one readily obtains a coupling matrix $K=$
$\operatorname{diag}\{6.18,6.18\}$ by which the master-slave system (4) achieves chaos synchronization. Figure 4 shows the synchronization for $k=6.2$. Finally, we depict the simulation results for the second case in which we choose constant control matrix $K=\operatorname{diag}\{k, 0\}$, such that $k>34.43$ which satisfies the condition in Eq. (35). The simulation results displayed in Figure 4 confirmed that complete synchronization is achieved for $k=35.0>k_{t h}$. Notice that in both cases, the synchronization is already reached at $t=1.0$, showing an excellent transient performance.


Figure 4: Chaos synchronization of two linearly coupled gyroscopes with the coupling strength $K=\operatorname{diag}\{6.20,6.20\}$ and $K=\operatorname{diag}\{35.0,0\}$.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper an analytical method based on Lyapunov stability theory and linear matrix inequality (LMI) have been utilized to examine the stability of synchronized dynamics and thus determine the threshold coupling, $k_{t h}$, at which stable synchronization regime could be observed in master-slave parametrically excited gyroscope. The criteria obtained in this paper are in algebraic form and could be easily employed for designing the feedback control gains that would guarantee complete and stable synchronization. Finally, we have presented numerical simulation results to verify the effectiveness of the obtained criteria.
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#### Abstract

We consider the existence of positive solutions for a class of secondorder m-point boundary value problem with p-Laplacian on time scales. By using Avery-Peterson's fixed point theorem, sufficent conditions for the positive solutions are established. Meanwhile an example is worked out to illustrate the main result.
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## 1 Introduction

Calculus on time scales was introduced by Hilger (see 6), as a theory which is undergoing rapid development as it provides a unifying structure for the study of differential equations in the continuous case and the study of difference equations in the discrete case. Some preliminary definitions and theorems on time scales can be found in books [3, 4] which are excellent references for calculus of time scales. Also, there is much attention paid to the study of multipoint boundary value problem (see [1,2,7,13).

In 5 the following $m$-point boundary value problem on time scales was studied

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\Delta \nabla}(t)+q(t) f(u(t))=0, \quad t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \\
u^{\Delta}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_{i} u^{\Delta}\left(\xi_{i}\right), \quad u(T)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

[^4]where $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 0(i=1,2, \ldots, m-2)$, and $\xi_{i} \in(0, \rho(T))_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\ldots<\xi_{m-2}<$ $\rho(T)$. And the existence of at least two positive solutions of the above problem was established by means of a fixed point theorem in a cone.

Zhao and Ge [13] studied the following m-point boundary value problem on time scales

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\Delta}\right)\right)^{\nabla}(t)+h(t) f\left(t, u(t), u^{\Delta}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\
u(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right), \quad u^{\Delta}(+\infty)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_{i} u^{\Delta}\left(\eta_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \geq 0 \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, m-2)$, and $\eta_{i} \in(0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $\sigma(0)<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\ldots<$ $\eta_{m-2}<+\infty$. They established new criteria for the existence of at least three unbounded positive solutions by using Avery-Peterson's fixed point theorem.

Ji, Bai and Ge [7] studied the following singular multipoint boundary value problem on time scales

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t)+a(t) f(u(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \\
& u^{\prime}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right), \quad u^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\ldots<\xi_{m-2}<1,0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\ldots<\eta_{m-2}<1, \xi_{i}<\eta_{i}, \alpha_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$. By using fixed point index theory and the Legget-Williams fixed point theorem, sufficent conditions for the existence of countably many positive solutions are established.

Sun, Wang and Fan 10 studied the nonlocal boundary value problem with pLaplacian of the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\Delta}\right)\right)^{\nabla}(t)+h(t) f(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{m}\right]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\
u^{\Delta}\left(t_{1}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{j} u^{\Delta}\left(\eta_{j}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \varepsilon_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right)=0, \\
u^{\Delta}\left(t_{m}\right)=0,
\end{array}
$$

where $0 \leq t_{1} \leq \xi_{1} \leq \xi_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \xi_{m-2} \leq t_{m}$ and $0 \leq t_{1} \leq \eta_{1} \leq \eta_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \eta_{m-2} \leq t_{m}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}>0, \quad \theta_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. By using the Four functionals fixed point theorem and Five Functionals fixed point theorem, they obtained the existence criteria of at least one positive solution and three positive solutions.

Inspired by the mentioned works, in this paper we consider the following m-point boundary value problem (BVP) with p-Laplacian

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\Delta}\right)\right)^{\nabla}(t)+h(t) f\left(t, x(t), x^{\Delta}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}  \tag{1}\\
& x^{\Delta}(0)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)=0, \quad x^{\Delta}(1)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ is a time scale, $\phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$ for $p>1,\left(\phi_{p}\right)^{-1}(s)=\phi_{q}(s)$, and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(H1) $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\ldots<\xi_{m-2}<\rho(1), 0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\ldots<\eta_{m-2}<\rho(1), \xi_{i}<\eta_{i}, \alpha_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m-2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}<1$ and $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)\right]^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)<1$,
(H2) $f \in \mathcal{C}\left([0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times[0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty),(0, \infty)\right)$,
(H3) $h \in \mathcal{C}_{l d}\left([0,1]_{\mathbb{T}},[0, \infty)\right)$.
By using Avery-Peterson fixed point theorem, we establish the existence of at least three positive solutions for the BVP (11)-(2). The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some preliminary lemmas. We give and prove our main result in Section 3.

## 2 Preliminaries

To prove the main result in this paper, we will employ several lemmas. These lemmas are based on the BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\Delta}\right)\right)^{\nabla}(t)+y(t)=0, \quad t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}},  \tag{3}\\
x^{\Delta}(0)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)=0, \quad x^{\Delta}(1)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right)=0 . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 Let $(H 1)-(H 3)$ hold. Then for $y \in \mathcal{C}_{l d}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, the $B V P$ (3)-(4) has the unique solution

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(t)=\frac{\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}} \\
\quad-\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s, \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{x}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{1} y(s) \nabla s\right) \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s=0 . \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, there exists a unique $A_{x} \in\left(0, \int_{0}^{1} y(s) \nabla s\right)$ satisfying (6).

Proof. Integrating (3) from 0 to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\triangle}(t)=\phi_{q}\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\triangle}(0)\right)-\int_{0}^{t} y(s) \nabla s\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (7) from $t$ to 1 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=x(1)-\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{x}=\phi_{p}\left(x^{\triangle}(0)\right)$. Setting $t=\xi_{i}$ in (8) we have

$$
x\left(\xi_{i}\right)=x(1)-\int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, m-2
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x(1)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(1)=\frac{\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9) into (8) we see that $x(t)$ satisfies (5) on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. (4) boundary conditions satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\triangle}(0)+x^{\triangle}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right) \\
\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{1} y(s) \nabla s\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(x\left(\xi_{i}\right)-x\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right) \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(-\int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s+\int_{\eta_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s\right) \\
=-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} y(\tau) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s .
\end{gathered}
$$

So that BVP (3)-(4) has a solution $x(t)$ where $A_{x}$ satisfies (6).
For any $x \in \mathcal{C}_{l d}^{\triangle}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{x}(c)=\phi_{q}(c)+\phi_{q}\left(c-\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\triangle}(s)\right) \nabla s\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(c-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\triangle}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $H_{x}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and strictly increasing. $H_{x}(0)<0$, $H_{x}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\triangle}(s)\right) \nabla s\right)>0$, imply the existence of a unique $c=A_{x} \in\left(0, \int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\triangle}(s)\right) \nabla s\right)$ such that $H_{x}\left(A_{x}\right)=0$.

Lemma 2.2 If $(H 1)-(H 3)$ hold, then for $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {ld }}^{\triangle}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, the unique solution $x(t)$ of BVP (3)-(4) has the following properties:
(i) $x(t)$ is concave on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$,
(ii) $x(t)>0$.

Proof. Suppose that $x(t)$ is a solution of BVP (3)-(4), then
(i) $\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\triangle}\right)\right)^{\nabla}(t)=-h(t) f\left(t, x(t), x^{\Delta}(t)\right) \leq 0, \phi_{p}\left(x^{\triangle}\right)$ is nonincreasing so that $x^{\triangle}(t)$ is nonincreasing. This implies that $x(t)$ is concave.
(ii) We have $x^{\Delta}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)>0$ and
$x^{\Delta}(1)=\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\triangle}(s)\right) \nabla s\right)<0$. Furthermore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} x\left(\xi_{1}\right)-\alpha_{1} x(0)=\alpha_{1} \int_{0}^{\xi_{1}} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \leq \alpha_{1} \xi_{1} x^{\Delta}(0)=\alpha_{1} \xi_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right) \\
& \alpha_{2} x\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\alpha_{2} x(0)=\alpha_{2} \int_{0}^{\xi_{2}} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \leq \alpha_{2} \xi_{2} x^{\Delta}(0)=\alpha_{2} \xi_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we continue like this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{m-2} x\left(\xi_{m-2}\right)-\alpha_{m-2} x(0) & =\alpha_{m-2} \int_{0}^{\xi_{m-2}} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \leq \alpha_{m-2} \xi_{m-2} x^{\Delta}(0) \\
& =\alpha_{m-2} \xi_{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (H1), we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x(0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}<\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)
$$

which implies that $x(0)>0$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} x(1)-\alpha_{1} x\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1} \int_{\eta_{1}}^{1} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \geq \alpha_{1}\left(1-\eta_{1}\right) x^{\Delta}(1)=-\alpha_{1}\left(1-\eta_{1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right), \\
& \alpha_{2} x(1)-\alpha_{2} x\left(\eta_{2}\right)=\alpha_{2} \int_{\eta_{2}}^{1} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \geq \alpha_{2}\left(1-\eta_{2}\right) x^{\Delta}(1)=-\alpha_{2}\left(1-\eta_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we continue like this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{m-2} x(1)-\alpha_{m-2} x\left(\eta_{m-2}\right) & =\alpha_{m-2} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^{1} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \geq \alpha_{m-2}\left(1-\eta_{m-2}\right) x^{\Delta}(1) \\
& =-\alpha_{m-2}\left(1-\eta_{m-2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (H1), we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x(1)>0, x(1)>0$. Therefore, we get $x(t)>0, t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$.
Let $E=\mathcal{C}_{l d}^{\triangle}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, then $E$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|x\|=\max \left\{\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}|x(t)|, \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right|\right\}
$$

and choose the cone $P \subset E$ denoted by

$$
P=\left\{x \in E: x(t) \geq 0, x^{\Delta}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right), \quad x(t) \text { is concave on }[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}\right\} .
$$

Define the operator $T: P \rightarrow E$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
T x(t)= & \frac{\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\triangle}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}} \\
& -\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\triangle}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \triangle s \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 If (H1) holds, then $\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x(t) \leq M \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right|$ for $x \in P$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=1+\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $x \in P$, one arrives at

$$
x(1)-x(0) \leq \frac{x\left(\xi_{i}\right)-x(0)}{\xi_{i}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right) x(0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)
$$

By $x^{\Delta}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)$, we get

$$
x(0) \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)} x^{\Delta}(0) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} x^{\triangle}(s) \triangle s+x(0) \\
& \leq t x^{\triangle}(0)+x(0) \\
& \leq t x^{\triangle}(0)+\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)} x^{\triangle}(0) \\
& \leq\left[1+\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)}\right] x^{\triangle}(0) \\
& =M x^{\Delta}(0),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e,

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x(t) \leq M x^{\Delta}(0)=M \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x^{\Delta}(t) \leq M \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right|
$$

The proof is complete.
From Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x\| & =\max \left\{\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}|x(t)|, \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right|\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{M \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\triangle}(t)\right|, \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right|\right\} \\
& \leq M \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.4 For $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {ld }}^{\triangle}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, let $A_{x}$ satisfy (6) corresponding to $x$. Suppose that $(H 1)-(H 3)$ hold, then $A_{x}: \mathcal{C}_{l d}^{\triangle}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous about $x$.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}_{l d}^{\triangle}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $x_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0} \in \mathcal{C}_{l d}^{\triangle}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, then there exists $r_{0}$ such that

$$
\max \left\{\left\|x_{0}\right\|, \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right\}<r_{0}
$$

Let $A_{n} \quad(n=0,1, \ldots)$ be constants decided by (6) corresponding to $x_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$. By $(H 2)$, we get that $f(t, u, v)$ is bounded on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times\left[0, r_{0}\right]^{2}$. Set

$$
B_{r_{0}}=\sup \left\{f(t, u, v):(t, u, v) \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times\left[0, r_{0}\right]^{2}\right\}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \Delta s \leq B_{r_{0}} \int_{0}^{1} h(s) \Delta s=B_{r_{0}} \Lambda
$$

where $\Lambda=\int_{0}^{1} h(s) \Delta s, A_{n} \in\left[0, \int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \Delta s\right] \subseteq\left[0, B_{r_{0}} \Lambda\right]$, which means $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Suppose that sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ does not convergence, then there exist two subsequences $\left\{A_{n_{k}}^{(1)}\right\}, \quad\left\{A_{n_{k}}^{(2)}\right\}$ of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ with $A_{n_{k}}^{(1)} \longrightarrow c_{1}, A_{n_{k}}^{(2)} \longrightarrow c_{2}$, and $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$. Combining (H2) and using the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{q}\left(c_{1}\right)= & -\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty} \phi_{q}\left(A_{n_{k}}^{(1)}-\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x_{n_{k}}(s), x_{n_{k}}^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right) \\
& -\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(A_{n_{k}}^{(1)}-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{n_{k}}(\tau), x_{n_{k}}^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s \\
= & -\phi_{q}\left(\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty} A_{n_{k}}^{(1)}-\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x_{n_{k}}(s), x_{n_{k}}^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right. \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty} A_{n_{k}}^{(1)}-\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{n_{k}}(\tau), x_{n_{k}}^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s \\
= & -\phi_{q}\left(c_{1}-\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x_{0}(s), x_{0}^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right. \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(c_{1}-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{0}(\tau), x_{0}^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is unique, we get $c_{1}=A_{0}$. Similarly $c_{2}=A_{0}$. So $c_{1}=c_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $A_{n} \longrightarrow A_{0}$ for $x_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0}$, which means $A_{x}: \mathcal{C}_{l d}^{\Delta}[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.5 Suppose that $(H 1)-(H 3)$ hold, then $T: P \longrightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps.
Step 1. We show that $T P \subset P$. For $x \in P$, by $(H 1)-(H 3)$, we have $(T x)(t) \geq 0$ and $(T x)^{\triangle}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}(T x)\left(\xi_{i}\right)$.
If $t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ is left scattered, then

$$
(T x)^{\Delta \nabla}(t)=\frac{(T x)^{\Delta}(t)-(T x)^{\Delta}(\rho(t))}{t-\rho(t)} \leq 0
$$

on $t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. If $t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ is left dense, then

$$
(T x)^{\Delta \nabla}(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow t} \frac{(T x)^{\Delta}(t)-(T x)^{\Delta}(s)}{t-s} \leq 0
$$

on $t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. Hence $T x$ is nonnegative, concave on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, i.e., $T P \subset P$.

