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#### Abstract

It has been shown that, under suitable hypotheses, for boundary value problems of the form $L y+\lambda y=f, B C y=0$, where $L$ is a linear differentiable operator and $B C$ denotes the linear boundary operator, there exists $\Lambda>0$ such that $f \geq 0$ implies $\lambda y \geq 0$ for $\lambda \in[-\Lambda, \Lambda] \backslash\{0\}$, where $y$ is the unique solution of $L y+\lambda y=f, B C y=0$. So, the boundary value problem satisfies a maximum principle for $\lambda \in[-\Lambda, 0)$ and the boundary value problem satisfies an anti-maximum principle if $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda]$. Moreover, this information is provided in the one inequality, $\lambda y \geq 0$. In this study, we shall provide suitable hypotheses such that for boundary value problems of the form $L y+\beta y^{\prime}=f, B C y=0$, where $L$ is an ordinary differentiable operator and $B C$ denotes the boundary operator, there exists $\mathcal{B}>0$ such that $f \geq 0$ implies $\beta y^{\prime} \geq 0$ for $\beta \in[-\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}] \backslash\{0\}$, where $y$ is the unique solution of $L y+\beta y^{\prime}=f, B C y=0$. Under suitable boundary conditions, one obtains sign properties on solutions and derivatives of solutions. Two examples satisfying the suitable hypotheses are provided and one application of monotone methods is provided to illustrate an application of the main result.
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## 1 Introduction

The maximum principle is an important tool in the study of differential equations and we refer the reader to the well-known book [14] for many applications. For example, for the specific boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equation, $y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda y=f, y^{\prime}(0)=0, y^{\prime}(1)=0$, if $\lambda<0$, then this boundary value problem satisfies a maximum principle. In particular, for $f \in C[0,1]$, the boundary value problem is uniquely solvable and $f$ nonnegative implies $y$ is nonpositive, where $y$ is the unique solution associated with $f$. In the study of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations, the maximum principle implies that the associated Green's function is of constant sign, and in this case, the Green's function is nonpositive on $(0,1) \times(0,1)$.

Clément and Peletier [8] were the first to discover an anti-maximum principle. They were primarily interested in partial differential equations, but they illustrated the antimaximum principle with the boundary value problem, $y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda y=f, y^{\prime}(0)=0, y^{\prime}(1)=0$, $0<\lambda<\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}$. For this particular boundary value problem, if $0<\lambda<\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}$, if $f \in C[0,1]$, the boundary value problem is uniquely solvable and $f$ nonnegative implies $y$ is nonnegative, where $y$ is the unique solution associated with $f$.

Since the publication of 8, there have been many studies of boundary value problems with parameter and the change of behavior from maximum to anti-maximum principles as a function of the parameter. In the case of partial differential equations, we refer to $1,2,7,9,10,12,13,15$. In the case of ordinary differential equations, we refer to [3 6, 16]. In this paper, we shall continue to study the change in behavior of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations, with respect to maximum and antimaximum principles, through simple eigenvalues.

In an interesting study produced in [7], those authors began with a differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda y(t)=f(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and considered either periodic boundary conditions or Neumann boundary conditions. Key to their argument is that for $f=0$, at $\lambda=0$, the boundary value problem, (1) with periodic or Neumann boundary conditions, is at resonance since constant functions are nontrivial solutions. Further, $\lambda=0$ is a simple eigenvalue and the eigenspace is $\langle 1\rangle$, where $<1>$ denotes the linear span of the 1 function. Employing the resolvent, the inverse of $\left(D^{2}+\lambda \mathcal{I}\right)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, under the imposed boundary conditions, if it exists, and the partial resolvent for $\lambda=0$, and under the assumption that $f \geq 0$ (with $f \in \mathcal{L}[0,1]$ ), the authors in [7] obtained sufficient conditions to construct an interval $[-\Lambda, \Lambda], \Lambda>0$, a constant $K>0$, independent of $f$ such that

$$
\lambda y(t) \geq K|f|_{1}, \quad \lambda \in[-\Lambda, \Lambda] \backslash\{0\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

where $|f|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|f(s)| d s$. With this one inequality, the authors showed that for $\Lambda \leq \lambda<0$, the boundary value problem, (1] with periodic or Neumann boundary conditions, satisfies a maximum principle and for $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda$, the boundary value problem (1) with periodic or Neumann boundary conditions, satisfies an anti-maximum principle. They proceeded to produce many nice examples in that paper.

Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta y^{\prime}(t)=f(t), \quad t \in[0,1]  \tag{2}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $f=0, \beta=0$ is a simple eigenvalue and generates the eigenspace $\langle t\rangle$, the linear span of $t$. For $\beta \neq 0$,

$$
G(\beta ; t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{e^{-\beta(1-s)}-e^{-\beta} e^{-\beta(t-s)}}{\beta\left(1-e^{-\beta}\right)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1  \tag{4}\\ \frac{e^{-\beta(1-s)}-e^{-\beta} e^{-\beta(t-s)}}{\beta\left(1-e^{-\beta}\right)}+\frac{1-e^{-\beta(t-s)}}{\beta}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

is the Green's function for the boundary value problem (2), (3). Note that

$$
\beta G(\beta ; t, s)>0, \quad(t, s) \in(0,1] \times[0,1], \text { and } \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(\beta ; t, s)>0, \quad[t, s) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]
$$

So, if $y$ denotes the solution of (2), (3), then $f \geq 0$ implies $\beta y^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $\beta y \geq 0$. This observation indicates that the principle obtained in [7] can be extended to other order derivatives.

Our goal in this paper is to study boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations containing a parameter $\beta$ such that $\beta=0$ is a simple eigenvalue generating an eigenspace $\left\langle t-t_{0}>\right.$ for some constant $t_{0}$ and modify the methods produced in 7; in particular, we shall assume $f \geq 0$ and obtain sufficient conditions to construct an interval $[-\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}], \mathcal{B}>0$, a constant $K>0$, independent of $f$, and an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta y^{\prime}(t) \geq K|f|_{1}, \quad \beta \in[-\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}] \backslash\{0\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is a unique solution of the boundary value problem associated with $f$. It will follow that if $0<|\beta| \leq \mathcal{B}$, and if $f \geq 0$, then $\beta y^{\prime} \geq 0$.

In Section 2, following the lead of 7 , we shall define the concept of a strong signed maximum principle in $y^{\prime}$. In Section 3, we shall obtain sufficient conditions for 5 and hence obtain sufficient conditions for adherence to a strong signed maximum principle in $y^{\prime}$. In Section 4, we shall illustrate the main result, Theorem 3.1, with two examples. In each example, the boundary conditions are such that (5) generates a natural partial order in $C^{1}[0,1]$.

We close in Section 5 with an application of a monotone method applied to a nonlinear problem related to one of the examples produced in Section 4. At $\beta=0$, the problem is at resonance. The problem is shifted 11 by $\beta y^{\prime}$ and $\beta>0$ or $\beta<0$ is chosen as a function of the monotonicity properties of the nonlinearity.

## 2 Strong Signed Maximum Principle

Assume $\mathcal{A}$ is a linear operator with $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}) \subset C^{1}[0,1]$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}) \subset C[0,1]$. Let $D y=y^{\prime}$ for $y \in C^{1}[0,1]$. The following definition is motivated by Definition 1 found in (7).

Definition 2.1 For $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, the operator $\mathcal{A}+\beta D$ satisfies a signed maximum principle in $D y$ if for each $f \in C[0,1]$, the equation

$$
(\mathcal{A}+\beta D) y=f, \quad y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})
$$

has a unique solution, $y$, and $f(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$ implies $\beta D y(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$. The operator $\mathcal{A}+\beta D$ satisfies a strong signed maximum principle in $D y$ if $f(t) \geq 0$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $f(t)>0$ on some interval of positive length, implies $\beta D y(t)>0,0<t<1$.

Remark 2.1 Throughout this study, the phrases "maximum principle" or "antimaximum principle" may be used loosely. If so, we mean the following. If $f \geq 0$ implies $y \leq 0$ (or $D y \leq 0$ ), the phrase, maximum principle, may be used. This is precisely the case for the classical second order differential equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. If $f \geq 0$ implies $y \geq 0$ (or $D y \geq 0$ ), the phrase, anti-maximum principle, may be used. This is the case observed in 8 where the phrase, anti-maximum principle, was coined.

