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#### Abstract

The main purpose of the present paper is to study the equation


$$
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+\alpha u+\beta x . \nabla u+|u|^{q-1} u=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $p>2, q>1, N \geqslant 1, \alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$. We investigate the structure of radial solutions and we present the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions near infinity. The study depends strongly on the sign of $N \beta-\alpha$ and the comparison between the three determining values $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{p}{q+1-p}$ and $\frac{N-p}{p-1}$. More precisely, we prove under some assumptions that there exists a positive solution $u$ which has the following behavior near infinity:

$$
u(r) \underset{+\infty}{\sim}\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}} r^{-\alpha / \beta} .
$$
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## 1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to study the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla U|^{p-2} \nabla U\right)+\alpha U+\beta x . \nabla U+|U|^{q-1} U=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>2, q>1, N \geqslant 1, \alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$. The equation (1) is derived from the self-similar solutions of the nonlinear parabolic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}-\Delta_{p} v-|v|^{q-1} v=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0,+\infty) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These particular solutions are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=t^{-\alpha} U\left(t^{-\beta}|x|\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{q-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\frac{q+1-p}{p(q-1)} .
$$

If $p=2, \alpha=0$ and $\beta=0$, the equation (1) is due to Emden-Fowler and plays an important role in astrophysics, this motivates many researchers to be interested in the study of this case, the examples include (but are not limited to) $3,7-10,12,17,18]$. In the case $p=2, \alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$, the equation (1] was studied in [6, 14 16 19, 20, 22 24]. In the case $p>2, \alpha=0$ and $\beta=0,(11$ was investigated in 2, 13] and 21]. When $p>2, \alpha>0$ and $\beta=1$, equation 1 was studied in 1 . When $p>2, \alpha=\frac{1}{q-1}$ and $\beta=\frac{q+1-p}{p(q-1)}$, equation (11) was studied in [11. In the case $p>2, \alpha<0$ and $\beta<0$, we have studied an equation similar to (1) but with the term $|U|^{q-1} U$ weakened by its multiplication by the function $|x|^{l}$ with $l<0$ that tends to 0 at infinity. This study was carried out in [4] and gave the existence and asymptotic behavior of unbounded solutions near infinity using nonlinear dynamical systems theory. In this paper, we consider the case where $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $l=0$. It is also a generalization of the study carried out in 11 . We will present a result that improves asymptotic behavior near infinity of positive solutions, we investigate the structure of solutions of problem $(P)$ in the cases $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \geq N$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$ and we give an important relation between the solutions of the problem $(P)$ and those of a nonlinear dynamical system obtained by using the logarithmic change.

If we put $U(x)=u(|x|)$, it is easy to see that $u$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{r}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}+\alpha u(r)+\beta r u^{\prime}(r)+|u|^{q-1} u(r)=0, \quad r>0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are interested in radial regular solutions, we impose the condition $u^{\prime}(0)=0$. Thus we consider the following Cauchy problem.

Problem ( $P$ : : Find a function $u$ defined on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ such that $\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime} \in$ $C^{1}([0,+\infty[)$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{r}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}+\alpha u(r)+\beta r u^{\prime}(r)+|u|^{q-1} u(r)=0, \quad r>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=A>0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>2, q>1, N \geqslant 1, \alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$.
By reducing the problem $(P)$ to a fixed point for a suitable integral operator (see for example [5]), we prove that for each $A>0$, the problem ( $P$ ) has a unique global solution $u(., A, \alpha, \beta)$.

The main results are the following.
Theorem 1.1 Problem ( $P$ ) has a unique solution $u(., A)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(0)=\frac{-A}{N}\left(\alpha+A^{q-1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2 Problem ( $P$ ) has no positive solutions in the following cases:
(i) $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \geq N$.
(ii) $\frac{N-p}{p-1} \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$.
(iii) $q \leqslant p-1$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$.
(iv) $q>p-1$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \neq \frac{p}{q+1-p}<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$.

Theorem 1.3 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$. Then the solution $u(., A)$ of problem $(P)$ is strictly positive in the following cases:
(i) $0<A<(\beta N-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$.
(ii) $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{p}{q+1-p}<\min \left(\frac{N-p}{p}, \frac{p}{2}\right)$.

Theorem 1.4 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{p}{q+1-p}<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$. Let u be a strictly positive solution of problem $(P)$. Then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=\Gamma>0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} u^{\prime}(r)=\frac{-\alpha}{\beta} \Gamma
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}}
$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we present basic tools for the study of the problem $(P)$. The third section concerns asymptotic behavior near infinity of solutions of problem $(P)$; more precisely, we give explicit equivalents of solutions and their derivatives near infinity. The fourth section concerns the structure of solutions of problem $(P)$. The last section, in the form of a conclusion, presents the asymptotic behavior of the solution of a nonlinear dynamical system around its equilibrium point and explains its relation with the asymptotic behavior of the solution of the problem $(P)$.