Step 2. We show that $T: P \longrightarrow P$ is continuous. Let $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$ as $n \longrightarrow+\infty$ in $P$, then there exists $r_{0}$ such that

$$
\max \left\{\|x\|, \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right\}<r_{0}
$$

By $(H 2)$, we get that $f(t, u, v)$ is bounded on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times\left[0, r_{0}\right]^{2}$. Set

$$
B_{r_{0}}=\sup \left\{f(t, u, v):(t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times\left[0, r_{0}\right]^{2}\right\}
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{p}\left(\left(T x_{n}\right)^{\Delta}(t)\right)-\phi_{p}\left((T x)^{\Delta}(t)\right)\right| \\
= & \left|A_{x_{n}}-\int_{0}^{t} h(s) f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s-A_{x}-\int_{0}^{t} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right| \\
\leq & \left|A_{x_{n}}-A_{x}\right|+\int_{0}^{t} h(s)\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\Delta}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right)\right| \nabla s \\
\leq & \left|A_{x_{n}}-A_{x}\right|+2 B_{r_{0}} \Lambda=2 B_{r_{0}} \Lambda+2 B_{r_{0}} \Lambda=4 B_{r_{0}} \Lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\left|\phi_{p}\left(\left(T x_{n}\right)^{\Delta}(t)\right)-\phi_{p}\left((T x)^{\Delta}(t)\right)\right| \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty
$$

By using Lemma 2.3 we get

$$
0 \leq\left\|\left(T x_{n}\right)(t)-(T x)(t)\right\| \leq M \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathrm{T}}}\left|\left(T x_{n}\right)^{\Delta}(t)-(T x)^{\Delta}(t)\right| \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty
$$

Hence $T$ is continuous.
Step 3. We show that $T: P \longrightarrow P$ is relatively compact. Let $\Omega$ be any bounded set of $P$. Then there exists $L>0$ such that $\|x\| \leq L$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Set

$$
B_{L}=\sup \left\{f(t, u, v):(t, u, v) \in[0,1] \times\left[0, r_{0}\right]^{2}\right\}
$$

For $x \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T x\| & =\max \left\{\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} T x(t), \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|(T x)^{\Delta}(t)\right|\right\} \\
& \leq M(T x)^{\triangle}(0) \\
& \leq M \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) \leq M \phi_{q}\left(B_{L} \Lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T \Omega$ is uniformly bounded.
Now we show that $T \Omega$ is locally equicontinuous on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. For $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $x \in \Omega$, we may assume that $t_{2}>t_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{p}\left((T x)^{\Delta}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-\phi_{p}\left((T x)^{\Delta}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
= & \left|A_{x}-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s-A_{x}+\int_{0}^{t_{2}} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left|\phi_{p}\left((T x)^{\Delta}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-\phi_{p}\left((T x)^{\Delta}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right| \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{2}
$$

Since

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|(T x)^{\Delta}\left(t_{1}\right)-(T x)^{\Delta}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{2}
$$

we get

$$
\left\|(T x)\left(t_{1}\right)-(T x)\left(t_{2}\right)\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{2}
$$

Hence $T \Omega$ is locally equicontinuous on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. From step $1-3$, we get $T: P \longrightarrow P$ is completely continuous. The proof is complete.

## 3 Existence of Three Positive Solutions

Let $\gamma$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on a cone $P, \alpha$ be nonnegative continuous concave functional on $P$ and $\psi$ be nonnegative continuous functional on $P$. Then for positive real numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$, we define the following convex sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\gamma, d)=\{x \in P: \gamma(x)<d\}, \\
P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)=\{x \in P: b \leq \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \leq d\}, \\
P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)=\{x \in P: b \leq \alpha(x), \theta(x) \leq c, \gamma(x) \leq d\}, \\
R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)=\{x \in P: a \leq \psi(x), \gamma(x) \leq d\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1 (Avery-Peterson's Fixed Point Theorem) [13] Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a cone in a real Banach space $E$. Assume that there exist two positive number $M$ and $d$, two nonnegative continuous convex functionals $\gamma$ and $\theta$ on $P$, a nonnegative continuous concave functional $\alpha$ on $P$ and a nonnegative continuous functional $\psi$ on $P$ such that $\psi(\lambda x) \leq \lambda \psi(x)$ for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and

$$
\alpha(x) \leq \psi(x), \quad\|x\| \leq M \gamma(x)
$$

for all $x \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$. Suppose that $T: \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \longrightarrow \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ is completely continuous and there exist three positive numbers $a, b$ and $c$ with $a<b$ such that
(S1) $\{x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) \mid \alpha(x)>b\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(T x)>b$ for $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)$;
(S2) $\alpha(T x)>b$ for $x \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$ with $\theta(T x)>c$;
(S3) $0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ and $\psi(T x)<a$ for $x \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ with $\psi(x)=a$.
Then $T$ has at least three fixed points $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ such that

$$
\gamma\left(x_{i}\right) \leq d, \quad i=1,2,3, \quad \psi\left(x_{1}\right)<a, \quad a<\psi\left(x_{2}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha\left(x_{2}\right)<b, \quad \alpha\left(x_{3}\right)>b .
$$

Set

$$
\Omega=\int_{w}^{\nu} h(\tau) \nabla \tau
$$

and define the maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(x)=\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right|, \psi(x)=\theta(x)=\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x(t), \alpha(x)=\min _{t \in[w, v]_{\mathbb{T}}} x(t) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2 Assume (H1) - (H3) hold. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{2 b}{w} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}+1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}\right]<c<d, \\
\max \left\{\xi_{i}, \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)-1\right],\right. \\
\left.\frac{2 b}{c} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}+1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}\right]\right\}<w<\nu<\frac{1}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and suppose that $f$ satisfies the following conditions
(A1) $f(t, u, v) \leq \frac{1}{2 \Lambda} \phi_{p}(d)$ for $(t, u, v) \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times[0, M d] \times[0, d]$;
(A2) $f(t, u, v)>\frac{1}{\Omega} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{b}{A}\right)$ for $(t, u, v) \in[w, v]_{\mathbb{T}} \times[b, c] \times[0, d]$;
(A3) $f(t, u, v)<\frac{1}{2 \Lambda} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{a}{M}\right)$ for $(t, u, v) \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times[0, a] \times[0, d]$;
where $M, \Lambda$ are defined as in (11) and Lemma 2.4 respectively, and
$A=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(w-\xi_{i}\right)\right) \frac{1}{2+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)\right]$.
Then the BVP (1)-(2) has at least three positive solutions $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ such that

$$
\gamma\left(x_{i}\right) \leq d, \quad i=1,2,3, \quad \psi\left(x_{1}\right)<a, \quad a<\psi\left(x_{2}\right) \text { with } \alpha\left(x_{2}\right)<b, \alpha\left(x_{3}\right)>b .
$$

Proof. The boundary value problem (11)-(2) has a solution $x=x(t)$ if and only if $x$ solves the operator equation $x=T x$. Thus we set out to verify that the operator $T$ satisfies Avery-Peterson's fixed point theorem which will prove the existence of three fixed point of $T$. Now the proof is divided into four steps.

Step 1: We will show that (A1) implies that

$$
T: \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \longrightarrow \overline{P(\gamma, d)}
$$

For $x \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$, there is $\gamma(x)=\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right| \leq d$. From Lemma 2.3,

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathrm{T}}} x(t) \leq M \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{T}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right| \leq M d,
$$

then the condition (A1) implies

$$
f\left(t, x(t), x^{\Delta}(t)\right) \leq \frac{\phi_{p}(d)}{2 \Lambda}
$$

On the other hand, for $x \in P$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(T x) & =\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|(T x)^{\Delta}(t)\right| \\
& =\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{t} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right)\right| \\
& \leq \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}+\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right) \\
& \leq \phi_{q}\left(2 \int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right) \\
& \leq \phi_{q}\left(\frac{\phi_{p}(d)}{\Lambda} \int_{0}^{1} h(s) \nabla s\right)=d
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. We show that condition (S1) in Theorem 3.1 holds. We take

$$
x(t)=\frac{c}{2} \frac{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}} t+1\right]
$$

for $t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. By (12), we get
$\gamma(x)=\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x^{\Delta}(t)\right|=\frac{c}{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}<d$,
$\psi(x)=\theta(x)=\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x(t)=x(1)=\frac{c}{2}<c$,
$\alpha(x)=\min _{t \in[w, v]_{T}} x(t)=x(w)>b$.
Hence $\{x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d: \alpha(x)>b\} \neq \varnothing$.
Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) & =\phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s-A_{x}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau-A_{x}\right) \Delta s \\
& \geq \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right)-\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) \Delta s \\
& \geq \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right)-\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\xi_{i}\right) \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\left[2+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)\right] \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) \geq \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right) .
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. If $\alpha(T x)=\min _{t \in[w, \nu]_{\mathbb{T}}} T x(t)=T x(w)$ holds then from (10), (13) and (A2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T x(w)=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s\right] \\
& +\int_{1}^{w} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s \\
& =\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{w} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}-\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{w} \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right) \Delta s\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{w} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(w-\xi_{i}\right) \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \Delta s\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(w-\xi_{i}\right)\right) \phi_{q}\left(A_{x}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right) \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(w-\xi_{i}\right)\right) \frac{1}{2+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)\right] \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \\
& =A \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \\
& >A \phi_{q}\left(\int_{w}^{\nu} h(\tau) \frac{1}{\Omega} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{b}{A}\right) \nabla \tau\right) \\
& =A \frac{b}{A} \phi_{q}\left(\frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{w}^{\nu} h(\tau) \nabla \tau\right)=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get $T x(w)>b$.
Case 2. If $\alpha(T x)=\min _{t \in[w, \nu]_{\mathbb{T}}} T x(t)=T x(\nu)$ holds then from (10), (13) and (A2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T x(\nu) & \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\nu-\xi_{i}\right)\right) \frac{1}{2+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\xi_{i}\right)\right] \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \\
& \geq A \phi_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(\tau) f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\Delta}(\tau)\right) \nabla \tau\right) \\
& >A \phi_{q}\left(\int_{w}^{\nu} h(\tau) \frac{1}{\Omega} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{b}{A}\right) \nabla \tau\right)=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get $T x(\nu)>b$.
Therefore we get $\alpha(T x)>b$ for all $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)$. Consequently, condition (S1) in Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.

Step 3. We prove that ( $S 2$ ) in Theorem 3.1]holds. Since $x$ is nonnegative and concave on $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(w) & =x\left[\frac{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)-1}{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)-1}+\frac{1}{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)} t\right] \\
& \geq \frac{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)-1}{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)} x\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)-1}\right)+\frac{1}{\frac{1}{w}(1+t)} x(t) \\
& \geq \frac{w}{1+t} x(t) \geq \frac{w}{2} x(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $x(w) \geq \frac{w}{2} \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathrm{T}}} x(t)=\frac{w}{2} \theta(x)$. Similarly $x(\nu) \geq \frac{\nu}{2} \theta(x)>\frac{w}{2} \theta(x)$ holds.
Hence

$$
\alpha(x) \geq \frac{w}{2} \theta(x), \quad x \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} .
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(T x) & \geq \frac{w}{2} \theta(T x)>\frac{w}{2} c>\frac{w}{2} \frac{2 b(L+1)}{w L} \\
& =b\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+1\right) \frac{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \xi_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}>b
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$ with $\theta(T x)>c$.
Step 4. Finally, we prove that $(S 3)$ in Theorem 3.1 is satisfied. Since $\psi(0)=0<a$, $0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$. Suppose that $x \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ with $\psi(x)=a$, then by $(A 3)$ and Lemma 2.3 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(T x) & =\sup _{t \in[0,1]} T x(t) \\
& \leq M \sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left|(T x)^{\Delta}(t)\right| \\
& \leq M \phi_{q}\left[2 \int_{0}^{1} h(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\Delta}(s)\right) \nabla s\right] \\
& <M \phi_{q}\left[2 \int_{0}^{1} h(s) \frac{1}{2 \Lambda} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{a}{M}\right) \nabla s\right] \\
& <M \frac{a}{M} \phi_{q}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \int_{0}^{1} h(s) \nabla s\right)=a
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently condition (S3) in Theorem 3.1 holds. From steps $1-4$ together with Theorem 3.1 we get that the boundary value problem (11)-(2) has at least three positive solutions $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x_{i}^{\Delta}(t)\right| \leq d, \quad i=1,2,3, \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{1}(t)<a, \\
a<\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{2}(t) \text { with } \min _{t \in[w, \nu]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{2}(t)<b, \min _{t \in[w, \nu]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{3}(t)>b .
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is complete.
Example 3.1 Let $\mathbb{T}=\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n}+1}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup\{0,1\}$. Consider the following problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi_{3}\left(x^{\Delta}\right)\right)^{\nabla}(t)+8 f\left(t, x(t), x^{\Delta}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}},  \tag{14}\\
x^{\Delta}(0)=\frac{1}{4} x\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)+\frac{1}{6} x\left(\frac{1}{5}\right), \quad x^{\Delta}(1)=-\frac{1}{4} x\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{6} x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
f(t, u, v)= \begin{cases}t\left[60 u^{7}+\left(\frac{v}{10{ }^{3}}\right)^{4}\right], & u \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq v, v \in \mathbb{T} \\ t\left[60+\left(\frac{v}{10^{3}}\right)^{4}\right], & u>1, \quad 0 \leq v, v \in \mathbb{T}\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to verify that $(H 1)-(H 3)$ hold. Choose $a=\frac{1}{10}, b=1, c=40, d=43, w=$ $\frac{1}{4}, v=\frac{1}{3}$. Then by simple calculations, we can obtain that

$$
M=\frac{163}{43}, \Lambda=8, A=\frac{4181}{12650}, \Omega=\frac{2}{3}
$$

So the nonlinear term $f$ satisfies
$f(t, u, v) \leq 60+\left(\frac{43}{10^{3}}\right)^{4}=60.00000342<\frac{\phi_{p}(d)}{2 \Lambda}=115.5625,(t, u, v) \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times[0,163] \times$ [0, 43],
$f(t, u, v) \geq 20>\phi_{3}\left(\frac{b}{2 A}\right)=16.43184338,(t, u, v) \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}\right]_{\mathbb{T}} \times[1,40] \times[0,43]$,
$f(t, u, v)<60 \cdot \frac{1}{10^{7}}+\left(\frac{43}{10^{3}}\right)^{4}=0.000009418801<\frac{1}{2 \Lambda} \phi_{p}\left(\frac{a}{M}\right)=0.0000434952,(t, u, v) \in$ $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times\left[0, \frac{1}{10}\right] \times[0,43]$.

Therefore the conditions in Theorem 3.2 are all satisfied. So BVP (14)-(15) has at least three positive solutions $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}}\left|x_{i}^{\Delta}(t)\right| \leq 43, i=1,2,3, \sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{1}(t)<\frac{1}{10}, \\
\frac{1}{10}<\sup _{t \in[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{2}(t) \text { with } \min _{t \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}\right]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{2}(t)<1, \min _{t \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}\right]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_{3}(t)>1 .
\end{gathered}
$$
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#### Abstract

In this paper a novel Huang-Hilbert Transform (HHT) based adaptive tracking control strategy is proposed for a class of uncertain systems subjected to actuator saturation. HHT is used in this work for the online feature extraction of the uncertainties in the systems which are approximated by Wavelet Neural Networks (WNNs). Adaptation laws are developed iteratively using the Intrinsic Modal Functions (IMF) for the online tuning of wavelets parameters. The uniformly ultimate boundedness of the closed-loop tracking error is verified even in the presence of WNN approximation errors and bounded unknown disturbances, using the Lyapunov approach and with novel weight updating rules. Finally some simulations are performed to verify the effectiveness and performance of the theoretical development.
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## 1 Introduction

In many practical systems, the system model always contains some uncertain elements; these uncertainties may be due to additive unknown internal or external noise, environmental influence, nonlinearities such as hysteresis or friction, poor plant knowledge, reduced-order models, and uncertain or slowly varying parameters. The analytical study of adaptive nonlinear control systems involving online approximation structures has evolved considerably during the last decade [1-3] The design of online approximation based controllers can be broken up into two stages: first, the unknown nonlinearity is represented by some online approximators. Hence, the designer needs to choose a specific

[^5]adaptive network configuration, including the general structure of the online approximator, the number of layers (in case of multi layer neural networks), the number of adjustable weights, etc. In the second stage, the designer needs to develop an appropriate feedback control law for updating the adjustable weights.