Remark 2.2 As pointed out in the Introduction, for the boundary value problem $y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta y^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$, with boundary conditions (3), $f(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$ implies $\beta D y(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$, and $\beta y(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$. In the application of the main theorem, Theorem 3.1, one only concludes (5). In the examples produced in Section 4, the boundary conditions are such that (5) implies further that for some $t_{0} \in[0,1]$, $\beta\left(t-t_{0}\right) y(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$. In particular, in each example, a signed maximum principle in $D y$ will generate a natural partial order on $C^{1}[a, b]$ in which monotone methods can be applied.

## 3 The Main Theorem

Let $C[0,1]$ denote the Banach space of continuous real-valued functions defined on $[0,1]$ with norm $|y|_{0}=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|y(t)|$ and let $C^{1}[0,1]$ denote the Banach space of continuously differentiable real-valued functions defined on $[0,1]$ with

$$
\|y\|=\max \left\{|y|_{0},\left|y^{\prime}\right|_{0}\right\} .
$$

Also, $C[0,1] \subset \mathcal{L}=L^{1}[0,1]$, and so, we shall also have use for $|f|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|f(s)| d s$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}$, set

$$
\bar{f}=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t
$$

and define

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subset C[0,1]=\{f \in C[0,1]: \bar{f}=0\}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{L}=\left\{f \in L^{1}[0,1]: \bar{f}=0\right\} .
$$

Let $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $\mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ denotes a linear operator satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}) \subset C^{1}[a, b] \quad \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{A})=<t-t_{0}>, \quad \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A})=\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $<t-t_{0}>$ denotes the linear span of $t-t_{0}$. Assume further that for $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, the problem $\mathcal{A} y=\tilde{f}$ is uniquely solvable with solution $y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})$ and such that $\left(\overline{y^{\prime}}\right)=0$. In particular, define

$$
\operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})=\left\{y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}):\left(\overline{y^{\prime}}\right)=0\right\}
$$

and then

$$
\left.\mathcal{A}\right|_{\operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})}: \operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}
$$

is one to one and onto. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A} \tilde{y}=\tilde{f}$ for $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{y} \in \operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$, assume there exists a constant $K_{1}>0$ depending only on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{y}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq K_{1}|\tilde{f}|_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f \in \mathcal{L}$, define

$$
\tilde{f}=f-\bar{f}
$$

and for $y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})$, define

$$
\tilde{y}=y-\overline{y^{\prime}}\left(t-t_{0}\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\tilde{y}^{\prime}=y^{\prime}-\overline{y^{\prime}}
$$

Finally, assume there exists $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime} D$. In this context, we rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} y+\beta y^{\prime}=f, \quad y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}+\beta \mathcal{I}\right) D y=f, \quad D y \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{v \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right): \bar{v}=0\right\} \subset C[0,1]$ and it follows that

$$
\left.\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right|_{\operatorname{Dom}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right)}: \operatorname{Dom}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}
$$

is one to one and onto.
With the decompositions $\tilde{f}=f-\bar{f}$ and $\tilde{y}=y-\overline{y^{\prime}}\left(t-t_{0}\right)$, it follows that $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\tilde{y} \in \operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$, or more appropriately, $D \tilde{y} \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right)$. So, equation (8) or equation (9) decouples as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A}^{\prime} D \tilde{y}+\beta D \tilde{y}=\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}+\beta \mathcal{I}\right) D \tilde{y}=\tilde{f}  \tag{10}\\
\beta D \overline{y^{\prime}}\left(t-t_{0}\right)=\beta \overline{y^{\prime}}=\bar{f} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote the inverse of $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}+\beta \mathcal{I}\right)$, if it exists, by $\mathcal{R}_{\beta}$ and denote the inverse of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \operatorname{Dom}_{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right)}$ by $\mathcal{R}_{0}$. So, $\mathcal{R}_{0}: \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow C[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \tilde{y}=\mathcal{R}_{0} \tilde{f} \text { if, and only if, } \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(D \tilde{y})=\tilde{f} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that 12 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \tilde{y}=\mathcal{R}_{0} \mathcal{A}^{\prime} D \tilde{y} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $D \tilde{y} \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right)$,
Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we can also consider $\mathcal{R}_{0}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow C[0,1]$. Let