## 2 Preliminaries and Basic Tools

In this section, we give existence of global solutions of problem $(P)$ and we present the necessary basic tools that will be useful to us in the rest of the work.

Theorem 2.1 Problem ( $P$ ) has a unique solution $u(., A)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(0)=\frac{-A}{N}\left(\alpha+A^{q-1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof of theorem is divided into three steps.
Step 1: Existence and uniqueness of a local solution.
Multiply equation (5) by $r^{N-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)+\beta r^{N} u(r)\right)^{\prime}=(\beta N-\alpha) r^{N-1} u(r)-r^{N-1}|u|^{q-1} u(r) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (9) twice from 0 to $r$ and taking into account (6), we see that problem $(P)$ is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=A-\int_{0}^{r} G(F[u](s)) d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s)=|s|^{(2-p) /(p-1)} s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the nonlinear mapping $F$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[u](s)=\beta s u(s)+s^{1-N} \int_{0}^{s} \sigma^{N-1} u(\sigma)\left((\alpha-\beta N)+|u(\sigma)|^{q-1}\right) d \sigma \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider for $A>M>0$, the complete metric space

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{A}=\left\{\varphi \in C([0, R]) \text { such that }\|\varphi-A\|_{0} \leqslant M\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we define the mapping $\Psi$ on $E_{A}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi[\varphi](r)=A-\int_{0}^{r} G(F[\varphi](s)) d s \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 1: $\Psi$ maps $E_{A}$ into itself for some small $M$ and $R>0$.
Obviously, $\Psi[\varphi] \in C([0, R])$. From the definition of the space $E_{A}, \varphi(r) \in[A-M, A+M]$, for any $r \in[0, R]$. It is easy to prove that $F[\varphi]$ has a constant sign in $[0, R]$ for every $\varphi \in E_{A}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[\varphi](s) \geq K s \quad \text { for all } s \in[0, R] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\frac{A}{2 N}\left(\alpha+A^{q-1}\right)$.
Taking into account that the function $r \rightarrow \frac{G(r)}{r}$ is decreasing on $(0,+\infty)$, we have

$$
|\Psi[\varphi](r)-A| \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{G(F[\varphi](s))}{F[\varphi](s)}|F[\varphi](s)| d s \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{G(K s)}{K s}|F[\varphi](s)| d s
$$

for $r \in[0, R]$. On the other hand,

$$
|F[\varphi](s)| \leq C s, \text { where } \quad C=\left[\beta+\left|\frac{\alpha}{N}-\beta\right|+(A+M)^{q-1}\right](A+M)
$$

We thus get

$$
|\Psi[\varphi](r)-A| \leq \frac{p-1}{p} C K^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
$$

for every $r \in[0, R]$. Choose $R$ small enough such that

$$
|\Psi[\varphi](r)-A| \leq M, \quad \varphi \in E_{A}
$$

And thereby $\Psi[\varphi] \in E_{A}$. The first claim is thus proved.
Claim 2: $\Psi$ is a contraction in some interval $\left[0, r_{A}\right]$.
According to Claim 1, if $r_{A}$ is small enough, the space $E_{A}$ applies into itself. For any $\varphi, \psi \in E_{A}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi[\varphi](r)-\Psi[\psi](r)| \leq \int_{0}^{r}|G(F[\varphi](s))-G(F[\psi](s))| d s \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F[\varphi]$ is given by 12 . Next, let

$$
\Phi(s)=\min (F[\varphi](s), F[\psi](s))
$$

As a consequence of estimate (15), we have

$$
\Phi(s) \geq K s \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq s \leq r<r_{A}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{align*}
|G(F[\varphi](s))-G(F[\psi](s))| & \leq \frac{G(\Phi(s))}{\Phi(s)}|F[\varphi](s)-F[\psi](s)|  \tag{17}\\
& \leq \frac{G(K s)}{K s}|F[\varphi](s)-F[\psi](s)|
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F[\varphi](s)-F[\psi](s)| \leq C^{\prime}| | \varphi-\psi \|_{0} s \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{\prime}=\left[\beta+\left|\frac{\alpha}{N}-\beta\right|+(A+M)^{q-1}\right](A+M)$. Combining 16, , 17 and 18 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi[\varphi](s)-\Psi[\psi](s)| \leq \frac{p-1}{p} C^{\prime} K^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|\varphi-\psi\|_{0} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in\left[0, r_{A}\right]$. When choosing $r_{A}$ small enough, $\Psi$ is a contraction. This proves the second claim.

The Banach Fixed Point Theorem then implies the existence of a unique fixed point of $\Psi$ in $E_{A}$, which is a solution of 10 and consequently, of problem $(P)$. As usual, this solution can be extended to a maximal interval $\left[0, r_{\max }\left[, 0<r_{\max } \leq+\infty\right.\right.$.