Characteristics of practical actuators are in general nonlinear, usually described by the nonlinearities such as saturation, hysteresis, backlash etc. Nonlinear behavior of the actuator causes the detuning of plant as well as controller parameters which may lead to the poor performance or even may cause the destabilization of the system. Out of these nonlinearities saturation is the frequently encountered nonlinearity and is addressed by several researchers [4, 5].

In recent years, learning-based control methodology using Neural networks (NNs) has become an alternative to adaptive control since NNs are considered as general tools for modeling nonlinear systems. Work on adaptive NN control using the universal NN approximation property is now pursued by several groups of researchers 6, 7, By using neural network (NN) as an approximation tool, the assumptions on linear parameterized nonlinearities in adaptive controller designing aspects have greatly been relaxed. It also broadens the class of the uncertain nonlinear systems which can be effectively dealt by adaptive controllers. However there are some difficulties associated with NN based controller. The basis functions are generally not orthogonal or redundant; i.e., the network representation is not unique and is probably not the most efficient one and the convergence of neural networks may not be guaranteed. Also the training procedure for NN may be trapped in some local minima depending on the initial settings. Wavelet neural networks are the modified form of the NN having the properties of space and frequency localization properties leading to a superior learning capabilities and fast convergence. Thus WNN based control systems can achieve better control performance than NN based control systems [6] 9$]$.

Recently, a new signal analysis approach, Hilbert-Huang transform (HHT), is proposes by Huang et. al. [10,11 which is a combination of empirical mode decomposition (EMD) and Hilbert spectral analysis (HSA). By EMD, a signal is decomposed into a series of mono-component modes defined as intrinsic mode functions (IMFs), and Hilbert transform can thus be applied to each IMF to obtain the instantaneous frequency and the instantaneous magnitude. Unlike Fourier series representation in which base functions are always sinusoidal functions, HHT adopts different IMFs to describe various signals, resulting in adaptive base functions. Also HHT is valid for nonlinear and nonstationary signals. Because of the distinct characteristics of HHT, it has attracted considerable research interest in exploring its potential as a frequency identification tool.

A straightforward method could be that, after application of HHT to a signal, comparisons are made between Fourier spectra of the obtained IMFs and that of the original signal to find out the relationships between IMFs and vibration modes. Then by computing the amplitude weighted average frequencies based on the Hilbert spectra, modal frequencies can be identified. Besides, Yang et al. 12 proposed a method in which, before they are analyzed by HHT, the signals are processed by some pre-selected bandpass filters, the thresholds of which are determined by referring to the Fourier spectra of the signals. Efficacious as they are, these two HHT-based frequency identification methods however have to rely on some a priori information about the natural frequencies to be identified, whether by comparing Fourier spectra of original signals and those of the IMFs or by selecting the thresholds of the bandpass filters. From a practical point of view, it is difficult to obtain some a priori information about the frequencies of random signals.

So the theoretical Eigen analysis techniques are not appropriate to provide a sufficiently accurate estimation of natural frequencies. That is, frequency information is usually unavailable before identification procedures are carried out. Koh et al. 13 and Chhoa et al. [14] introduced a criterion that the IMF component with the highest energy compared to other IMF components most probably represents the fundamental frequency of the system. The criterion was applied to experimental signals collected from the real time systems and successfully identified the IMFs related to the fundamental frequencies. Due to noise contamination, however, the fundamental frequency of a system may relegate from one IMF to the next IMF during the time range of the signal [15], and the identification of the relationships between IMFs and multiple physical vibration modes might be more involved as a modal frequency may be contained along specific segments of the whole time duration of one or more IMFs.

The major limitation of HHT and EMD is that the signal under analysis must be known so that its maxima and minima can be calculated. But in this work, the nonlinear function present in the dynamics of the system is uncertain in nature. To overcome this problem we have proposed a technique to estimate the uncertain function by WNN first and then through iterative EMD algorithm, the uncertain function is approximated very accurately. Multiple WNNs are cascaded to solve this problem. Every layer has different number of nodes and different tuning laws derived by gradient descent rule. The output of each WNN is used for the derivation of the adaptive tuning laws of the next cascaded WNN. This process is repeated until the residue becomes zero, which means the approximation is best possible. This novel technique of using HHT and EMD to approximate the features of an uncertainties present in the nonlinear systems has never been cited in the literature to the best of the knowledge of authors and hence reflects the contribution of this work.

This paper deals with the designing of HHT based wavelet adaptive tracking controller for a class of uncertain nonlinear systems. WNN are used for approximating the system uncertainty as well as to optimize the performance of the control strategy. HHT algorithm generates the features of these uncertainties to be fed to the consecutive WNN.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 deals with the system preliminaries, system description is given in Section 3. WNN based controller designing aspects are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 describes the proposed HHT based wavelet adaptive controller design. The stability analysis of the proposed control scheme is given in Section 6. Effectiveness of the proposed strategy is illustrated through an example in Section 7 while Section 8 concludes the paper.

## 2 System Preliminaries

### 2.0.1 Actuator Saturation

The output of an actuator $u(t)$ with input $v(t)$ subjected to the condition of saturation is defined as

$$
u=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
u_{\max }, & v \geq u_{\max }  \tag{1}\\
v, & u_{\min }<v<u_{\max } \\
u_{\min }, & v \leq u_{\min }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u_{\max }$ and $u_{\min }$ are upper and lower saturation limits as shown in Figure 1.
For symmetric actuator saturation $u_{\min }=-u_{\max }$ part of the control effort which can


Figure 1: Saturation function.
not be implemented under this condition is defined as

$$
\Delta u=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
u_{\max }-v, & v \geq u_{\max }  \tag{2}\\
0, & u_{\min }<v<u_{\max } \\
u_{\min }-v, & v \leq u_{\min }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta u$ describes the effect of actuator saturation and can be effectively approximated by using a wavelet neural network.

### 2.0.2 Wavelet neural network

Wavelet network is a type of building block for function approximation. The building block is obtained by translating and dilating the mother wavelet function. In contrast to conventional wavelets, a biased wavelet has a nonzero mean and can better reproduce signal components that are in the low-frequency region on the time-frequency plane since the nonzero mean enlarges low-frequency gain. Output of a biased $n$ dimensional wavelet network with m nodes is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\alpha^{T} \varphi(x, w, c)+\beta^{T} \phi(x, w, c) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{T} \in R^{n}$ is the input vector, $\varphi=\left[\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right]^{T} \in \Re^{m}$ and $\phi=$ $\left[\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right]^{T} \in \Re^{m}$ are wavelet and bias functions respectively; $w=\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}\right]^{T} \in$ $R^{m x n}$ and $c=\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right]^{T} \in R^{m x n}$ are dilation and translation parameters respectively ; $\alpha=\left[\alpha_{1}, . ., \alpha_{m}\right]^{T} \in R^{m}$ and $\beta=\left[\beta_{1}, . ., \beta_{m}\right]^{T} \in R^{m}$ are weights of wavelet and bias function respectively.

Let $f^{*}$ be the optimal function approximation using an ideal wavelet approximator then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f^{*}+\Delta=\alpha^{* T} \varphi^{*}+\beta^{* T} \phi^{*}+\Delta, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi^{*}=\varphi\left(x, w^{*}, c^{*}\right)$ and $\phi^{*}=\phi\left(x, w^{*}, c^{*}\right), \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, w^{*}, c^{*}$ are the optimal parameter vectors of $\alpha, \beta, w, c$ respectively and $\Delta$ denotes the approximation error and is assumed to be bounded by $|\Delta| \leq \Delta^{*}$, in which $\Delta^{*}$ is a positive constant.

Optimal parameter vectors needed for best approximation of the function are difficult to determine so define an estimate function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}=\hat{\alpha}^{T} \hat{\varphi}+\hat{\beta}^{T} \hat{\phi} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\varphi}=\varphi(x, \hat{w}, \hat{c}), \hat{\phi}=\phi(x, \hat{w}, \hat{c})$ and $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{w}, \hat{c}$ are the estimates of $\alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, w^{*}, c^{*}$ respectively. Define the estimation error as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}=f-\hat{f}=f^{*}-\hat{f}+\Delta=\alpha^{T} \tilde{\varphi}+\hat{\alpha}^{T} \tilde{\varphi}+\tilde{\alpha}^{T} \hat{\varphi}+\tilde{\beta}^{T} \tilde{\phi}+\hat{\beta}^{T} \tilde{\phi}+\tilde{\beta}^{T} \hat{\phi}+\Delta, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}=\alpha^{*}-\hat{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}=\beta^{*}-\hat{\beta}, \tilde{\varphi}=\varphi^{*}-\hat{\varphi}, \tilde{\phi}=\phi^{*}-\hat{\phi}$.
By properly selecting the number of nodes, the estimation error $\tilde{f}$ can be made arbitrarily small on the compact set so that the bound $\|\tilde{f}\|=\tilde{f}_{m}$ holds for all $x \in \Re$.

Use Taylor expansion linearization technique to transform the nonlinear function into a partially linear form as a step towards the derivation of online tuning laws for the wavelet parameters to achieve the favorable estimation of system dynamics

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi}=A_{1}^{T} \tilde{w}+B_{1}^{T} \tilde{c}+h_{1} \tilde{\phi}=A_{2}^{T} \tilde{w}+B_{2}^{T} \tilde{c}+h_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{w}=w^{*}-\hat{w}, \tilde{c}=c^{*}-\hat{c}$ and $h_{1}, h_{2}$ are the vectors of higher order terms and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left.\left[\frac{d \varphi_{1}}{d w}, \frac{d \varphi_{2}}{d w}, \ldots, \frac{d \varphi_{m}}{d w}\right]\right|_{w=\hat{w}}, \quad A_{2}=\left.\left[\frac{d \phi_{1}}{d w}, \frac{d \phi_{2}}{d w}, \ldots, \frac{d \phi_{m}}{d w}\right]\right|_{w=\hat{w}}, \\
& B_{1}=\left.\left[\frac{d \varphi_{1}}{d c}, \frac{d \varphi_{2}}{d c}, \ldots, \frac{d \varphi_{m}}{d c}\right]\right|_{c=\hat{c}}, \quad B_{2}=\left.\left[\frac{d \phi_{1}}{d c}, \frac{d \phi_{2}}{d c}, \ldots, \frac{d \phi_{m}}{d c}\right]\right|_{c=\hat{c}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d w} & =\left[0 \ldots 0 \frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d w_{1 i}}, \frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d w_{2 i}}, \ldots, \frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d w_{n i}}, 0 \ldots 0\right]^{T} \\
\frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d c} & =\left[0 \ldots 0 \frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d c_{1 i}}, \frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d c_{2 i}}, \ldots, \frac{d \hat{\varphi}_{i}}{d c_{n i}}, 0 \ldots 0\right]^{T} \\
\frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d w} & =\left[0 \ldots 0, \frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d w_{1 i}}, \frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d w_{2 i}}, \ldots, \frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d w_{n i}}, 0 \ldots 0\right]^{T} \\
\frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d c} & =\left[0 \ldots 0, \frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d c_{1 i}}, \frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d c_{2 i}}, \ldots, \frac{d \hat{\phi}_{i}}{d c_{n i}}, 0 \ldots 0\right]^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (7) into (6)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{f}=\tilde{\alpha}^{T}\left(\hat{\varphi}-\mathrm{A}_{1}^{T} \hat{w}-B_{1}^{T} \hat{c}\right)+\tilde{w}^{T}\left(A_{1} \hat{\alpha}+A_{2} \hat{\beta}\right)+\tilde{c}^{T}\left(B_{1} \hat{\alpha}+B_{2} \hat{\beta}\right) \\
+\tilde{\beta}^{T}\left(\hat{\phi}-\mathrm{A}_{2}^{T} \hat{w}-B_{2}^{T} \hat{c}\right)+\varepsilon \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

where the uncertain term is given by the following expression

$$
\varepsilon=\alpha^{* T} h_{1}+\tilde{\alpha}^{T} A_{1}^{T} w^{*}+\tilde{\alpha}^{T} B_{1}^{T} c^{*}+\beta^{* T} h_{2}+\tilde{\beta}^{T} A_{2}^{T} w^{*}+\tilde{\beta}^{T} B_{2}^{T} c^{*} .
$$

### 2.0.3 Hilbert-Huang Transform

This section briefly summarizes the principles and procedures of HHT. HHT is an adaptive data analysis method designed for analyzing non-stationary signals. In HHT, the signal is decomposed into a finite small number of components, called Intrinsic Mode Functions (IMF). This process of decomposition is called Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD). Presented by Huang et al. [8, HHT essentially consists of two steps:
empirical mode decomposition and Hilbert spectral analysis. By EMD, a complicated signal is decomposed into a series of simple oscillatory modes, designated as intrinsic mode functions, and a residue. Hilbert spectral analysis is then invoked for each IMF to obtain the instantaneous frequencies and the instantaneous magnitudes, which comprise the Hilbert-Huang spectrum of the signal.
i. Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) The EMD decomposes the signal in terms of IMFs, each of which is a mono-component function. Given an arbitrary signal $x(t)$ following the EMD method, sifting processes are used to extract the IMFs. In a typical single sifting process, the local maxima are first identified and connected by cubic spline functions, resulting in an upper envelope $u_{1}^{(1)}(t)$ of the signal. A lower envelope $l_{1}^{(1)}(t)$ is similarly obtained based on local minima. Then a function $m_{1}^{(1)}(t)$ is defined as the mean of the upper and lower envelopes. Finally, subtracting the mean function $m_{1}^{(1)}(t)$ from signal $x(t)$, the first iterate $h_{1}^{(1)}(t)$, or the first proto-IMF is obtained. The above procedures are iterated until the proto-IMF $h_{1}^{(k+1)}(t)$ converges to the first IMF $q_{1}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- For $h_{1}^{(k+1)}(t)$, the number of extrema and the zeros differ at most by 1.
- The difference between the mean $m_{1}^{(k)}(t)$ and zero is within the pre-selected tolerance.