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}=\sup _{|v|_{0}=1}\left|\mathcal{R}_{0} v\right|_{0}, \quad v, \mathcal{R}_{0} v \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}=\sup _{|v|_{1}=1}\left|\mathcal{R}_{0} v\right|_{0}, \quad v \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0} v \in \mathcal{C}
$$

Since $D \tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, it follows that $\left|\mathcal{R}_{0} D \tilde{y}\right|_{0} \leq\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}|D \tilde{y}|_{0}$. Similarly, $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ implies $\left|\mathcal{R}_{0} \tilde{f}\right|_{0} \leq\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}|\tilde{f}|_{1}$.

Theorem 3.1 Assume $\mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C[0,1]$ denotes a linear operator satisfying (6) and (7), and assume that for $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, the problem $\mathcal{A} y=\tilde{f}$ is uniquely solvable with solution $y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\left(\overline{y^{\prime}}\right)=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Further, assume there exists $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $C[0,1]$ such that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime} D$. Assume $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{Dom}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Dom}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is one to one and
onto. Then there exists $B_{1}>0$ such that if $0<|\beta| \leq B_{1}$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\beta}$, the inverse of $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}+\beta \mathcal{I}\right)$, exists. Moreover, if $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{L}, B_{1}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}<1$ and $0<|\beta| \leq B_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{R}_{\beta} \tilde{f}\right|_{0} \leq \frac{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}{1-B_{1}| | \mathcal{R}_{0} \|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}|\tilde{f}|_{1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, there exists $\mathcal{B} \in\left(0, B_{1}\right)$ such that if $0<|\beta| \leq \mathcal{B}$, then the operator $(\mathcal{A}+\beta D)$ satisfies a strong signed maximum principle in Dy.

Proof. Employ (13) and apply $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ to 10 to obtain

$$
D \tilde{y}+\beta \mathcal{R}_{0} D \tilde{y}=\mathcal{R}_{0} \tilde{f}
$$

Note that (7) implies that $\mathcal{R}_{0}: \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is continuous. Assume $\mid \beta\| \| \mathcal{R}_{0} \|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}<1$. Then $\left(\mathcal{I}+\beta \mathcal{R}_{0}\right): \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is invertible and

$$
D \tilde{y}=\left(\mathcal{I}+\beta \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{0} \tilde{f}
$$

So, assume $0<B_{1}<\frac{1}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}$ and assume $|\beta| \leq B_{1}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\beta}=\left(\mathcal{I}+\beta \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{0}$ exists. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D \tilde{y}|_{0}-B_{1}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}|D \tilde{y}|_{0} & \leq|D \tilde{y}|_{0}-|\beta|| | \mathcal{R}_{0} \|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}|D \tilde{y}|_{0} \\
& \leq\left|\left(\mathcal{I}+\beta \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) D \tilde{y}\right|_{0}=\left|\mathcal{R}_{0} \tilde{f}\right|_{0} \leq \|\left.\mathcal{R}_{0}\right|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}|\tilde{f}|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\sqrt{14})$ is proved since $D \tilde{y}=\mathcal{R}_{\beta} \tilde{f}$.
Now assume $f \in \mathcal{L}$ and assume $f \geq 0$ a.e. Then $\bar{f}=|f|_{1}$. Let $0<|\beta| \leq B_{1}<$ $\frac{1}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}$, write $f=\bar{f}+\tilde{f}$ and consider

$$
\beta D y=\beta \mathcal{R}_{\beta} f=\beta \mathcal{R}_{\beta}(\bar{f}+\tilde{f})
$$