Step 2: Existence of a global solution.
Define the energy function

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(r)=\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\frac{\alpha}{2} u^{2}(r)+\frac{1}{q+1}|u|^{q+1} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by equation (5), the energy function satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(r)=-\left(\frac{N-1}{r}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\beta r u^{\prime 2}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $E$ is decreasing, hence it is bounded. Consequently, $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ are also bounded and the local solution constructed above can be extented to $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
Step 3: $\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(0)=\frac{-A}{N}\left(\alpha+A^{q-1}\right)$.
Integrating (9) between 0 and $r$, we get

$$
\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}}{r}=-\beta u(r)+(\beta N-\alpha) r^{-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} u(s) d s-r^{-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1}|u|^{q-1} u(s) d s
$$

Hence, using L'Hospital's rule and letting $r \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the desired result. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Proposition 2.1 Let $u$ be a solution of problem $(P)$ and let $S_{u}:=\{r>0, u(r)>0\}$. Then $u^{\prime}(r)<0$ for any $r \in S_{u}$.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Let $r_{0}>0$ be the first zero of $u^{\prime}$. Since by (8) $u^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \sim 0$, we have by continuity and the definition of $r_{0}$, there exists a left neighborhood $] r_{0}-\varepsilon, r_{0}\left[\right.$ (for some $\varepsilon>0$ ), where $u^{\prime}$ is strictly increasing and strictly negative, that is, $\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)>0$ for any $\left.r \in\right] r_{0}-\varepsilon, r_{0}\left[\right.$, hence, by letting $r \rightarrow r_{0}$, we get $\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \geq 0$. But by equation (5), we have $\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=-\alpha u\left(r_{0}\right)-$ $|u|^{q-1} u\left(r_{0}\right)<0$ since $u\left(r_{0}\right)>0, u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$ and $\alpha>0$. This is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Proposition 2.2 Assume $N>1$. Let $u$ be a solution of problem $(P)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $E^{\prime}(r) \leqslant 0$ and $E(r) \geqslant 0$ for all $r>0$, there exists a constant $l \geqslant 0$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} E(r)=l$. Suppose $l>0$. Then there exists $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(r) \geqslant \frac{l}{2} \quad \text { for } r \geqslant r_{1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the function

$$
D(r)=E(r)+\frac{N-1}{2 r}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r) u(r)+\frac{\beta(N-1)}{4} u^{2}(r)+\beta \int_{0}^{r} s u^{\prime 2}(s) d s
$$

Then

$$
D^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{N-1}{2 r}\left[\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p}+\frac{N}{r}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime} u(r)+|u(r)|^{q+1}+\alpha u^{2}(r)\right]
$$

Recall that $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ are bounded (because $E$ is bounded), then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime} u(r)}{r}=0
$$

Moreover, by (20) and 23), we have for $r \geqslant r_{1}$,

$$
\alpha u^{2}(r)+\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p}+|u(r)|^{q+1} \geqslant \frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{q+1}|u(r)|^{q+1}+\frac{\alpha}{2} u^{2}(r)=E(r) \geqslant \frac{l}{2} .
$$

Consequently, there exist two constants $c>0$ and $r_{2} \geqslant r_{1}$ such that

$$
D^{\prime}(r) \leqslant-\frac{c}{r} \quad \text { for } r \geqslant r_{2}
$$

Integrating the last inequality between $r_{2}$ and $r$, we get

$$
D(r) \leqslant D\left(r_{2}\right)-c \ln \left(\frac{r}{r_{2}}\right) \quad \text { for } r \geqslant r_{2}
$$

In particular, we obtain $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} D(r)=-\infty$. Since

$$
E(r)+\frac{N-1}{2 r}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r) u(r) \leqslant D(r)
$$

we get $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} E(r)=-\infty$. This is impossible, hence the conclusion.
Proposition 2.3 Let $u$ be a strictly positive solution of problem $(P)$, then $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ have the same behavior (22).

Proof. If $N>1$, then by Proposition $2.2, \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r)=0$. If $N=1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(r)=\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)+\beta r u(r) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by equation (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime}(r)=(\beta-\alpha) u-|u|^{q-1} u(r) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ is strictly positive, it is strictly decreasing by Proposition 2.1. Therefore $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r) \in[0,+\infty[$. Since the energy function $E$ given by 20 converges (because it is positive and decreasing), $u^{\prime}$ also necessarily converges and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r)=0$. Suppose by contradiction that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=L>0$. Therefore $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} J(r)=+\infty$.
Using L'Hospital's rule, we have

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} J^{\prime}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{J(r)}{r}
$$

That is,

$$
(\beta-\alpha) L-L^{q}=\beta L
$$

Therefore $-\alpha L-L^{q}=0$. But this contradicts the fact that $L>0$ and $\alpha>0$. Hence $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$.