The above sifting process is shown in (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{(k+1)}=\frac{u_{1}^{(k+1)}+l_{1}^{(k+1)}}{2} h_{1}^{(k+1)}=h_{1}^{k}-m_{1}^{(k+1)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $h_{1}^{0}=x$. One kind of iteration stopping criterion is that the value of standard deviation $S D$ is less than a preselected value, where $S D$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S D_{k}=\sum_{i} \frac{\left(h^{(k+1)}\left(t_{i}\right)-h^{(k)}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(h^{(k)}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
S D_{k}=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(h^{(k+1)}\left(t_{i}\right)-h^{(k)}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i}\left(h^{(k)}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)^{2}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shifting process is stopped, when $S D_{k}$ becomes smaller than a pre-determined value. Once the shifting process is stopped, the first IMF $q_{1}$ can be obtained, which contains the finest scale or the shortest period component of the signal. After separating $q_{1}$ from the original signal $x(t)$, the residue of the signal is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)-q_{1}=r_{1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A new sifting process is applied to $r_{1}$, which leads to the second IMF $q_{2}$ and the residue $r_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}-q_{2}=r_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $n^{\text {th }}$ IMF,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n-1}-q_{n}=r_{n} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sifting processes are iterated until $r_{n}$ becomes a constant, a monotonic function, or a function with only one extremum. Therefore, by EMD, the original signal $x(t)$ is denoted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}+r_{n} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the decomposition of a signal in n-empirical modes is achieved. The components of the EMD are physically meaningful, as the characteristic scales are defined by the physical data. The instantaneous frequency can be computed by finding the Hilbert Transform of the IMF components.
ii. Feature Extraction using Hilbert-Huang Transform.

The features of the disturbance signals are extracted by finding the energy of the IMFs which are derived from each of the disturbance waveforms. Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ be the first three IMF components and $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ be their corresponding energies. Energy of the IMF is calculated using the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}=\left\|q_{1}\right\|^{2}  \tag{16}\\
& E_{2}=\left\|q_{2}\right\|^{2}  \tag{17}\\
& E_{3}=\left\|q_{3}\right\|^{2} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 System Description

Consider a nonlinear system of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=x_{2}, \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=x_{3} \\
& \vdots  \tag{19}\\
& \dot{x}_{n}=f(x)+g u, \\
& y=x_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{T}, u, y$ are state variable, control input and output respectively. $f(x)$ is a smooth unknown, nonlinear function of state variables.

Rewriting the system (19) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}=A x+B(f(x)+u(t)),  \tag{20}\\
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{c} 
\\
0 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad C=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the actuator saturation defined in Section 2 system (20) can be transformed to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=A x+B(\delta(x)+(v+\Delta u)) \\
& y=C x \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta\left(x, \bar{y}_{d}\right)=f(x)+\Delta u$. Let $\bar{y}_{d}=\left[y_{d}, \dot{y}_{d}, \ldots,{ }_{n}^{n-1}\right]_{d}^{T}$ be the vector of desired tracking trajectory. The objective is to formulate a state feedback control law to achieve the desired tracking performance simultaneously nullifying the effect of actuator saturation. The control law is formulated using the transformed system (21). The following assumptions are taken for the systems under consideration.

Assumption 3.1 Desired trajectory $y_{d}(t)$ is assumed to be smooth, continuous $C^{n}$ and available for measurement.

## 4 Basic Controller Design Using Filtered Tracking Error

Define the state tracking error vector $e(t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(t)=x(t)-\bar{y}_{d}(t) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The filter tracking error is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=K e \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots k_{n-1}, 1\right]$ is an appropriately chosen coefficient vector such that $e \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $\Re \rightarrow 0$.

Applying the feedback linearization method, the control laws for every iteration level are defined in the subsequent section.

## 5 Proposed Adaptive WNN Controller Design

A novel adaptive control strategy is proposed in this section which uses WNN to approximate the nonlinear uncertainties $\delta(x)$ present in the systems through HHT algorithm. A separate WNN network with different number of nodes and different adaptation laws is implemented for every iteration level of HHT algorithm. The tuning laws for the WNN at various iterations are derived as follows.

The cost function derived for the tuning of WNN parameters using (23) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2} \dot{r}^{T} \dot{r} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the gradient descent algorithm, the online tuning laws for the WNN parameters are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\alpha}=-\eta \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha}=-\eta \dot{r} \frac{\partial \dot{r}}{\partial \alpha}, \\
& \dot{w}=-\eta \frac{\partial S}{\partial w}=-\eta \dot{r} \frac{\partial \dot{r}}{\partial w},  \tag{25}\\
& \dot{c}=-\eta \frac{\partial S}{\partial c}=-\eta \dot{r} \frac{\dot{r}}{\partial c} .
\end{align*}
$$

i. First iteration.

Assuming $q_{1}$ be the WNN approximation for the first EMD, the control law can be derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(\stackrel{n}{y}_{d}-\frac{K_{e} e}{k_{n}}-r-q_{1}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{e}=\left[0, k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n-1}\right]$.

From (23), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}=K_{e} e+k_{n}\left(\delta+u+\stackrel{n}{y}_{d}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the online tuning laws for the first WNN are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\alpha}_{1}=\eta_{1} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \alpha_{1}} \\
& \dot{w}_{1}=\eta_{1} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial w_{1}}  \tag{28}\\
& \dot{c}_{1}=\eta_{1} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial c_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

ii. Second iteration.

Assuming $q_{2}$ be the WNN approximation for the second EMD, the control law can be derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(y_{d}^{n}-\frac{K_{e} e}{k_{n}}-r-q_{1}-q_{2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the corresponding online tuning laws for WNN are derived as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\alpha}_{2}=\eta_{2} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial \alpha_{2}} \\
& \dot{w}_{2}=\eta_{2} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial w_{2}}  \tag{30}\\
& \dot{c}_{2}=\eta_{2} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial c_{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

iii. $n^{\text {th }}$ iteration.

Similarly assuming $q_{n}$ be the WNN approximation for the $n^{t h}$ EMD, the final control law can be derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(\stackrel{n}{y}_{d}-\frac{K_{e} e}{k_{n}}-r-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the corresponding online tuning laws for WNN are derived as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\alpha}_{n}=\eta_{n} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{n}}{\partial \alpha_{n}} \\
& \dot{w}_{n}=\eta_{n} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{n}}{\partial w_{n}}  \tag{32}\\
& \dot{c}_{n}=\eta_{n} k_{n} \dot{r} \frac{\partial q_{n}}{\partial c_{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

Stability of the system (21) with the proposed control strategy will be analyzed in the next section.

### 5.0.4 Stability Analysis

Consider a Lyapunov functional of the form [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate it along the trajectories of the system,

$$
\dot{V}=r\left(K_{e} e+K\left(\delta(x)+u(t)-v_{r}-y_{d}^{n}\right)\right.
$$

By the substitution of control law $u(t)$ in the above equation, we get

$$
\dot{V}=r\left(-K r+\tilde{\delta}(x)-v_{r}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{\delta}(x)$ is the error between the actual value and the approximated value of

$$
\left.\dot{V} \leq-K r^{2}+|r||\tilde{\delta}(x)|-r v_{r}\right)
$$

Substitute the robust control term $v_{r}=-\frac{\left(\rho^{2}+1\right) r}{2 \rho^{2}}$ in the above equation,

$$
\dot{V} \leq-s_{1} r^{2}+s_{2}(|r||\tilde{\delta}(x)|)^{2}
$$

where $s_{1}=\left(K+\frac{K}{2}\right)$ and $s_{2}=\frac{K \rho^{2}}{2}$. The system is stable as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} r^{2} \geq s_{2}(|r||\tilde{\delta}(x)|)^{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$





Figure 2: Desired trajectory, actual trajectory, tracking error and control effort after first iteration level.

### 5.0.5 Simulation results

Simulation is performed to verify the effectiveness of proposed HHT-WNN based control strategy. Consider a system of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=x_{2}, \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=x_{3}, \\
& \dot{x}_{3}=x_{4},  \tag{35}\\
& \dot{x}_{4}=0.01 x_{1} \sin x_{2}+u, \\
& y=x_{1}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3: Desired trajectory, actual trajectory, tracking error and control effort after second iteration level.


Figure 4: Desired trajectory, actual trajectory, tracking error and control effort after third iteration level.


Figure 5: Desired trajectory, actual trajectory, tracking error and control effort after fourth iteration level.

The system belongs to the class of uncertain nonlinear systems defined by (21) with $n=4$. The proposed controller strategy is applied to this system with an objective to solve the tracking problem of system. Four iteration levels are used for the simulation. The desired trajectory is taken as $y_{d}=0.5 \sin t$. Initial conditions are taken as $x(0)=$ $[0.3,0,0,0]^{T}$. Attenuation levels for robust controller are taken as 0.01 . Controller gain vector is taken as (31). Wavelet networks with Mexican Hat wavelet as the mother wavelet is used for approximating the unknown system dynamics. Wavelet parameters for these wavelet networks are tuned online using the proposed adaptation laws. Initial conditions for all the wavelet parameters are set to zero. Simulation results are shown in Figures 2-5. As observed from the figures, system response tracks the desired trajectory rapidly in consecutive iterations and after the fourth iteration the trajectory is perfectly tracked. This reflects the efficiency of the proposed control strategy.

## 6 Conclusion

A novel HHT based Wavelet adaptive tracking control strategy is proposed for a class of systems with unknown system dynamics and actuator saturation. Adaptive wavelet networks are used for approximating the unknown system dynamics. HHT algorithm is used for the better online feature extraction of uncertainties present in the dynamics of the system. Adaptation laws are developed for online tuning of the wavelet parameters. The stability of the overall system is guaranteed by using the Lyapunov functional. The theoretical analysis is validated by the simulation results.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are interested in the existence of periodic solutions and approximative solutions to the Hamiltonian system $\dot{x}=J H^{\prime}(t, x)$ when $H$ is noncoercive of the type $H(t, r, p)=G(p-A r)+h(t) \cdot(r, p)$. For the proof we use the Dual Action Principle and Critical Point Theory.


Keywords: Hamiltonian systems; periodic solutions; non-coercive; dual action principle; discrete dual action principle; critical point theory; numerical research.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34K28, 34K07, 34C25, 35A15.

## 1 Introduction

Let $G: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function such that $G^{\prime}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow$ $G^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be an homeomorphism. Let $A$ be a matrix of order $n$ and $h: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a continuous $T$ - periodic ( $T>0$ ) function with zero mean value. Consider the noncoercive Hamiltonian

$$
H(t, r, p)=G(p-A r)+h(t) \cdot(r, p)
$$

Here $x . y$ is the usual inner product of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. We are interested in the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=J H^{\prime}(t, x) \tag{H}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=x(T) \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

The goal of this work is to prove the existence of solutions to the problem $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ and to approximate these solutions.

[^6]For $T$ and $h$ given, we define the dual action integral $\varphi: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ as

$$
\varphi(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} J v \cdot \pi v d t+\int_{0}^{T} H_{0}^{*}(v-h) d t
$$

where $H_{0}(r, p)=G(p-A r), H_{0}^{*}$ is the Fenchel's transformation of $H_{0}$ and $E$ is the closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ defined by:

$$
E=\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) / \int_{0}^{T} v(t) d t=0\right\}
$$

Under some suitable assumptions on $G$, we will prove, in Section 2, that the problem $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ has at least one solution and is equivalently to the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } v \in E \text { such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial} \varphi(v) \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduce the notation $\bar{\partial}$ to distinguish the sub differential in $E$ and in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$. In Section 3 , we will introduce some problems $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right),\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{N}\right)$ defined in a finite dimensional space and related together by a discret dual action principle. In Section 4, we will study the existence of solutions to problem $\left(P_{N}\right)$, which give solutions to problem $\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)$. In Section 5 , we will study some convergence problems related to this discretisation. We want to know if the differences system $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)$ is near to system $(\mathcal{H})$ for example for a very large integer $N$. In Section 6 , we will give an example of application and in Section 7, we will conclude this work.

## 2 Existence of Periodic Solutions

Let $G: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable convex function, $A$ be a symmetric matrix of order $n$ and $h: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ be a continuous $T$-periodic function with zero mean value on $[0, T]$. Consider the assumptions:

## Assumption 2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \longrightarrow \infty} G(x)=+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumption 2.2 There exist $\alpha \in] 0, \frac{\pi}{T\left(1+|A|^{2}\right)}[$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, G(x) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}|x|^{2}+\beta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|A|$ is the usual norm of $A$. Consider the non-coercive sub-quadratic Hamiltonian:

$$
H(t, r, p)=G(p-A r)+h \cdot(r, p)
$$

We are interested in the existence of solutions for the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=J H^{\prime}(t, x) \tag{H}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=x(T), \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $H$ with respect to the second variable $x$ and $J$ is the standard $(2 n \times 2 n)$ symplectic matrix:

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{n}$ is the identity matrix of order $n$.

Example 2.1 Consider a relativistic particle with a very small charge $e$ and rest mass $m_{0}$, subject to a uniform constant magnetic field $B$ and a uniform electric field $E(t)$. The energy expressed as a function of $(t, r, p)$, i.e. a Hamiltonian, is given by

$$
H(t, r, p)=c\left[m_{0}^{2} c^{2}+\left|p-\frac{e}{2} B(t) \wedge r\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-E(t) \cdot r
$$

where $c$ is the velocity of light, $p$ the usual mechanical momentum of particle and $r$ is its position. The particle motion is described by the associated Hamiltonian system ( $\mathcal{H}$ ).

The function

$$
H_{0}(r, p)=G(p-A r)
$$

is convex and its Fenchel's transformation $H_{0}^{*}$ is given for all $(s, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by (see [7])

$$
H_{0}^{*}(s, q)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G^{*}(q), \text { if } s+A^{*} q=0 \\
+\infty, \text { if } s+A^{*} q \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(y)=\int_{0}^{T}\left[\frac{1}{2} J \dot{y} \cdot y+H_{0}^{*}(\dot{y}-h)\right] d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined over the space

$$
\left\{y \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) / y(0)=y(T)\right\}
$$

Note that, from the periodicity condition:

$$
\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, \psi(y+\xi)=\psi(y)
$$

the true variable in (2.1) is $\dot{y}$ and we can choose for $y$ any primitive we like. The only condition on $\dot{y}$ is:

$$
\dot{y} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) \text { and } \int_{0}^{T} \dot{y} d t=0
$$

In other terms, we have

$$
\psi(y)=\varphi(\dot{y})
$$

where $\varphi$ is the functional

$$
\varphi(v)=\int_{0}^{T}\left[\frac{1}{2} J v \cdot \pi v+H_{0}^{*}(v-h)\right] d t
$$

defined on the space

$$
E=\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) / \int_{0}^{T} v(t) d t=0\right\}
$$

where $\pi v$ is the primitive of $v$ with zero mean value:

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(\pi v)=v \text { and } \int_{0}^{T}(\pi v)(t) d t=0
$$

or also

$$
(\pi v)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} v(s) d s-\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{r} v(s) d s d r
$$

This allows to introduce the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } v \in E \text { such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial} \varphi(v) . \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problems $(\mathcal{R})$ and $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ are related by a dual action principle.