Note that $\beta \mathcal{R}_{\beta} \bar{f}=\bar{f}$ since $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}+\beta \mathcal{I}\right) \bar{f}=\beta \bar{f}$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta D y=\beta \mathcal{R}_{\beta} f & =\beta \mathcal{R}_{\beta}(\bar{f}+\tilde{f}) \\
& =\bar{f}+\beta \mathcal{R}_{\beta} \tilde{f} \geq|f|_{1}-|\beta|\left|\mathcal{R}_{\beta} \tilde{f}\right|_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Continue to assume that $0<|\beta| \leq B_{1}$; it now follows from (14) that

$$
\beta D y \geq|f|_{1}-|\beta|\left(\frac{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}{1-B_{1}| | \mathcal{R}_{0} \|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}\right)|\tilde{f}|_{1} .
$$

Since $\tilde{f}=f-\bar{f}$, and $|\tilde{f}|_{1} \leq|f|_{1}+\bar{f}=2|f|_{1}$, assume

$$
\mathcal{B}<\min \left\{B_{1},\left(\frac{1-B_{1}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}{2\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\beta D y \geq\left(1-2 \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}{1-B_{1}| | \mathcal{R}_{0} \|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}\right)\right)|f|_{1}
$$

and (5) is valid with

$$
K=\left(1-2 \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}{1-B_{1}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}}}\right)\right) .
$$

## 4 Examples

## Example 4.1

Our first example considers boundary conditions that contain (3). Let $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ and consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}+\beta y^{\prime}=f, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1  \tag{15}\\
& y\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

So, for the boundary value problem (15), 16), $\mathcal{A}=D^{2}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}=D, \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{A})=<t-t_{0}>$ or $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)=<1>$.

We point out that if $t_{0}=0$ or $t_{0}=1$, the Fredholm alternative will imply that $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A})=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. If $t_{0}=0$, then $f \in \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A})$, if, and only if, $f$ is orthogonal to solutions of the adjoint problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad y(0)=y(1), \quad y^{\prime}(1)=0
$$

Thus, $f$ is orthogonal to the constant functions. If $t_{0}=1$, then $f$ is orthogonal to solutions of the adjoint problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad y(0)=y(1), \quad y^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

and again, $f$ is orthogonal to the constant functions.
However, if $t_{0} \in[0,1]$, one can show directly that $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A})=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. If $f \in \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A})$, then there exists a solution $y$ of

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad y\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)
$$

which implies

$$
0=y^{\prime}(1)-y^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} y^{\prime \prime}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t
$$

and $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Likewise, if $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\int_{0}^{t}(t-s) f(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left(t_{0}-s\right) f(s) d s \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad y\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)
$$

which implies $f \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, if $t_{0} \in[0,1], \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A})=\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.
To argue that $\mathcal{A} y=\tilde{f}$ is uniquely solvable with solution $y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$, 17) implies the solvability. For uniqueness, if $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are two such solutions, then $\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)(t)=c\left(t-t_{0}\right)$ and $y_{1}-y_{2} \in \operatorname{Dom}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ implies $c=0$.

Finally, (17) implies (7) is satisfied with $K_{1}=1$.
Theorem 3.1 applies and there exists $\mathcal{B}>0$ such that if $0<|\beta| \leq \mathcal{B}$, then $(\mathcal{A}+\beta \mathcal{I})$ has the strong signed maximum principle in $D y$. Thus, $f \geq 0$ implies $\beta D y \geq 0$. Hence, a natural partial order in which to apply the method of upper and lower solutions and monotone methods to a nonlinear boundary value problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \in C^{1}[0,1] \succeq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \beta\left(t-t_{0}\right) y(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1, \text { and } \beta y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 5, we shall employ monotone methods with respect to this partial order and obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of maximal and minimal solutions of a nonlinear boundary value problem associated with the boundary conditions (16).

## Example 4.2

For the second example, let $h>0$, and we consider a family of boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=h y(1), \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions (19) contain the periodic boundary conditions at $h=1$. In this example, however, we exclude $h=1$.

For the boundary value problem (15), 19), $\mathcal{A}=D^{2}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=D, \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{A})=<$ $t+\frac{h}{1-h}>$ or $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)=<1>$. Appealing directly to the Fredholm alternative, $f \in \operatorname{Im}$ $(\mathcal{A})$ if, and only if, $f$ is orthogonal to solutions of the adjoint problem,

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad y(0)=y(1), \quad h y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A})=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Again, $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ implies $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})=\{y \in \mathcal{B}: \bar{D} y=0\}$. Again, $K$ in (7) can be computed since if $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, then

$$
\tilde{y}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}(t-s) \tilde{f}(s) d s+\frac{h}{1-h} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s) \tilde{f}(s) d s
$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 applies and there exists $\mathcal{B}>0$ such that if $0<|\beta| \leq \mathcal{B}$, then $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}+\beta D\right)$ satisfies the strong signed maximum principle in $D y$.