Proposition 2.4 Let $0<c \neq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. Let u be a strictly positive solution of problem (P). Then the function $r^{c} u(r)$ is strictly monotone for large $r$.

Proof. For any $c>0$, we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{c}(r)=c u(r)+r u^{\prime}(r), \quad r>0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{c} u(r)\right)^{\prime}=r^{c-1} g_{c}(r), \quad r>0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The monotonicity of the function $r^{c} u(r)$ can be obtained by the sign of the function $g_{c}(r)$. Using (5), we have for any $r>0$ such that $u^{\prime}(r) \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(p-1)\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} g_{c}^{\prime}(r) & =(p-1)\left(c-\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)  \tag{28}\\
& -\beta r^{2} u^{\prime}(r)-\alpha r u(r)-r|u|^{q-1} u(r) .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, if $g_{c}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$ for some $r_{0}>0$, we obtain by 26) and 28,

$$
\begin{align*}
(p-1)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\left(r_{0}\right) g_{c}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) & =r_{0} u\left(r_{0}\right)\left[(\beta c-\alpha)-\left|u\left(r_{0}\right)\right|^{q-1}\right. \\
& \left.+(p-1) c^{p-1}\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}-c\right) \frac{\left|u\left(r_{0}\right)\right|^{p-2}}{r_{0}^{p}}\right] \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that there exists a large $r_{0}$ such that $g_{c}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$. Since $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$ and according to 29, we have for $c>\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ (respectively, $c<\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ ), $g_{c}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)>0$ (respectively, $\left.g_{c}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)<0\right)$ and thereby $g_{c}(r) \neq 0$ for large r if $c \neq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. Consequently, the function $r^{c} u(r)$ is strictly monotone for large $r$ if $c \neq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.

Proposition 2.5 Let $u$ be a strictly positive solution of problem $(P)$. Then for any $0<c<\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, we have $g_{c}(r)<0$ for large $r$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{c} u(r)=0$.

Proof. We know by Proposition 2.4 that if $0<c<\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, g_{c}(r) \neq 0$ for large $r$. Suppose that $g_{c}(r)>0$ for large $r$. Then, by (26) and the fact that $u^{\prime}(r)<0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|<\frac{c u(r)}{r} \quad \text { for large } r . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives by equation (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)<u(r)\left[(\beta c-\alpha)+(N-1) c^{p-1} \frac{u^{p-2}(r)}{r^{p}}\right] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $0<c<\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, u(r)>0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$, then $\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)<0$ for large $r$. Combining with $u^{\prime}<0$, we get $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r) \in[-\infty, 0[$, which is impossible. Hence, $g_{c}(r)<0$ for large $r$ and by 27), $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{c} u(r) \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. Suppose that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{c} u(r)=$ $L>0$. Then $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{c+\varepsilon} u(r)=+\infty$ for $0<c+\varepsilon<\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, but this contradicts the fact that $g_{c+\varepsilon}(r)<0$ for large $r$. Consequently, $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{c} u(r)=0$.

Proposition 2.6 Let $u$ be a strictly positive solution of problem $(P)$. Then for any $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<c \leqslant N$, we have $g_{c}(r)>0$ for large $r$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{c} u(r)=+\infty$.

Proof. Let $\frac{\alpha}{c}<k<\beta$. We introduce the following energy function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(r)=r^{c-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}+k r^{c} u(r) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(r)= & (c-N) r^{c-2}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}+(k-\beta) r^{c} u^{\prime}(r)+ \\
& (k c-\alpha) r^{c-1} u(r)-r^{c-1}|u|^{q-1} u(r) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

As $u^{\prime}<0, c \leqslant N$ and $k<\beta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(r)>r^{c-1} u\left[k c-\alpha-|u|^{q-1}\right] . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $k c-\alpha>0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$, then $\phi^{\prime}(r)>0$ for large $r$, therefore $\phi(r) \neq 0$ for large $r$. Suppose that $\phi(r)<0$ for large $r$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}<-k r u(r) \text { for large } r \text {. } \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime} u^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}<-k^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text { for large } r \text {. } \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this last inequality on $(R, r)$ for large $R$, we obtain

$$
u^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}(r)<u^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}(R)-\frac{p-2}{p} k^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\frac{p-2}{p} k^{\frac{1}{p-1}} R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} .
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=-\infty$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $\phi(r)>0$ for large $r$. Since $\phi$ is strictly increasing for large $r$, we have $\left.\left.\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \phi(r) \in\right] 0,+\infty\right]$, so there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that $\phi(r)>C_{1}$ for large $r$. This gives by (32) and the fact that $u^{\prime}(r)<0$,