Theorem 2.1 (Dual action principle). Assume that the function $G$ satisfies $\left(G_{1}\right)$, $\left(G_{2}\right)$ and let $v \in E$. Then the two following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $v$ is a solution of problem $(\mathcal{R})$,
(ii) there exists a constant $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that the function $x(t)=J \pi v(t)+\xi$ is a solution of problem $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.
Consider the functional

$$
g(v)=\int_{T}^{0} H_{0}^{*}(v-h) d t, v \in E,
$$

we have
Lemma 2.1 The sub-differential of $g_{\mid E}$ in a point $v \in E$ where $g$ has finite value, is given by

$$
\bar{\partial} g(v)=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) / \exists \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, u(t)+\xi \in \partial H_{0}^{*}(v(t)-h(t)) \text { a.e. }\right\} .
$$

Proof. If $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right), v \in E$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ are such that $u(t)+\xi \in \partial H_{0}^{*}(v(t)-$ $h(t))$ a.e, we prove easily that u is in $\bar{\partial} g(v)$. Reversely, it is clear that

$$
\bar{\partial} g(v)=\partial\left(g+\delta_{E}\right)(v)
$$

where

$$
\delta_{E}(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { if } v \in E \\
+\infty, \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since it is clear that $\partial \delta_{E}(v)$ is the set of constant functions and it is well known that (see [3])

$$
\partial g(v)=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) / u(t) \in \partial H_{0}^{*}(v(t)-h(t)) \text { a.e. }\right\}
$$

the result will be proved if we have

$$
\partial\left(g+\delta_{E}\right)(v)=\partial g(v)+\partial \delta_{E}(v) .
$$

Let us establish that $\partial\left(g+\delta_{E}\right)(v)=\partial g(v)+\partial \delta_{E}(v)$. It is enough to prove that $g^{*} \nabla \delta_{E}^{*}$ is exact (see [1]). By identifying the set of constant functions to $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, we see that

$$
\delta_{E}^{*}=\delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} .
$$

We deduce that for all $u$ in $L^{2}$ :

$$
\left(g^{*} \nabla \delta_{E}^{*}\right)(u)=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \int_{0}^{T} H_{0}(u-h+x) d t
$$

and by $\left(G_{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left(g^{*} \nabla \delta_{E}^{*}\right)(u) \leq \int_{0}^{T} H_{0}(u-h) d t \leq \alpha\left(1+|A|^{2}\right)\|u-h\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\beta T \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By convexity and (2.2), we conclude that $g^{*} \nabla \delta_{E}^{*}$ is continuous (see [3]).
Now, let us write $u=(r, p)$ and $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$, we have $\left(g^{*} \nabla \delta_{E}^{*}\right)(u)=\inf _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} F(\xi)$, where

$$
F(\xi)=\int_{0}^{T} G\left(p-h_{2}-A\left(r-h_{1}\right)+\xi\right) d t
$$

By properties of $G$, it is easy to see that $F$ is continuous and $\lim _{|\xi| \longrightarrow \infty} F(\xi)=+\infty$. Consequently F achieves its minimum on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and then $g^{*} \nabla \delta_{E}^{*}$ is exact. On the other hand, $g$ and $\delta_{E}$ are convex, l.s.c and propers, therefore for all $v$ in $E$ where $g$ is finite, we have

$$
\bar{\partial} g(v)=\partial g(v)+\mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is complete.
Let $v \in E$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in \bar{\partial} \varphi(v) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in-J \pi v+\bar{\partial} g(v) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, formula (2.4) is equivalent to the existence of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\pi v)(t)+\xi \in \partial H_{0}^{*}(v(t)-h(t)) \text { a.e. } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us put $x(t)=J \pi v(t)+\xi$. By Fenchel's reciprocity, formula (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$
v(t)-h(t)=H_{0}^{\prime}(x(t))
$$

or

$$
\dot{x}(t)=J H^{\prime}(t, x(t))
$$

and it is clear that $x$ is $T$ - periodic. Then $x$ is a solution of problem $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ and Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Now, we associate with $(\mathcal{R})$ the problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \bar{v} \in E \text { such that } \inf _{v \in E} \varphi(v)=\varphi(\bar{v}) \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem $(\mathcal{P})$ allows to give a solution of $\operatorname{problem}(\mathcal{R})$.
Theorem 2.2 Assume assumptions $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$ hold, then problem $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ has at least one solution.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 and the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 Problem ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) possesses a solution: there exists a point $\bar{v} \in E$ such that

$$
\min _{E} \varphi=\varphi(\bar{v})
$$

Proof. By using assumption $\left(G_{2}\right)$ and going through the conjugate, we verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, \quad H_{0}^{*}(y) \geq \frac{1}{2 \alpha\left(1+|A|^{2}\right)}|y|^{2}-\beta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Wirtinger's inequality and using Fourier expansion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in E,\|\pi v\|_{L^{2}} \leq \frac{T}{2 \pi}\|v\|_{L^{2}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce from (2.6), (2.7) and Hölder's inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in E, \varphi(v) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha\left(1+|A|^{2}\right)}-\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right]\|v\|_{L^{2}}-\beta T . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $\left(v_{k}\right)$ be a minimising sequence, then by $(2.8),\left(v_{k}\right)$ is bounded. Since the space $E$ is reflexive, then there exists a subsequence ( $v_{k_{p}}$ ) weakly convergent to a $\bar{v} \in E$.
It is well known that the functional $g$ introduced above is l.s.c, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} H_{0}^{*}\left(v_{k_{p}}-h\right) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T} H_{0}^{*}(\bar{v}-h) d t \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elsewhere, the operator $\pi$ is compact, so

$$
\pi v_{k_{p}} \longrightarrow \pi \bar{v}, \text { in } \mathrm{E}^{2}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p \longrightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} J v_{k_{p}} \cdot \pi v_{k_{p}} d t=\int_{0}^{T} J \bar{v} \cdot \pi \bar{v} d t . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we deduce from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\min _{E} \varphi=\varphi(\bar{v}) .
$$

## 3 A Discrete Dual Action Principle

Giving a period $T>0$ and a forcing $h$, we have defined in the previous section the space $E=L_{0}^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ and the functional $\varphi: E \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. We will write a problem $\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)$ obtained by writing ( $\mathcal{R}$ ) not in $L^{2}$ but in a finite dimensional space. This will allow us, having put a differences system $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)$ and a constraint $\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right)$, to establish a "discrete dual action principle" connecting $\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right)$.

Notations. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n N}$, we will adopt the following agreement writing:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \text { where } x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{N}\right), \text { where } x^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\
x_{i}^{j} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, N
\end{array}\right.
$$

This allows us to define the space

$$
E_{N}=\left\{v=(r, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N} / \sum_{j=1}^{N} r^{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} p^{j}=0\right\} .
$$

Let us define on $\mathbb{R}$ the sequence $\left(t^{j}\right)_{j=1,2, \ldots, N}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t^{1}=0, t^{N+1}=T, \\
t^{j+1}-t^{j}=\delta=\frac{T}{N}, \forall j=1,2, \ldots, N .
\end{array}\right.
$$

With any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n N}$, we can associate a step function $\tilde{x}$ from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which will be, by construction, $T$ - periodic, as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{x}(t)=x^{j}, \forall t \in\left[t^{j}, t^{j+1}[, \forall j=1,2, \ldots, N ;\right. \\
\tilde{x}(t+k T)=\tilde{x}(t), \forall t \in[0, T[, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we can write $\varphi$ applied to any element $v=(r, p)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$. We will denote by $\varphi_{N}(v)$ its value (the index $N$ in $\varphi$ is to recall that we have calculated $\varphi$ for elements of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ ). We obtain

$$
\varphi_{N}(v)=\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{j} J v^{j} \cdot v^{k}+\delta \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}-h^{j}\right)
$$

The vector $h^{j}$ is obtained by discretising $h$ with respect to $\left(t^{j}\right)_{j=1,2, \ldots, N}$, which is possible since $h$ is $T$ - periodic.

Definition 3.1 We recall the problem $\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } v \in E_{N} \text { such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial} \varphi_{N}(v) \tag{N}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.2 We will denote by $w_{N}=\left(r_{N}, p_{N}\right)$ the continuous piecewise linear functions, defined with respect to $\left(t^{j}\right)_{j=1,2, \ldots, N}$. For these functions, we define the differences system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-J \frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{t^{j+1}-t^{j}}=H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)+w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{2}\right)+h\left(t^{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, N \tag{N}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we look for $w_{N}$ satisfying $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)$ and the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{N}(0)=w_{N}(T) \tag{N}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 (Discrete dual action principle). Assume $G$ satisfies $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$. Then for $v \in E_{N}$ the following two assertions are equivalent:
(i) $v$ is a solution of $\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)$,
(ii) there exists a constant $\xi_{N}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 N}$ such that the function

$$
w_{N}(t)=J \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{v}(\tau) d \tau+\xi_{N}
$$

is a solution of $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)\left(C_{N}\right)$, where $\tilde{v}$ is defined with respect to $v$ as above.
Proof. 1) The function $w_{N}$ defined in (ii) is a continuous linear piecewise function as in Definition 3.2.
2) Given the definition of $E_{N}$, it is clear that $w_{N}$ satisfies condition $\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right)$ if and only if $v$ belongs to this space, since

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \tilde{v}(\tau) d \tau=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}} \tilde{v}(\tau) d \tau=\delta \sum_{j=1}^{N} v^{j}
$$

3) In the following, we will need the next result:

Lemma 3.1 Let

$$
F(v)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right)
$$

then we have

$$
\partial F(v)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N} / u^{j} \in \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right), \forall j=1, \ldots, N\right\}
$$

where $u=\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N}\right)$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \in \partial F(v) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N} / F(x) \leq F(v)+(x-v) \cdot u \\
\Longleftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}, \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(x^{j}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(x^{j}-v^{j}\right) \cdot u^{j} \\
\Longrightarrow \forall j=1, \ldots, N, \forall x^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{1}\right)+\ldots+H_{0}^{*}\left(x^{j}\right)+\ldots+H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{N}\right) \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right)+\left(x^{j}-v^{j}\right) \cdot u^{j} \\
\Longrightarrow \forall j=1, \ldots, N, \forall x^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, H_{0}^{*}\left(x^{j}\right) \leq H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right)+\left(x^{j}-v^{j}\right) \cdot u^{j} \\
\Longrightarrow \forall j=1, \ldots, N, u^{j} \in \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Reversely, if $\forall j=1, \ldots, N, u^{j} \in \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall j, \forall x^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, H_{0}^{*}\left(x^{j}\right) \leq H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right)+\left(x^{j}-v^{j}\right) \cdot u^{j} \\
\Longrightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}, \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(x^{j}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(x^{j}-v^{j}\right) \cdot u^{j} \\
\Longrightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}, F(x) \leq F(v)+(x-v) \cdot u \\
\Longrightarrow u \in \partial F(v) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, consider the functional

$$
\varphi_{N}(v)=Q_{N}(v)+\delta \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}-h^{j}\right)
$$

defined over the space $E_{N}$, with

$$
Q_{N}(v)=\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{j} J v^{j} \cdot v^{k} .
$$

We have

$$
Q_{N}(v)=\frac{\delta^{2}}{2}\left[J v^{2} \cdot v^{1}+\ldots+J v^{N} \cdot v^{1}\right]+\text { terms without } v^{1}
$$

so

$$
\frac{\partial Q_{N}}{\partial v^{1}}=\frac{\delta^{2}}{2}\left[J v^{2}+J v^{3}+\ldots+J v^{N}\right]=-\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} J v^{1}
$$

Similarly for $2 \leq j \leq N$,

$$
Q_{N}(v)=\frac{\delta^{2}}{2}\left[J v^{j} \cdot\left(v^{1}+\ldots .+v^{j-1}\right)+\left(J v^{j+1}+\ldots+J v^{N}\right) \cdot v^{j}\right]+\text { terms without } v^{j}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial Q_{N}}{\partial v^{j}}=\frac{\delta^{2}}{2}\left[-J\left(v^{1}+\ldots+v^{j-1}\right)+J\left(v^{j+1}+\ldots+v^{N}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{\delta^{2}}{2}\left[-J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^{k}-J \sum_{k=1}^{j} v^{k}\right]=-\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} J\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^{k}+v^{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\partial \varphi_{N}(v)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N} / \forall j=1, \ldots, N, u^{j} \in-\frac{\delta^{2}}{2}\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^{k}+v^{j}\right)+\delta \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}-h^{j}\right)\right\} .
$$

4) By writing

$$
\partial \varphi_{N}(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { if } v \in E_{N} \\
+\infty, \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have

$$
\bar{\partial} \varphi_{N}(v)=\partial\left(\varphi_{N}+\delta_{E_{N}}\right)(v)
$$

where we introduce the notation $\bar{\partial}$ to distinguish the sub-differentials in $E_{N}$ and in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$.

Lemma 3.2 We have

$$
\bar{\partial} \varphi_{N}(v)=\partial \varphi_{N}(v)+\partial \delta_{E_{N}}(v)
$$

Proof. By writing

$$
g_{N}(v)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}-h^{j}\right)
$$

it is enough to prove that $\bar{\partial} g_{N}(v)=\partial g_{N}(v)+\partial \delta_{E_{N}}(v)$. It is clear that $\bar{\partial} g_{N}(v)=\partial\left(g_{N}+\right.$ $\left.\delta_{E_{N}}\right)(v)$. The result will be proved if we have

$$
\partial\left(g_{N}+\delta_{E_{N}}\right)(v)=\partial g_{N}(v)+\partial \delta_{E_{N}}(v)
$$

For this, it is enough to prove that $g_{N}^{*} \nabla \delta_{E_{N}}^{*}$ is exact. We have $\delta_{E_{N}}^{*}=\delta_{E_{\bar{N}}}$. Let us determine $E_{N}^{\perp}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=(r, p) \in E_{N}^{\perp} \Longleftrightarrow \forall v \in E_{N}, u \cdot v=0 \Longleftrightarrow \forall(s, q) \in E_{N}, \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(s^{j} \cdot r^{j}+q^{j} \cdot p^{j}\right)=0 \\
\Longrightarrow\left[\forall i \neq j=1, \ldots, N, \forall s^{i}, s^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, s^{i}+s^{j}=0 \Longrightarrow s^{i} \cdot r^{i}+s^{j} \cdot r^{j}=0\right] \\
\Longrightarrow\left[\forall i \neq j=1, \ldots, N, \forall s^{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, s^{i} \cdot\left(r^{i}-r^{j}\right)=0\right] \\
\Longrightarrow \forall i, j=1, \ldots, N, r^{i}=r^{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, $\forall i, j=1, \ldots, N, p^{i}=p^{j}$. Therefore we have

$$
(r, p) \in E_{N}^{\perp} \Longrightarrow r^{1}=\ldots=r^{N}, p^{1}=\ldots=p^{N}
$$

Reversely, if $(r, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ is such that $r^{1}=\ldots=r^{N}$ and $p^{1}=\ldots=p^{N}$, then

$$
\forall(s, q) \in E_{N},(s, q) \cdot(r, p)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} s^{j} \cdot r^{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} q^{j} \cdot p^{j}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} s^{j}\right) \cdot r^{1}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} q^{j}\right) \cdot p^{1}=0
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
E_{N}^{\perp}=\left\{(r, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N} / r^{1}=\ldots=r^{N}, p^{1}=\ldots=p^{N}\right\}
$$

For $u$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_{N}^{*} \nabla \delta_{E_{N}}^{*}\right)(u)=\inf _{u_{1}+u_{2}=u}\left(g_{N}^{*}\left(u_{1}\right)+\delta_{E_{N}}^{*}\left(u_{2}\right)\right)=\inf _{\xi \in E_{\bar{N}}} g_{N}^{*}(u+\xi)=\inf _{\xi \in E_{\bar{N}}^{\prime}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}\left(u^{j}+\xi^{j}\right) \\
& \quad=\inf _{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{0}\left(u^{j}+(x, y)\right)=\inf _{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} G\left(u_{2}^{j}-A u_{1}^{j}+y-A x\right)=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u^{j}=\left(u_{1}^{j}, u_{2}^{j}\right)$ and

$$
K(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} G\left(u_{2}^{j}-A u_{1}^{j}+x\right)
$$

Since $K$ is continuous and goes to infinity as $|x| \longrightarrow \infty$, then $K$ achieves its minimum on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.