To determine sign conditions on $\beta y$, four cases arise. If $0<\beta \leq \mathcal{B}$, one considers the two cases, $1<h$ or $0<h<1$. If $0<\beta \leq \mathcal{B}$, then $\beta y$ is increasing, which in turn implies $y$ is increasing. If $h>1$, then $\frac{y(0)}{y(1)}>1$, and it follows that $y(t)<0$ for $0 \leq t<1$. If $0<h<1$, then $0<\frac{y(0)}{y(1)}<1$, and it follows that $y(t)>0$ for $0<t \leq 1$. Two analogous cases can be analyzed if $0>\beta \geq-\mathcal{B}$. So, for example, if $\beta>0$ and $1<h$, a natural partial order in which to apply the method of upper and lower solutions and monotone methods to a nonlinear problem is

$$
y \in C^{1}[0,1] \succeq 0 \Longleftrightarrow y(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1, \text { and } y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

## 5 A Monotone Method

Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ and consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad \leq t \leq 1,  \tag{20}\\
y\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1) . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $f$ satisfies the following monotonicity properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(t, y, z_{1}\right)<f\left(t, y, z_{2}\right) \text { for }(t, y) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad z_{1}>z_{2},  \tag{22}\\
& f\left(t, y_{1}, z\right)<f\left(t, y_{2}, z\right) \text { for }(t, z) \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad y_{1}>y_{2}, \\
& f\left(t, y_{1}, z\right)>f\left(t, y_{2}, z\right) \text { for }(t, z) \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad y_{1}<z_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

So, $f$ is monotone decreasing in the third component; for $t_{0}<t \leq 1, f$ is monotone decreasing in the second component and for $0 \leq t<t_{0}, f$ is monotone increasing in the second component.

Apply a shift to 20 and consider the equivalent boundary value problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta y^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right)+\beta y^{\prime}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

with boundary conditions (21), where $\beta<0$. Assume $|\beta|$ is small such that $|\beta| \leq \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}>0$ is shown to exist in Theorem 3.1. Note that if $g(t, y, z)=f(t, y, z)+\beta z$ and $f$ satisfies (22), then $g$ satisfies 22 .

Assume the existence of solutions, $w_{1}$ and $v_{1}$, of the following boundary value problems for differential inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq f\left(t, w_{1}(t), w_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, & v_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq f\left(t, v_{1}(t), v_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1  \tag{23}\\
w_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad w_{1}^{\prime}(0)=w_{1}^{\prime}(1), \quad & v_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad v_{1}^{\prime}(0)=v_{1}^{\prime}(1)
\end{array}
$$

Assume further that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(v_{1}(t)-w_{1}(t)\right) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad\left(v_{1}^{\prime}(t)-w_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by (18) and noting that $\beta<0$, define a partial order $\succeq$ on $C^{1}[0,1]$ by

$$
u \in C^{1}[0,1] \succeq 0 \Longleftrightarrow\left(t-t_{0}\right) u(t) \leq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1, \text { and } u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

Then the assumption (24) implies $w_{1} \succeq v_{1}$.
Define iteratively, the sequences $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty},\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{k+1}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta v_{k+1}^{\prime}(t) & =f\left(t, v_{k}(t), v_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\beta v_{k}^{\prime}(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1  \tag{25}\\
v_{k+1}\left(t_{0}\right) & =0, \quad v_{k+1}^{\prime}(0)=v_{k+1}^{\prime}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{k+1}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta w_{k+1}^{\prime}(t) & =f\left(t, w_{k}(t), w_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\beta w_{k}^{\prime}(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1  \tag{26}\\
w_{k+1}\left(t_{0}\right) & =0, \quad w_{k+1}^{\prime}(0)=w_{k+1}^{\prime}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 implies the existence of each $v_{k+1}, w_{k+1}$ since if $0<|\beta| \leq \mathcal{B}$, the inverse of $(\mathcal{A}+\beta D)$ exists.