$$
r^{c} u(r)>\frac{C_{1}}{k} \quad \text { for large } r
$$

On the other hand, using $\sqrt[34]{ }$ and the fact that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \phi^{\prime}(r)>\frac{k c-\alpha}{2} r^{c} u(r) \quad \text { for large } r \text {. } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \phi^{\prime}(r)>C \quad \text { for large } r, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\frac{C_{1}(k c-\alpha)}{2 k}>0$. Integrating this last inequality on $(R, r)$ for large $R$, we obtain $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \phi(r)=+\infty$. Consequently, by (32) and the fact that $u^{\prime}(r)<0$, we have $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{c} u(r)=+\infty$. Moreover, since $g_{c}(r) \neq 0$ for large $r$, using $\sqrt{27}$, we have necessarily $g_{c}(r)>0$ for large $r$.

Proposition 2.7 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$. Let $u$ be a strictly positive solution of problem $(P)$. Then the function $r^{\alpha / \beta} u(r)$ is not strictly monotone for large $r$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $r^{\alpha / \beta} u(r)$ is strictly monotone for large $r$. Then by 27 ), $g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r) \neq 0$ for large $r$. We distinguish two cases.
Case 1: $g_{\frac{\alpha}{B}}(r)<0$ for large $r$.
We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=u(r)-r^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-1} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by equation (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(r)=r^{p-1} u\left[-\alpha-u^{q-1}\right]+r^{p} u^{\prime}\left[-\beta+r^{-p}+(p-N) r^{-2}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\right] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Proposition 2.6, we have $g_{N}(r)>0$ for large $r$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|<N u(r) \quad \text { for large } r \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r u^{\prime}(r)=0$ and therefore

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} V(r)=0
$$

Using again inequality 41, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)>u(r)\left(1-N^{p-1} u^{p-2}(r)\right) \quad \text { for large } r . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$, one has $V(r)>0$ for large $r$.
On the other hand, since $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0, \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r)=0$ and $g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r)<0$ for large $r$, one has by 40,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(r) \underset{+\infty}{\sim}-\alpha r^{p-1} u(r)-\beta r^{p} u^{\prime}(r)=-\beta r^{p-1} g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r)>0 \quad \text { for large } r . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this contradicts the fact that $V(r)>0$ for large $r$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} V(r)=0$.
Case 2: $g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r)>0$ for large $r$.
Using equation (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)=-r u^{\prime}(r)\left[\beta+\frac{N-1}{r^{2}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\right]-u(r)\left[\alpha+|u|^{q-1}\right] \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0, \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r)=0$ and $g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r)>0$ for large $r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r) \underset{+\infty}{\sim}-\beta r u^{\prime}(r)-\alpha u(r)=-\beta g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r)<0 \quad \text { for large } r \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this contradicts the fact that $u^{\prime}(r)<0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r)=0$.

## 3 Asymptotic Behavior Near Infinity

In this section, we give explicit equivalents of the strictly positive solutions of the problem $(P)$ and their derivatives near infinity.

Theorem 3.1 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{p}{q+1-p}<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$. Let $u$ be a strictly positive solution of problem $(P)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=\Gamma>0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} u^{\prime}(r)=\frac{-\alpha}{\beta} \Gamma \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(r)=r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)\left[\beta+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)}{r u}\right] . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(r)=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-N\right) r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-2}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)-r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} u^{q}(r) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof will be done in four steps.
Step 1: $h(r) \underset{+\infty}{\sim} \beta r^{\alpha / \beta} u(r)$.
We know by Proposition 2.6 that $g_{N}(r)>0$ for large $r$, then using 41, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}}{r u(r)}<N^{p-1} \frac{u^{p-2}(r)}{r^{p}} \quad \text { for large } r . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $p>2$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$, we get $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}}{r u(r)}=0$. Consequently, by 49, we get $h(r) \underset{+\infty}{\sim} \beta r^{\alpha / \beta} u(r)$.
Step 2: $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)$ exists and is finite. By Proposition 2.5. we have for any $\sigma>0$, $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\sigma} u(r)=0$. In particular, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sigma<\min \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{(q-1)}{q}, \frac{1}{p-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-2)+p\right)\right)<\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r) \leqslant M r^{\sigma-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \quad \text { for large } r \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have also by 41,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}<\frac{N^{p-1} u^{p-1}(r)}{r^{p-1}} \quad \text { for large } r . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (53) and (54), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} u^{q}(r)<M^{q} r^{q\left(\sigma-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \quad \text { for large } r \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-2}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}<(M N)^{p-1} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(2-p)+\sigma(p-1)-p-1} \quad \text { for large } r . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