We have $\partial \delta_{E_{N}}(v)=E_{N}^{\perp}$ then $\bar{\partial} \varphi_{N}(v)=\partial \varphi_{N}(v)+E_{N}^{\perp}$. Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \in \bar{\partial} \varphi_{N}(v) \Longleftrightarrow u \in \partial \varphi_{N}(v)+E_{N}^{\perp} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \exists \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} /\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{1} \in \frac{-\delta^{2}}{2} J v^{1}+\xi+\delta \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{1}-h^{1}\right) \\
u^{j} \in \frac{-\delta^{2}}{2} J\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^{k}+v^{j}\right)+\xi+\delta \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}-h^{j}\right), \forall j=2, \ldots, N .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

5) $v$ is a critical point of $\varphi_{N}$ if and only if there exists a constant $\xi_{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \in \frac{-\delta^{2}}{2} J \bar{v}_{1}-\xi_{N}+\delta \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(\bar{v}^{1}-h^{1}\right) \\
0 \in \frac{-\delta^{2}}{2} J\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{v}^{k}+v^{j}\right)-\xi_{N}+\delta \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(\bar{v}^{j}-h^{j}\right), \forall j=2, \ldots, N
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Longleftrightarrow \exists \xi_{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{N}+\frac{\delta}{2} J \bar{v}^{1} \in \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(\bar{v}^{1}-h^{1}\right), \\
\xi_{N}+\frac{\delta}{2} J\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{v}^{k}+v^{j}\right) \in \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(\bar{v}^{j}-h^{j}\right), \forall j=2, \ldots, N .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us associate with $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$, the step function $\tilde{v}$ and the continuous piecewise linear function $w_{N}$ defined by

$$
w_{N}(t)=J \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{v}(\tau) d \tau+\xi_{N}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)=J \int_{0}^{t^{j+1}} \tilde{v}(\tau) d \tau+\xi_{N}=J \sum_{k=1}^{j} \int_{T^{k}}^{t^{k+1}} \tilde{v}(\tau) d \tau+\xi_{N}=\delta J \sum_{k=1}^{j} v^{k}+\xi_{N}
$$

which implies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right) & =\delta J \tilde{v}\left(t^{j}\right) \\
w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)+w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right) & =2\left[\frac{\delta}{2}\left(2 J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \tilde{v}\left(t^{k}\right)+\tilde{v}\left(t^{j}\right)\right)+\xi_{N}\right] .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)=\delta J \tilde{v}\left(t^{j}\right), \\
w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)+w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right) \in 2 \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(v^{j}-h^{j}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This yields

$$
\frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)+w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{2} \in \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(-J \frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{t^{j+1}-t^{j}}-h\left(t^{j}\right)\right) .
$$

By using Fenchel's reciprocity formula, we obtain

$$
-J \frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{t^{j+1}-t^{j}}=H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)+w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{2}\right)+h\left(t^{j}\right) .
$$

## 4 Existence Results

To resolve the problem $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right)$, it suffices, by using Section 3 , to find a point $\bar{v}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ solution of $\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \bar{v} \in E_{N} \text { such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial} \varphi_{N}(\bar{v}) \tag{N}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this, we can study the existence of a minimum to the associate problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \bar{v} \in E_{N} \text { satisfying } \inf _{v \in E_{N}} \varphi_{N}(v)=\varphi_{N}(\bar{v}) \tag{N}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $G$ and $h$ satisfy the assumptions of Section 2.
Remark 4.1 In Section 3, we have seen that we can associate with a point $v$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ a step function $\tilde{v}$ defined from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ by the relations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } \tilde{v}(t)=v^{j}, \forall t \in\left[t^{j}, t^{j+1}[, \forall j=1, \ldots, N,\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
(i i) \tilde{v}(t+k T)=\tilde{v}(t), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall t \in[0, T] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that the restriction $\tilde{v}_{[0, T]}$ of $\tilde{v}$ to $[0, T]$ is in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$.
Definition 4.1 1) Denote by $F_{N}$ the subset of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ defined by

$$
F_{N}=\left\{\omega \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) / \omega \text { verifies }(4.1)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \omega \text { is defined for all } t \in[0, T], \\
\text { (ii) } \omega(t)=\omega^{j}, \forall t \in\left[t^{j}, t^{j+1}[, \forall j=1, \ldots, N,\right. \\
(\text { iii }) \omega(T)=\omega^{1}=\omega(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Firstly, remark that $F_{N}$ is a closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$.
2) Denote by $\eta_{N}$ the function defined from $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ into $F_{N}$

$$
\eta_{N}(v)=\tilde{v}_{[0, T]}, v \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}
$$

Remark that

$$
\varphi_{N}(v)=\varphi\left(\eta_{N}(v)\right)
$$

Lemma 4.1 The function $\eta_{N}$ establishes a diffeomorphism between $F_{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$, so we can identify $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ with $F_{N}$.

Proof. Since the partition $\left(t^{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, N}$ is fixed, then $\eta_{N}$ is a differentiable linear map and we can verify easily that it is invertible.

Lemma $4.2 \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ can be provided with the topology obtained by diffeomorphism from the topology induced from $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ on $F_{N}$.

Proof. It is a consequence from the fact that $F_{N}$ is a closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$.

Remark 4.2 By denoting $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ the norm in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ and $|\cdot|_{2 n}$ the norm in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, we have the equality

$$
\left\|\eta_{N}(v)\right\|_{2}=\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|v^{j}\right|_{2 n}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The right quantity defines a norm in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$, we will denote it by $|\cdot|_{2, N}$. With these notations, $\eta_{N}$ appears as an isometry from $\left(L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right),\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ into $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n N},|\cdot|_{2, N}\right)$.

Theorem 4.1 Under assumptions $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$, the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{N}\right)$ has, for all integer $N$, a solution $v_{N}$.

Proof. By identifying $\mathbb{R}^{2 n N}$ to $F_{N}$, the proof is the same as that of the general case $(\mathcal{P})$. It is based on the following estimate:

$$
\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}, \varphi_{N}(v) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha\left(1+|A|^{2}\right.}-\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right]\left\|\eta_{N}(v)\right\|_{2}^{2}-\beta T
$$

or also

$$
\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n N}, \varphi_{N}(v) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha\left(1+|A|^{2}\right)}-\frac{T}{2 \pi}\right]|v|_{2, N}^{2}-\beta T
$$

The previous theorem permits to assert that if assumptions $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$ are satisfied, then for all integer $N$, we can find a minimum for $\varphi_{N}$ on $E_{N}$ which is also a solution of $\left(\mathcal{R}_{N}\right)$. Therefore, by the discrete dual action principle introduced in Section 3, the problem $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right)$ has a solution.

Now we define a sequence $\left(v_{1}\right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ by setting

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) N=2^{l} \\
(\text { ii }) v_{l} \text { is a solution of }\left(\mathcal{P}_{N}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The estimate of the previous theorem permits to state the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3 Under assumption $\left(G_{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\forall l \in \mathbb{N}^{*},\left\|\eta_{N}\left(v_{1}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left|v_{1}\right|_{2, N}^{2} \leq M
$$

Proof. Note that, from the previous results, we have

$$
\forall l \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, \varphi_{N}\left(v_{l}\right)=\varphi\left(\eta_{N}\left(v_{l}\right)\right) \leq \frac{k_{l}}{2}\left\|\eta_{N}\left(v_{l}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}-k_{2}
$$

where $k_{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha\left(1+|A|^{2}\right)}-\frac{T}{2 \pi}$ and $k_{2}=\beta T$. We have also

$$
\forall l^{\prime} \leq l, \varphi_{N}\left(v_{l}\right) \leq \varphi_{N}\left(v_{l^{\prime}}\right) \text { with } N=2^{l}
$$

Since

$$
\varphi_{N}\left(v_{l^{\prime}}\right)=\varphi_{N^{\prime}}\left(v_{l^{\prime}}\right) \text { with } N^{\prime}=2^{l^{\prime}}
$$

we get

$$
\forall l^{\prime} \leq l, \varphi\left(\eta_{N}\left(v_{l}\right)\right)=\varphi_{N}\left(v_{l}\right) \leq \varphi_{N^{\prime}}\left(v_{l^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\forall l \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, \frac{1}{2} k_{1}\left\|\eta_{N}\left(v_{l}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}-k_{2} \leq \varphi_{N}\left(v_{l}\right) \leq \varphi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)
$$

Since $\varphi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ is a constant with respect to $l$, the proof of Lemma 4.3 is complete.

## 5 Convergence Results

Under assumptions $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$, we have proved in the previous section that there exists a sequence $\left(v_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ of solutions for the problems $\left(\mathcal{P}_{N}\right)$ with $N=2^{l}$. Consider the sequence $\left(w_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ of piecewise linear functions defined by

$$
w_{l}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{v}_{l}(\tau) d \tau+\xi_{l}
$$

with $\xi_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that

$$
\xi_{l} \in-\frac{\delta_{l}}{2} J \tilde{v}_{l}(0)+\partial H_{0}^{*}\left(\tilde{v}_{l}(0)-h_{l}(0)\right), \quad \delta_{l}=\frac{T}{2^{l}}
$$

Remark 5.1 Giving the definition of $H_{0}$, we can assume that $\xi_{l}$ is of the type $\left(0, \lambda_{l}\right)$ with $\lambda_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(r, p) \in(a, b)+\partial H_{0}^{*}(s, q) \Longleftrightarrow(s, q)=H_{0}^{\prime}((r, p)-(a, b)) \\
\Longleftrightarrow(s, q)=\left(-A^{*} G^{\prime}(p-b-A(r-a)), G^{\prime}(p-b-A(r-a))\right) \\
=\left(-A^{*} G^{\prime}(p-A r-b+A a), G^{\prime}(p-A r-b+A a)\right) \\
\Longleftrightarrow(s, q)=H_{0}^{\prime}(-a, p-A r-b) \\
\Longleftrightarrow-(a, b)+(0, p-A r) \in \partial H_{0}^{*}(s, q) \\
\Longleftrightarrow(0, p-A r) \in(a, b)+\partial H_{0}^{*}(s, q)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the following, we will take $\xi_{l}$ of the form $\left(0, \lambda_{l}\right), \lambda_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and we will prove that the associated sequence $\left(w_{l}\right)$ has a subsequence strongly convergent in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ to a solution $\bar{w}$ of $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 5.1 [7] The operator $\pi$ from $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ into itself, introduced in Section 2, is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator: it transforms quickly convergent sequences to strongly convergent sequences.

Lemma 5.2 Under assumptions $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$, there exists a subsequence $\left(w_{l_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(w_{l}\right)$ strongly convergent in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ to $\bar{w}$. Moreover $\bar{w}$ is defined in 0 and $T$ and satisfies $\bar{w}(0)=\bar{w}(T)$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that the sequence $\left(w_{l}\right)$ is included in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$. By Lemma 4.3, the sequence $\left(\tilde{v}_{l}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$, then it possesses a subsequence $\left(\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\right)$ weakly convergent in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ to a point $\bar{v}$. In particular $\left(\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\right)$ being defined for all integer $k$ and for all $t \in[0, T]$, the sequence $\left(\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}(t)\right)$ is convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ to $\bar{v}(t)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Recall that we have defined $\xi_{l}$ by

$$
\xi_{l} \in-\frac{\delta_{l}}{2} J \tilde{v}_{l}(0)+\partial H_{0}^{*}\left(\tilde{v}_{l}(0)-h_{l}(0)\right), \delta_{l}=\frac{T}{2^{l}}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi_{l}+\frac{\delta_{l}}{2} J \tilde{v}_{l}(0) \in \partial H_{0}^{*}\left(\tilde{v}_{l}(0)-h_{l}(0)\right) \\
\Longleftrightarrow \tilde{v}_{l}(0)-h_{l}(0)=H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{l}+\frac{\delta_{l}}{2} J \tilde{v}_{l}(0)\right) \\
\left.=H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\left(0, \lambda_{l}\right)+\frac{\delta_{l}}{2} J\left(\tilde{v}_{l}^{1}(0), \tilde{v}_{l}^{2}\right)(0)\right)\right)=H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\delta_{l}}{2} \tilde{v}_{l}^{2}(0), \lambda_{l}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{2} \tilde{v}_{l}^{1}(0)\right) \Longleftrightarrow \\
\tilde{v}_{l}(0)-h_{l}(0)=\left(-A^{*} G^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{l}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{l}^{1}(0)+A \tilde{v}_{l}^{2}(0)\right)\right), G^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{l}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{l}^{1}(0)+A \tilde{v}_{l}^{2}(0)\right)\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $G^{\prime}$ is an homeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into $G^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and since $\left(\delta_{l}\right)$ goes to zero in $\mathbb{R}$ as $l$ goes to infinity and $\left(\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}(0)\right)$ is bounded and converges to $\bar{v}(0)$, the sequence $\left(\lambda_{l_{k}}\right)$ converges to $\bar{\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with

$$
\bar{\lambda}=\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(\bar{v}^{2}(0)-h^{2}(0)\right)
$$

By previous Remarks and Lemma 5.1, we deduce that the sequence $\left(w_{l_{k}}\right)$ converges strongly to $\bar{w}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$. Moreover

$$
\bar{w}(t)=J \int_{0}^{t} \bar{v}(\tau) d \tau+\bar{\xi} \text { with } \bar{\xi}=(0, \bar{\lambda})
$$

and then, in particular, we have $\bar{w}(0)=\bar{w}(T)$.
Lemma 5.3 The sequence $\left(y_{l_{k}}\right)$ defined by

$$
y_{l_{k}}=\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}-J h_{l_{k}} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)
$$

converges strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ to $\bar{y}=\bar{v}-J h$.
Proof. It is an immediately consequence of previous lemma's proof.
Lemma 5.4 With the point $w_{l}$ of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$, we associate the element $\omega_{l}$ of the same space defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{l}\left(t^{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{l}\left(t^{j+1}\right)+w_{l}\left(t^{j}\right)\right), \forall j=1, \ldots, N \\
\omega_{l}(0)=\omega_{l}(T) \\
\omega_{l}(t)=\omega_{l}\left(t^{j}\right), \forall t \in\left[t^{j}, t^{j+1}[, \forall j=1, \ldots, N\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under assumptions $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$, the subsequence $\left(\omega_{l_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(\omega_{l}\right)$ converges strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ to $\bar{w}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right\|_{2}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we will use the inequality

$$
\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-\bar{w}\right\| \leq\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right\|_{2}+\left\|w_{l_{k}}-\bar{w}\right\|_{2}
$$

and we conclude by using Lemma 5.2.
We have

$$
\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{0}^{T}\left|\omega_{l_{k}}(t)-w_{l_{k}}(t)\right|^{2} d t
$$

where $|$.$| denotes |\cdot|_{2 n}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \int_{t^{j+1}}^{t^{j}}\left|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right|^{2} d t \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{k}=2^{l_{k}}$. In $\left[t^{j}, t^{j+1}\left[, w_{l_{k}}(t)\right.\right.$ can be written

$$
\forall t \in\left[t^{j}, t^{j+1}\left[, w_{l_{k}}(t)=w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)+\left(t-t^{j}\right) \tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right.\right.
$$

Then equality (5.2) becomes

$$
\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \int_{t^{j+1}}^{t^{j}}\left|\omega_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-\left(t-t^{j}\right) \tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|^{2} d t
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}}\left|\omega_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|^{2} d t \\
+2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}}\left|t-t^{j}\right|\left|\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|\left|\omega_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right| d t+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}}\left|t-t^{j}\right|^{2}\left|\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|^{2} d t \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}}\left|\omega_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|^{2}+2 \frac{T}{N_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}}\left[\int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}}\left|\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}}\left|\omega_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
+\left(\frac{T}{N_{k}}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \int_{t^{j}}^{t^{j+1}}\left|\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)\right|^{2} d t . \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The expression $\omega_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)$ can be written

$$
\omega_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)=\frac{w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)+w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j+1}\right)}{2}-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)=\frac{w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)}{2}
$$

But we know that

$$
\frac{w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right)}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{l_{k}} \tilde{v}_{l_{k}}\left(t^{j}\right) .
$$

Therefore the inequality (5.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{l_{k}}-w_{l_{k}}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{9}{4}\left(\delta_{l_{k}}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\tilde{v}_{l_{k}(t)}\right|^{2} d t . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta_{l_{k}}=\frac{T}{N_{k}}=T 2^{-l_{k}}$ goes to zero as $k$ goes to infinity and $\tilde{v}_{l_{k}}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$, the relation (5.1) is proved.

If assumption $\left(G_{2}\right)$ is satisfied, Lemma 4.3 permits to write

$$
\delta_{l_{k}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|v_{l_{k}}(t)\right|^{2} d t=\frac{T}{2^{l_{k}}}\left[2^{l_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}}\left|v_{l_{k}}^{j}\right|^{2}\right] \leq \frac{T}{2^{l_{k}}} M .
$$

Therefore we can state the following convergence result:
Theorem 5.1 Under assumptions $\left(G_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 5.2 notations, the subsequence $\left(\omega_{l_{k}}\right)$ converges strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ to a solution $\bar{w}$ of $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. To prove this theorem, we will need the following theorem:
Theorem 5.2 [4] Let $A$ be a monotone maximal operator from its domain $D(A) \subset$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$. Let $\left(x_{l}\right)$ and $\left(y_{l}\right)$ be two sequences satisfying
(i) $x_{l} \in \operatorname{Dom} A, \forall l \geq l_{0}$,
(ii) $y_{l}=A\left(x_{l}\right), \forall l \geq l_{0}$,
(iii) $\left(x_{l}\right)$ converges weakly to $\bar{x}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$,
(iv) $\left(y_{l}\right)$ converges weakly to $\bar{y}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$,
(v) $\limsup _{l \longrightarrow \infty}\left(x_{l} y_{l}\right) \leq \bar{x} \bar{y}$.

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
(j) \bar{x} \in \operatorname{Dom} A, \\
(j j) \bar{y}=A(\bar{x}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Section 3, we know that for all integer $l$, the following system is verified:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.(i) \frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{t^{j+1}-t^{j}}=J\left[H_{0}^{\prime} \frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)+w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{2}\right)+h^{j}\right], \forall j=1, \ldots, 2^{l} \\
\text { and } \\
(i i) \frac{w_{N}\left(t^{j+1}\right)-w_{N}\left(t^{j}\right)}{t^{j+1}-t^{j}}=v_{l}^{j}, \forall j=1, \ldots, 2^{l} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using the notations of Lemma 5.3, equation (i) can be rewritten

$$
\forall t \in[0, T],-J y_{l}(t)=H_{0}^{\prime}(\omega(t))
$$

Since the operator " $-J$ " from $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ is an isometry, we deduce from the previous Lemmas that the sequences $\left(-J y_{l_{k}}\right)$ and $\left(\omega_{l_{k}}\right)$ as the operator $H_{0}^{\prime}$ verify assumptions of the previous Theorem, therefore we can assert that

$$
\forall t \in[0, T],-J \bar{y}(t)=H_{0}^{\prime}(\bar{w}(t))
$$

or also

$$
\forall t \in[0, T], \bar{v}(t)=J\left(H_{0}^{\prime}(\bar{w}(t))+h(t)\right)
$$

where

$$
\bar{w}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \bar{v}(\tau) d \tau+(0, \bar{\lambda})
$$

Therefore $\bar{w}$ is a solution of $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we first prove the existence of solutions of a problem of non-coercive convex Hamiltonian systems $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ through the theory of critical point theory and the dual action principle. Then we associate with $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ a sequence of problems $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right)$, $\left(R_{N}\right),\left(P_{N}\right)$ defined in a finite dimensional space and related together by a discrete dual action principle. We prove that problems $\left(\mathcal{H}_{N}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}_{N}\right)$ possess a sequence of solutions which converges to a solution of problem $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the complexity of group actions from the viewpoint of Furstenberg families, we characterize the $\mathcal{F}$ uniform rigidity and $\mathcal{F}$ equicontinuity using topological sequence complexity function, and we establish the connection between $\mathcal{F}$ mixing and $\mathcal{F}$ scattering.
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## 1 Introduction

Blanchard, Host and Maass used open covers to define a complexity function for a continuous map on a compact metric space, and discussed the equicontinuity and scattering properties. Subsequently, Yang discussed the relations of $\mathcal{F}$ mixing and $\mathcal{F}$ scattering of a continuous map(see [1-3]). We study the complexity of group actions from the viewpoint of Furstenberg families. The results are as follows: we characterize the $\mathcal{F}$ uniform rigidity and $\mathcal{F}$ equicontinuity using topological sequence complexity function, and we establish the connection between $\mathcal{F}$ mixing and $\mathcal{F}$ scattering.

Suppose $(X, T)$ is a semi-dynamical system, where $X$ is a compact metric space, $T$ is a topological semigroup and contains the unit element.

- Suppose $X$ is a topological space, $T$ is a topological semigroup, if a map

$$
\pi: X \times T \rightarrow X
$$

satisfies

$$
\pi(\pi(x, t), s)=\pi(x, t s), \forall x \in X, \forall t, s \in T
$$

[^7]then we call $\pi$ a right action of $T$ on $X$. If the right action $\pi$ is continuous, then $(X, T, \pi)$ is called a semi-dynamical system (abbreviation: $(X, T)$ ). Often we write $\pi(x, t)=x t$.

- We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the collection of all subsets of $T$. Subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ is called a family, if $\mathcal{P}$ has hereditary upward, i.e., if $F_{1} \subset F_{2}$ and $F_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $F_{2} \in \mathcal{F}$. The family $\mathcal{F}$ is a proper family when it is a proper subset of $\mathcal{P}$, neither empty nor all of $\mathcal{P}$.

For a family $\mathcal{F}$, we define the dual family:

$$
\begin{aligned}
k \mathcal{F} & =\left\{F \mid F \cap F_{1} \neq \emptyset, \text { for all } F_{1} \in \mathcal{F}\right\} \\
& =\{F \mid T \backslash F \notin \mathcal{F}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- For $t \in T$ define $g^{t}: T \rightarrow T$ by $g^{t}(s)=t s, \forall s \in T, g^{t}$ is called a translation map. If for any $t \in T$ and any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\left(g^{t}\right)^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{F}$, then a family $\mathcal{F}$ is called translation invariant. Write $\tau \mathcal{F}=\left\{F \mid\left(g^{t_{1}}\right)^{-1}(F) \cap \cdots \cap\left(g^{t_{k}}\right)^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{F}\right.$, for any finite subset $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdot \cdots\right.$ $\left.\cdot, t_{k}\right\}$ of $\left.T\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a family of infinite subset of $T$, if $k \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{F}=\{A \cap F \mid A \in k \mathcal{B}, F \in$ $\mathcal{F}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, then a proper family $\mathcal{F}$ is called full.
- Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a family, $x \in X$. Write $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x)=\bigcap_{F \in k \mathcal{F}} \overline{x F}$, then $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ is called a $\mathcal{F}$ limit set of $x ; y \in \omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$, i.e., for any neighborhood $U$ of $y, D(x, U)=\{t \mid x t \in$ $U\} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $y$ is called a $\mathcal{F}$ limit point of $x$. Recall that the continuous action $\pi$ on $X$ induces a continuous action $\pi_{*}$ of $T$ on $C^{u}(X, X)$ by $\left(\pi_{t}\right)_{*}(h)=\pi_{t} \circ h$. We call $(X, T) \mathcal{F}$ uniformly rigid, if $i d \in \omega_{\mathcal{F}}(i d)$,i.e., for any $\varepsilon>0,\left\{t \mid d\left(\pi_{t}, i d\right)<\varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (where $\left.d\left(\pi_{t}, i d\right)=\sup \left\{d\left(\pi_{t}(x), x\right) \mid x \in X\right\}\right)$.
- Let $C=\left\{U_{1}, \cdots, U_{k}\right\}$ be an open cover of $X$. If $S$ is a infinite subset of $T$, denote the set of all finite subsets of $S$ by $F(S)$. For $A \in F(S)$, denote $C_{0}^{A}=\bigvee_{t \in A}\left(\pi_{t}\right)^{-1} C$. Let $r_{S}(T, C, A)$ denote the number of sets in a finite subcover of $C_{0}^{A}$ with smallest cardinality. We get a map $r_{S}(T, C, \cdot): F(S) \rightarrow Z^{+}, A \mapsto r_{S}(T, C, A) . r_{S}(T, C, \cdot)$ is said to be the topological complexity function of the cover $C$ along $S$. Put $E=\{1, \cdots, k\}$. One defines a map $\omega: T \rightarrow E, t \mapsto \omega(t)$. If $x \in \bigcap_{t \in S} \pi_{t}^{-1} U_{\omega(t)}$, then $\omega$ is called a $C_{S}$-name of $x$. Denote $J^{*}(\omega)=\bigcap_{t \in T} \pi_{t}^{-1} U_{\omega(t)}, J_{S}^{*}(\omega)=\bigcap_{t \in S} \pi_{t}^{-1} U_{\omega(t)}$. If $\bigcup_{i \in I} J_{S}^{*}\left(\omega_{i}\right)=X$, then we say that the set of $C_{S}$-names $\omega_{i}$ covers $X$. Let $M(T, E)$ be the set of maps from $T$ to $E$ and $M(S, E)$ be the set of maps from $S$ to $E$.
- For any open set $U, V$ of $X$, if $D(U, V)=\left\{t \in T \mid U \cap \pi_{t}^{-1} V \neq \emptyset\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $(X, T)$ is called $\mathcal{F}$ transitive. If $(X \times X, T)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ transitive, then $(X, T)$ is called $\mathcal{F}$ mixing; If for any $S \in \mathcal{F}$, and any finite cover $C$ of $X$ by non-dense open sets, we have $r_{S}(T, C, \cdot)$ is unbounded, then $(X, T)$ is called $\mathcal{F}$ scattering.


## $2 \mathcal{F}$ Uniformly Rigid, $\mathcal{F}$ Mixing and $\mathcal{F}$ Scattering

Lemma 2.1 Suppose $T$ is countable, a finite cover $C=\left(U_{1}, \cdots, U_{k}\right)$ has complexity bounded by $m$ if and only if there exist $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{m} \in M(T, E)$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} J^{*}\left(\omega_{i}\right)=X$.

Proof. Since $T$ is countable, suppose $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{n}, \cdots\right\}$. Take $A_{n}=\left\{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right\}$, then $r_{T}\left(T, C, A_{n}\right) \leq m$.

Denote by $H(n)$ the set of $m$-tuples $\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right)$ of elements of $M(T, E)$ such that $\left(J_{A_{n}}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right), \cdots, J_{A_{n}}^{*}\left(v_{m}\right)\right)$ covers $X$, the set $H(n)$ is non-empty and a closed subset of $M(T, E)^{m}$. If $\left(J_{A_{n}}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right), \cdots, J_{A_{n}}^{*}\left(v_{m}\right)\right)$ covers $X$, then $\left(J_{A_{n-1}}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right), \cdots, J_{A_{n-1}}^{*}\left(v_{m}\right)\right)$ covers $X$ too, hence $H(n) \subseteq H(n-1)$, the intersection $H=\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} H(n)$ is non-empty, so there is $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{m}\right) \in H$. Obviously $\cup_{i=1}^{m} J^{*}\left(\omega_{i}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \cup_{i=1}^{m} J_{A_{n}}^{*}\left(\omega_{i}\right)=X$.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose $T$ is a topological group satisfying the second axiom of countability. Then $(X, T)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ uniformly rigid if and only if there is a set $S \in \mathcal{F}$ containing a unit element, for any finite cover $C$ of $X, r_{S}(T, C, \cdot)$ is bounded and $C_{S}$-names $\omega_{i}$ covering $X$ are $k$ instant.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$. Since $(X, T)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ uniformly rigid, id $\in \omega_{\mathcal{F}}(i d)$. Let $\varepsilon$ be a Lebesgue number of $C$, then $S=\left\{t \in T \left\lvert\, \sup _{x \in X} d\left(\pi_{t}(x), x\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right.\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m} \in X$ be such that the open balls $\left\{\left.B\left(x_{i}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \right\rvert\, i=1,2, \cdots, m\right\}$ cover $X$. For any $t \in S$, we have $B\left(x_{i}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) t \subset$ $B\left(x_{i}, \varepsilon\right)$, and for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is $U_{l(i)} \in C$ such that $B\left(x_{i}, \varepsilon\right) \subset U_{l(i)}$. Then for any finite set $A$ of $S$, we have $B\left(x_{i}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \subset \bigcap_{t \in A} \pi_{t}^{-1} U_{l(i)}$, suppose the number of $U_{l(i)}$ is $k$. Since $\left\{\bigcap_{t \in A} \pi_{t}^{-1}\left(U_{l(i)}\right) \mid i=1, \cdots, m\right\}$ is a finite cover of $\bigvee_{t \in A} \pi_{t}^{-1}(C)$, then $r_{S}(T, C, A) \leq$ $k$. By Lemma 2.1, for a countable dense set $D$ of $S$, we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcap_{t \in D} \pi_{t}^{-1}\left(U_{l(i)}\right)=X$. By the denseness of $D, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcap_{t \in S} \pi_{t}^{-1}\left(U_{l(i)}\right)=X$.
$\Leftarrow$. If $(X, T)$ is not $\mathcal{F}$ uniformly rigid, then there is $\varepsilon>0$, such that $\left\{t \mid d\left(\pi_{t}, i d\right)<\right.$ $\varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{F}$, then $S^{\prime}=\left\{t \mid d\left(\pi_{t}, i d\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in k \mathcal{F}$. Let $C=\left\{U_{1}, \cdots, U_{m}\right\}$ be a finite cover by open balls with radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. If there is $S \in \mathcal{F}$, for any finite set $A$ of $S$, we have $r_{S}(T, C, A) \leq k$ and $C_{S}$-names $\omega_{i}$ covering $X$ are instant. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists a closed cover $\left\{X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k}\right\}$ of $X$, where $X_{i}=\bigcap_{t \in S} \pi_{t}^{-1}\left(\overline{U_{i^{\prime}}}\right)$. Because of $S \cap S^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, take $t \in S \cap S^{\prime}$, then $d\left(\pi_{t}, i d\right) \geq \varepsilon$, that is there is $x_{t} \in X$ such that $d\left(x_{t} t, x_{t}\right) \geq \varepsilon$. Let $x_{t} \in X_{i}$, then $x_{t} \in \overline{U_{i^{\prime}}}$ and for any $s \in S$ we have $x_{t} s \in \overline{U_{i^{\prime}}}$ that is $d\left(x_{t} s, x_{t}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, which contradicts the assumption $d\left(x_{t} t, x_{t}\right) \geq \varepsilon$.