By 52, 55 and (56, we get the function $r \rightarrow r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} u^{q}(r)$ and the function $r \rightarrow$ $r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-2}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}$ belong to $L^{1}\left(r_{0},+\infty\right)$ for any $r_{0}>0$; therefore $h^{\prime}(r) \in L^{1}\left(r_{0},+\infty\right)$ for any $r_{0}>0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} h(r)=h\left(r_{0}\right)+\int_{r_{0}}^{+\infty} h^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and is finite. Then by Step $1, \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)$ exists and is finite. Let $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=$ $\Gamma \geq 0$.
Step 3: $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=\Gamma>0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} u^{\prime}(r)=\frac{-\alpha}{\beta} \Gamma<0$.
We argue by contradiction and assume that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=0$. Then by the first step, $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} h(r)=0$. Therefore, using L'Hospital's rule, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h^{\prime}(r)}{\left(r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)\right)^{\prime}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h(r)}{r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)}=\beta . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(r)=r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-2}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}\left(N-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\frac{r u^{q}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-1}}\right) . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<c<\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, then by Proposition 2.5 we have $g_{c}(r)<0$ for large $r$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lvert\, u^{\prime}\left(r \left\lvert\,>\frac{c u(r)}{r} \quad\right. \text { for large } r\right. \text {. }\right. \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{r u^{q}(r)}{\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}}<c^{1-p} r^{p} u^{q+1-p}(r) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{p}{q+1-p}$, then $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{p} u^{q+1-p}(r)=0$, therefore by 61, $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{r u^{q}}{\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}}=$ 0 . Using the fact that $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$ and $\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|>0$, we obtain by 59, $h^{\prime}(r)>0$ for large $r$. Therefore by 58, we have $\left(r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)\right)^{\prime}>0$ for large $r$, but this contradicts Proposition 2.7. Consequently, $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=\Gamma>0$. Hence, using L'Hospital's rule (because $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$ ), we get

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} u^{\prime}(r)=\frac{-\alpha}{\beta} \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=\frac{-\alpha}{\beta} \Gamma<0 .
$$

Step 4: $\Gamma=\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}}$.
By (28), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\beta r g_{\alpha / \beta}(r)=\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r) & {[ }
\end{align*}\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)+.
$$

Since $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r u^{\prime}(r)=0$ (by Step 3), one has $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r)=0$. Therefore, using again Step 3 and L'Hospital's rule, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\prime}(r)}{u^{\prime}(r)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r)}{u(r)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+\frac{r u^{\prime}(r)}{u(r)}\right)=0 . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{p}{q+1-p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{r u^{q}(r)}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{-\Gamma^{q+1-p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{p-1}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose by contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)-\frac{\Gamma^{q+1-p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{p-1}} \neq 0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, according to $(62),(63)$ and $(64)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta r g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r) \underset{+\infty}{\sim}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\left[N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)-\frac{\Gamma^{q+1-p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{p-1}}\right] \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives $g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(r) \neq 0$ for large $r$, that is, $r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)$ is strictly monotone for large $r$, but this contradicts Proposition 2.7. Consequently,

$$
\Gamma=\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}}
$$

The proof of this theorem is complete.
The following Figures 1 and 2 describe the strictly positive solution and its comparison with the function $r^{-\alpha / \beta}$.


Figure 1: Strictly positive solution $u$.


Figure 2: Comparison of solution $u$ with $r^{-\alpha / \beta}$.

## 4 Structure of Radial Solutions

In this section, we investigate the structure of the solutions of the problem $(P)$. The study depends strongly on the sign of $N \beta-\alpha$ and the comparison between the three determining values $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{p}{q+1-p}$ and $\frac{N-p}{p-1}$.

Theorem 4.1 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \geq N$. Then the solution $u$ of problem $(P)$ changes the sign.

Proof. We consider the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(r)=r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)+\beta r^{N} u(r) . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by (9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(r)=(\beta N-\alpha) r^{N-1} u(r)-r^{N-1}|u|^{q-1} u(r) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $u(r)>0$ for all $r \in[0,+\infty)$. As $\alpha \geqslant \beta N$, then $\varphi^{\prime}(r)<0$. Therefore, as $\varphi(0)=0$, we have $\varphi(r) \leqslant 0 \forall r \in[0,+\infty)$. Consequently, the function $r \rightarrow H(r)=$ $\frac{p}{p-2} u^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}(r)+\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ is decreasing. Then for any $r \in[0,+\infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(r) \leqslant H(0)=\frac{p}{p-2} A^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

When letting $r \rightarrow+\infty$, the term on the left-hand part of the inequality converges to $+\infty$, so we reach a contradiction.

Now, let $r_{0}$ be the first zero of $u$, then $\varphi^{\prime}(r)<0$ for all $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, thus $\varphi\left(r_{0}\right)<$ $\varphi(0)=0$. Therefore $u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)<0$, consequently, $u$ changes the sign.

The solution that changes the sign is illustrated by Figure 3 .
Theorem 4.2 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$. Then the solution $u$ of problem $(P)$ is not strictly positive in the following cases:
(i) $\frac{N-p}{p-1} \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.
(ii) $q \leqslant p-1$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$.


Figure 3: Solution that changes the sign.
(iii) $q>p-1$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \neq \frac{p}{q+1-p}<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $u$ is strictly positive. The idea is to show that under this assumption, we have $g_{\alpha / \beta}(r) \neq 0$ for large $r$ in these three cases, which is not possible by Proposition 2.7 .

Assume that there exists a large $r_{0}$ such that $g_{\alpha / \beta}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$, we obtain by 29,

$$
\begin{align*}
(p-1)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\left(r_{0}\right) g_{\alpha / \beta}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=r_{0} u^{q}\left(r_{0}\right) & {\left[-1+(p-1)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{p-1} \times\right.} \\
& \left.\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) r_{0}^{-p} u^{p-1-q}\left(r_{0}\right)\right] \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0$, we have in the cases $(i)$ and $(i i), g_{\alpha / \beta}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)<0$.
For the case (iii), we have by Proposition 2.5 and Proposition $2.6 \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{p}{q+1-p}} u(r)=0$ or $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{p}{q+1-p}} u(r)=+\infty$, then we get $g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Therefore, in the three cases, we have $g_{\alpha / \beta}(r) \neq 0$ for large $r$, that is, $r^{\alpha / \beta} u(r)$ is strictly monotone for large $r$. But this contradicts Proposition 2.7. Consequently, $u$ is not strictly positive in the three cases.

Theorem 4.3 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$. Then for any $0<A<(\beta N-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, the solution $u(., A)$ of problem $(P)$ is strictly positive.

Proof. Let $r_{0}$ be the first zero of $u$, then $u\left(r_{0}\right)=0$ and $u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$. Integrating (9) on $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{r_{0}}\left[(\beta N-\alpha)-u^{q-1}(s)\right] s^{N-1} u(s) d s \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $u(r)>0$ and $u^{\prime}(r)<0$ on $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta N-\alpha-u^{q-1}(s)>\beta N-\alpha-A^{q-1}>0 \quad \text { for any } s \in\left(0, r_{0}\right) . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by 71, we get $u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)>0$, but this contradicts the fact that $u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$. Hence $u(., A)$ is strictly positive.

Theorem 4.4 Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{p}{q+1-p}<\min \left(\frac{N-p}{p}, \frac{p}{2}\right)$. Then the solution $u$ of problem $(P)$ is strictly positive.

Before giving the proof of the theorem, we need the following result.
Proposition 4.1 Let $u$ be a solution of problem ( $P$ ). Assume that there exists $R>0$, the first zero of $u$. Then for $\lambda \geq 1$ and $0<\gamma<\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma} s^{\rho-1} d s \leq \frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\rho-\gamma} \int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+1} s^{\rho} d s \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Holder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma} s^{\rho-1} d s \leq\left(\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+1} s^{\rho} d s\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $u(R)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R}\left(u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma}\right)^{\prime} s d s=-\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\lambda+\gamma) \int_{0}^{R} u^{\prime} u^{\lambda+\gamma-1} s^{\rho-\gamma} d s+(\rho-1-\gamma) \int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s= \\
& -\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $u^{\prime}<0$ in $(0, R)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s=\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\rho-\gamma} \int_{0}^{R}\left|u^{\prime}\right| u^{\lambda+\gamma-1} s^{\varrho-\gamma} d s \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Holder's inequality again, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s \leq \frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\rho-\gamma}\left(\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+1} s^{\rho} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda+\gamma} s^{\rho-1-\gamma} d s\right)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \leq \frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\rho-\gamma}\left(\int_{0}^{R} u^{\lambda-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+1} s^{\rho} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (74) and (79), we easily obtain the estimation (73). This completes the proof of this proposition.

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 4.4 .
Proof. Assume that there exists $r_{0}>0$, the first zero of $u$. Then $u(r)>0$ $\forall r \in\left[0, r_{0}\left[, u^{\prime}(r)<0 \forall r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)\right.\right.$ and $u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$.
Since $\frac{p}{q+1-p}<\frac{N-p}{p}$, one has $\frac{N-p}{p}>\frac{N}{q+1}$.
Let $\frac{N}{q+1}<\delta<\frac{N-p}{p}$ and we consider the following energy function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(r)=r^{N}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{q+1}|u|^{q+1}\right)+\delta r^{N-1} u\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime} . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (5), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
G^{\prime}(r)=\left(\delta-\frac{N-p}{p}\right) r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\left(\frac{N}{q+1}-\delta\right) r^{N-1}|u|^{q+1}+  \tag{81}\\
(\alpha+\beta \delta) r^{N} u\left|u^{\prime}\right|-\alpha \delta r^{N-1} u^{2}(r)-\beta r^{N+1} u^{\prime 2}(r)
\end{gather*}
$$