Theorem $2.2(X, T)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ equicontinuous if and only if there is $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and for any finite open cover $C, r_{F}(T, C, \cdot)$ is bounded.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [4.
Remark 2.1 In the case $T=Z_{+}, \mathcal{F}$ is the family of infinite subsets. If $X$ is represented as the unit circle in $C$, then $\tilde{\theta}^{1}$ is given by $\tilde{\theta}^{1}(Z):=\alpha z(z \in C,|z|=1)$ with $\alpha:=\exp (2 \pi i \theta)$, let $\theta$ be irrational, then $\left(X, Z_{+}, \tilde{\theta}^{1}\right)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ equicontinuous.

In the following we discuss the existence of $\mathcal{F}$ equicontinuous point, and the connection between $\mathcal{F}$ mixing and $\mathcal{F}$ scattering.

Lemma 2.2 Assume $\mathcal{F}$ is a translation invariant proper family, $(X, T)$ is not $k \mathcal{F}$ mixing if and only if there is a non-empty open set $U, V$ of $X$ and $S \in \mathcal{F}$, such that for any $t \in S$ either $\pi_{t}^{-1} U \cap U=\emptyset$ or $\pi_{t}^{-1} V \cap U=\emptyset$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [2].
Theorem 2.3 Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a translation invariant proper family, if there is $F \in \mathcal{F}$, such that there is a $F$ equicontinuous point $x$, then $(X, T)$ is not $k \mathcal{F}$ mixing.

Proof. Take $y \in X$ and $y \neq x$, let $\varepsilon<d(y, x)$. Since $x$ is a $F$ equicontinuous point, there is $\delta, 0<\delta<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, if $d(x, z)<\delta$, we have $d(x t, z t)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}(\forall t \in F)$. Let $U=B(y, \delta), V=$ $B(x, \delta)$, if there is $t \in F$ such that $\pi_{t}^{-1} U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then $\pi_{t} V \cap U \neq \emptyset$, thus $\pi_{t} V \cap V=\emptyset$, that is $\pi_{t}^{-1} V \cap V=\emptyset$. By Lemma 2.2, $(X, T)$ is not $k \mathcal{F}$ mixing.

Lemma 2.3 If the family $\mathcal{F}$ is full, then $(X, T)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ mixing if and only if $(X, T)$ is $\tau \mathcal{F}$ transitive.

Proof. The proof can be found in (4).
Theorem 2.4 Assume that $T$ is commutative, $\mathcal{F}$ is full, and $(X, T)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ mixing, then $(X, T)$ is $k \tau \mathcal{F}$ scattering.

Proof. For any non-trivial closed cover $\alpha=\left(W_{1}, \cdots, W_{n}\right)$ of $X$. Let $U_{1}, U_{2}, V_{1}, V_{2}$ be non-empty open sets of $X$, since $(X, T)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ mixing,

$$
F=D\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \cap D\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Take $t \in F$, let $U=U_{1} \cap \pi_{t}^{-1} U_{2}, V=V_{1} \cap \pi_{t}^{-1} V_{2}$. By Lemma 2.3, $(X, T)$ is $\tau \mathcal{F}$ transitive, then $D(U, V) \in \tau \mathcal{F}$. Because of $D(U, V) \subset D\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \cap D\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$, and $\tau \mathcal{F}$ is a family, then $D\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \cap D\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \tau \mathcal{F}$.

Now we take $U, V$ such that $U, V$ do not simultaneously belong to any element of $\alpha$.
Let $S_{1}=D(U, U) \cap D(U, V) \in \tau \mathcal{F}$, for any $S \in k \tau \mathcal{F}$ there are $t_{1} \in S_{1} \cap S$ and $x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime} \in U$ such that

$$
x_{1} t_{1} \in U, x_{1}^{\prime} t_{1} \in V .
$$

So one takes $A_{1}=\left\{t_{1}\right\}$, then $r_{S}\left(T, \alpha, A_{1}\right) \geq 2$. By the continuity of $\pi$, there exists a neighbourhood $U_{1} \subset U$ of $x_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $U_{1} t_{1} \subset V$. Let $S_{2}=D\left(U_{1}, U\right) \cap D\left(U_{1}, V\right) \in \tau \mathcal{F}$, then there are $t_{2} \in S_{2} \cap S$ and $x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime} \in U_{1}$ such that

$$
x_{2} t_{1} \in V, x_{2}^{\prime} t_{1} \in V, x_{2} t_{2} \in V, x_{2}^{\prime} t_{2} \in U
$$

Obviously $t_{1} \neq t_{2}$. so we take $A_{2}=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ then $r_{S}\left(T, \alpha, A_{2}\right) \geq 3$. By the continuity of $\pi$, there exists a neighbourhood $U_{2} \subset U_{1}$ of $x_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $U_{2} t_{1} \subset U_{1}$. Let $S_{3}=$ $D\left(U_{2}, U\right) \cap D\left(U_{2}, V\right) \in \tau \mathcal{F}$, then there are $t_{3} \in S_{3} \cap S$ and $x_{3}, x_{3}^{\prime} \in U_{2}$ such that

$$
x_{3} t_{1} \in V, x_{3}^{\prime} t_{1} \in V, x_{3} t_{2} \in V, x_{3}^{\prime} t_{2} \in U x_{3} t_{3} \in U, x_{3}^{\prime} t_{3} \in V
$$

so one takes $A_{3}=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ then $r_{S}\left(T, \alpha, A_{3}\right) \geq 4$.
Using similar arguments repeatedly, we can get an infinite sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}, \cdots\right\} \text { and }\left\{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}, \cdots\right\} \text { satisfy } \\
x_{n} \in U, i=1,2, \cdots \\
x_{1} t_{1} \in U, x_{i} t_{1} \in V, i=2,3, \cdots \\
x_{2} t_{2} \in V, x_{i} t_{2} \in U, i=3,4, \cdots \\
x_{3} t_{3} \in U, x_{i} t_{3} \in V, i=4,5, \cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

For any $N \geq 1$, take $A_{N}=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{N}\right\}$ then $r_{S}\left(T, \alpha, A_{N}\right) \geq N+1$.

Example 2.1 In the case $T=Z_{+}, \mathcal{F}$ is the family of infinite subsets. Let $S$ be a finite set with at least two elements, say $S=\{0, \cdots, s-1\}$ with $s \in N, s \geq 2$. Consider $S$ as a finite discrete topological space and put $\Omega:=S^{Z_{+}}$. Endowed with the product topology. Define a mapping $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega,\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right)$. Clearly $\left(\Omega, Z_{+}, \sigma\right)$ is $\mathcal{F}$ mixing, then $\left(\Omega, Z_{+}, \sigma\right)$ is $k \tau \mathcal{F}$ scattering.

## 3 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we study the complexity of group actions. We characterize the $\mathcal{F}$ uniform rigidity and $\mathcal{F}$ equicontinuity using topological sequence complexity function, and we show that $\mathcal{F}$ mixing implies $k \tau \mathcal{F}$ scattering.
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This self-contained monograph is devoted to the problem of stability analysis for broad classes of systems of nonlinear differential equations. Along with the describing of classical results, the book presents recently developed novel approaches for stability investigation of motions of nonlinear systems in critical, in the Lyapunov sense, cases. The developments in this area are remarkable, from both the theoretical and the practical point of view.

In Chapter 1, the basic notions and principal results of the differential inequalities theory and the comparison method are presented. Several classical approaches for the decomposition and aggregation of complex systems are considered. A special attention is devoted to stability criteria of linear and nonlinear Wazewskii systems. Furthermore,

[^8]new conditions of ultimate boundedness of solutions for autonomous Wazewskii systems are proposed.

The absolute stability and absolute ultimate boundedness problems for nonlinear systems are studied in Chapter 2. The Persidskii-type systems and some of their generalizations are considered. Several approaches for the constructing of Lyapunov functions for such systems are proposed. By means of these functions, the conditions of absolute stability and absolute ultimate boundedness are found. Moreover, an emphasis is placed on the analysis of nonlinear switched systems. To provide the absolute stability or the absolute ultimate boundedness uniform with respect to a switching law for a system of such type, it is sufficient to construct a common Lyapunov function for the corresponding family of subsystems. In the monograph, new conditions in terms of linear inequalities of a special form are presented to guarantee the existence of common Lyapunov functions. The problem of the solvability for the obtained inequalities systems is investigated, and constructive algorithms for finding their solutions are proposed.

Chapter 3 is devoted to the problem of stability analysis of complex (large-scale, multiconnected) systems by nonlinear approximation. First, classical results by N.N. Krasovskii and V.I. Zubov on the stability by homogeneous and generalized homogeneous approximation are presented. Next, the case when a system of the first approximation is nonlinear and inhomogeneous is studied, and original stability conditions are obtained. After that, new forms of decomposition and aggregation of complex systems are proposed, and the effectiveness of their usage for the stability investigation of essentially nonlinear complex systems is demonstrated. Moreover, the approaches for finding the estimates of transient times for multiconnected systems in critical cases are developed. Finally, the problem of stability analysis of equilibrium positions of mechanical systems on the base of decomposition is studied.

The monograph contains a lot of examples of the applications of obtained results and proposed approaches in control problems, mechanics and population dynamics.

In summary, this book is valuable for all those who are interested in stability theory and its applications. It covers a broad spectrum of important topics. The book is well written and the presentation of the material is well organized. The book is issued only in Russian, however it will be also definitely interesting for the English-speaking specialists.

# Professor V. G. Miladzhanov (1953-2013). Obituary 



On May 13, 2013 the known scholar, doctor of physical and mathematical sciences, professor Miladzhanov Vakhobzhon Ganizhonovich died suddenly.

He was born on June 21, 1953 in Russkoe village of Markhamatsky district of Andizhan region into a peasant family. From 1970 to 1974, after finishing school, he studied at the Physical and Mathematical Department of Andizhan State Pedagogical Institute (ASPI). On graduating the Institute, he started to work as a teacher of mathematics at secondary school N3 of Markhamatsky district. From November, 1974 to November 31, 1975 he did his military service in the Soviet Army, and then he proceeded with his work at the same school N3. In April, 1977 he was invited to join the Chair of Algebra and Theory of Numbers of ASPI in the capacity of a technician and in February, 1981 he moved to the position of assistant.

In November, 1985 he entered a post-graduate course at the Stability of Processes Department of the Institute of Mechanics of AN UkrSSR. Under the tutelage of doctor of physical and mathematical sciences, professor A.A. Martynyuk, he prepared the Candidate thesis entitled "The application of matrix Lyapunov functions in stability investigation of systems with slow and quick motions" which was a result of his intensive persevering work. After defending the Candidate thesis he was conferred a Candidate of Science degree (PhD) in Physics and Mathematics, speciality 01.02.01 - Theoretical mechanics.

In December, 1988 he started as a senior lecturer at the Chair of Algebra and Computer Science of Andizhan State University and in September, 1990 he was appointed a senior research fellow of the Chair.
V.G. Miladzhanov managed to combine fruitfully teaching and guiding, academic and research activity. He spared neither time nor effort, throwing himself into work. Many long days, sleepless nights and months of hard work enabled him to carry out intensive research and to prepare in a short term his Doctor thesis "Stability analysis of nonlinear systems under structural perturbations". On December 21, 1993 he successfully defended the thesis at the Special Council of the Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine and
took the degree of Doctor of Physical and Mathematical Sciences in speciality 01.02.01 - Theoretical Mechanics.

In August, 1994 V.G. Miladzhanov was elected the Head of the Chair of Algebra and Theory of Numbers and starting from December, 1996 he fulfilled the duties of the Dean of the Mathematical Department. In February, 1998 he was elected the Head of the Chair of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of Andizhan State University.

From September 1, 2000 he became the Dean of the Physical and Mathematical Department and until the very last day of his life he headed the Chair of Mathematics of Andizhan State University.
V.G. Miladzhanov has made a major contribution to the preparation of young teachers for the Republic of Uzbekistan in the whole, and for Andizhan region in particular. During his professional life (for almost forty years) he brought up and trained more than eight thousand highly qualified young specialists working in the secondary and high education. Scientific results of Miladzhanov are associated with the development of the method of matrix Lyapunov functions for stability investigation of systems with quick and slow motions; stability analysis of large-scale systems under structural perturbations; stability analysis of large-scale discrete systems under structural perturbations; stability of impulsive system under structural perturbations. He proposed a method of constructing hierarchical matrix Lyapunov function for nonautonomous systems which is used in stability investigation of nonlinear mechanical systems.

Scientific achievements attained by Miladzhanov in the fundamental and applied problems of mechanics are widely known in many countries including, of course, Uzbekistan and Ukraine. Together with academician A.A. Martynyuk he worked over and has prepared a generalizing monograph which is intended to be published in English. Besides, he wrote and published more than 150 scientific and methodological papers.

Cherished memory of the well-known scientist and a remarkable man Miladzhanov Vakhobzhon Ganizhonovich will linger on in the memory of those who knew him and worked together with him.

# BOOKBOON.COM Ltd 

Stability Analysis via Matrix Functions Method. Part I and Part II

Bookboon.com Ltd, 2013, Part I, ISBN: 978-87-403-0446-6
1 edition Pages: 262 Price: Free, Part II, ISBN: 978-87-403-0447-3
1 edition Pages: 130, Price: Free

## A.A. Martynyuk
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The monograph presents a generalization of the well-known Lyapunov function method and related concepts to the matrix auxiliary functions case within the framework of systematic stability analysis of dynamical systems (differential equations). The book is organized in five chapters as follows.

In Chapter 1, the author starts with some explanations on Lyapunov's original definition of stability of systems. This culminates in comments, the well-accepted definitions and concepts of stability, and the concept of reference solution and equilibrium states. After brief outline of trends in Lyapunov's stability theory the author reports on the development of the fairly new view on the method of vector Lyapunov functions.

Chapter 2 is designed to give a survey on the matrix Lyapunov function method in general, using the calculus of Dini derivatives and a technique originated at the works of Yoshizawa. The concept of matrix Lyapunov functions and the theory of differential inequalities provide a very general comparison principle which is described in detail here.

Chapter 3 is devoted to stability analysis of singularly perturbed systems. In particular, the author treats oscillating systems of solid bodies and Lur'e-Postnikov systems (absolute stability in hydraulic servo systems).

In Chapter 4, the author continues with probabilistic stability analysis of differential equations with Markovian random perturbations (Ito-interpreted SDEs) in the spirit of Katz-Krasovskij.

Chapter 5 exhibits illustrations of the versatility, applicability and efficiency of matrix-valued Lyapunov functions in stability investigations with respect to equilibrium states. Here the author discusses asymptotic stability of population models (predator-prey), an orbital astronomical observatory, n-generator power system and the motion in space of winged aircrafts. Besides, each chapter is accompanied by numerous examples and notes on the locally related bibliography.

Thus it can be recommended to any specialist in nonlinear dynamical systems and differential equations, both in deterministic and stochastic analysis.
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