Integrating the last inequality on $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(r_{0}\right) & =\left(\delta-\frac{N-p}{p}\right) \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} d s+\left(\frac{N}{q+1}-\delta\right) \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N-1}|u|^{q+1}(s) d s  \tag{82}\\
& +(\alpha+\beta \delta) \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N} u\left|u^{\prime}\right| d s-\alpha \delta \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N-1} u^{2}(s) d s-\beta \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N+1} u^{\prime 2}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

With the choice of $\delta$ and the fact that $u>0$ and $u^{\prime}<0$ on $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, we obtain by 82),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(r_{0}\right)<(\alpha+\beta \delta) \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N} u\left|u^{\prime}\right| d s-\beta \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N+1} u^{\prime 2}(s) d s \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Proposition 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N} u\left|u^{\prime}\right| d s \leqslant \frac{2}{N} \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N+1} u^{2}(s) d s \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (83) and (84), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(r_{0}\right)<\left(\frac{2}{N}(\alpha+\beta \delta)-\beta\right) \int_{0}^{r_{0}} s^{N+1} u^{\prime 2}(s) d s \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N>p$ and $\frac{p}{q+1-p}<\frac{p}{2}$, one has $\frac{N-p}{p}<\frac{N}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. Again, with the choice of $\delta$, we have $\delta<\frac{N}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, which implies that $\left(\frac{2}{N}(\alpha+\beta \delta)-\beta\right)<0$, that is, $G\left(r_{0}\right)<0$, but this contradicts the fact that

$$
G\left(r_{0}\right)=\frac{p-1}{p} r_{0}^{N}\left|u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right|^{p} \geqslant 0
$$

Consequently, $u$ is strictly positive. This completes the proof.

## 5 Conclusion

In this work, we studied the Cauchy problem $(P)$. We proved the existence of global solutions, we presented their complete classification in the cases $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \geq N$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}<N$, and we gave an explicit behavior near infinity of the positive solutions. More precisely, we have given explicit equivalents to the positive solution $u$ of problem $(P)$ and its negative derivative $u^{\prime}$. The study of asymptotic behavior of positive solutions is carried out in the case $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{p}{q+1-p}<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$, which recalls the form of radial self-similar solutions of the parabolic problem (2) from which the problem $(P)$ is derived.

Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions is ensured by the study of a nonlinear dynamical system that we obtained by using the logarithmic change

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=r^{\alpha / \beta} u(r), \quad r>0 \text { and } t=\log (r) . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

This obtained system, which we call $(S)$, is as following:
$(S)\left\{\begin{array}{l}v^{\prime}(t)=|w(t)|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} w(t)+\frac{\alpha}{\beta} v(t), \\ w^{\prime}(t)=-\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right) w(t)-\alpha e^{\left(p+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-2)\right) t} v(t)-\beta e^{\left(p+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-2)\right) t} z(t)-|v|^{q-1} v(t),\end{array}\right.$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=|z|^{p-2} z(t) \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=v^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\alpha}{\beta} v(t)=r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} u^{\prime}(r) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution $(v, w)$ of the system $(S)$ satisfies $v>0$ and $w<0$ (because $u>0$ and $\left.u^{\prime}<0\right)$ and tends near infinity to the equilibrium point $\left(\Gamma,-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \Gamma\right)^{p-1}\right)$, where $\Gamma$ is explicitly dependent on $p, q$ and $N$. Indeed, rewriting the second equation of the system $(S)$ by using expression 88, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta e^{\left(p+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-2)\right) t} v^{\prime}(t)=w\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)+\frac{w^{\prime}}{w}+\frac{v^{q}}{w}\right) . \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have by (63) and 64),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{w^{\prime}}{w}=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}(p-1) \frac{g_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\prime}(r)}{u^{\prime}(r)}=0 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{v^{q}}{w}=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{r u^{q}(r)}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{-\Gamma^{q+1-p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{p-1}} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}-\beta e^{\left(p+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-2)\right) t} \frac{v^{\prime}(t)}{w}=N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)-\frac{\Gamma^{q+1-p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{p-1}} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall by Proposition 2.7, that $v(t)$ is not strictly monotone for large $t$, then since $w$ is strictly negative, necessarily we have by 92 ,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}-\beta e^{\left(p+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-2)\right) t} \frac{v^{\prime}(t)}{w}=0
$$

Hence the explicit expression of $\Gamma$ given by 48).
Finally, using expressions 86, 87) and (88), the convergence of the solution $(v, w)$ of the system $(S)$ to the equilibrium point $\left(\Gamma,-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \Gamma\right)^{p-1}\right)$ near infinity is expressed in terms of $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ by

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} u(r)=\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+1} u^{\prime}(r)=\frac{-\alpha}{\beta}\left(N-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(p-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}}
$$
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