Theorem 5.1 Assume $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and assume $f$ satisfies the monotonicity properties (22). Assume the existence of two times continuously differentiable functions, $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$, satisfying 23. Define the sequences of iterates $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by 25 and 26), respectively. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{k} \succeq w_{k+1} \succeq v_{k+1} \succeq v_{k} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $C^{1}[0,1]$ to a solution $v$ of 20) and $\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $C^{1}[0,1]$ to a solution $w$ of 20 satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{k} \succeq w_{k+1} \succeq w \succeq v \succeq v_{k+1} \succeq v_{k} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $v_{1}$ satisfies a differential inequality given in 24 ,

$$
v_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta v_{2}^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, v_{1}(t), v_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\beta v_{1}^{\prime}(t) \geq v_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta v_{1}^{\prime}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

Set $u=v_{2}-v_{1}$ and $u$ satisfies a boundary value problem for a differential inequality

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad u\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1)
$$

The signed maximum principle applies and $u \succeq 0$; in particular, $v_{2} \succeq v_{1}$. Similarly, $w_{1} \succeq w_{2}$. Now, set $u=w_{2}-v_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta u^{\prime}(t) & =\left(f\left(t, w_{1}(t), w_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)-f\left(t, v_{1}(t), v_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)+\beta\left(w_{1}^{\prime}(t)-v_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\
u\left(t_{0}\right) & =0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ satisfies 22) and $\beta\left(w_{1}^{\prime}(t)-v_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$, it follows that

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

and again, the signed maximum principle applies and $u \succeq 0$. In particular, $w_{2} \succeq v_{2}$. Thus, (27) is proved for $k=1$. It follows by a straightforward induction that (27) is valid using the arguments presented in this paragraph.

To obtain the existence of limiting solutions $v$ and $w$ satisfying (28), note that the sequence $\left\{v_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ is monotone and appropriately bounded. Thus, the sequence $\left\{v_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ is converging pointwise on $[0,1]$. Dini's theorem then implies the uniform convergence of the sequence $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ on $[0,1]$ since $\left\{v_{k}(t)\right\}$ is monotone for each $t$ and is appropriately bounded. This argument can be repeated to obtain the uniform convergence of $\left\{v_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ on $[0,1]$. Since $v_{k+1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, v_{k}(t), v_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\beta\left(v_{k}^{\prime}(t)-v_{k+1}^{\prime}(t)\right)$, the sequence $\left\{v_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is converging pointwise on $[0,1]$. Now, Dini's theorem implies the uniform convergence of the sequence $\left\{v_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ on $[0,1]$. Again, employ $v_{k+1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, v_{k}(t), v_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\beta\left(v_{k}^{\prime}(t)-v_{k+1}^{\prime}(t)\right)$, and it follows that the sequence $\left\{v_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ converges uniformly on $[0,1]$. This implies that if $v \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is the limit of $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ (meaning $v_{k}$ is converging to $v$ uniformly and $v_{k}^{\prime}$ is converging to $v^{\prime}$ uniformly), then $\left\{v_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ converges uniformly to $v^{\prime \prime}$ on $[0,1]$ and $v$ is a solution of (20), (21) satisfying (28). Similarly, the solution $w$ of 20), 21) satisfying (28) exists, and the theorem is proved.

Suppose now $f$ satisfies the "anti"-inequalities to 22 ; that is, suppose $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(t, y, z_{1}\right)>f\left(t, y, z_{2}\right) \text { for }(t, y) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad z_{1}>z_{2}  \tag{29}\\
& f\left(t, y_{1}, z\right)>f\left(t, y_{2}, z\right) \text { for }(t, z) \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad y_{1}>y_{2} \\
& f\left(t, y_{1}, z\right)<f\left(t, y_{2}, z\right) \text { for }(t, z) \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad y_{1}<z_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

One can appeal to the signed maximum principle and apply a shift to 20 and consider the equivalent boundary value problem, $y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta y^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right)+\beta y^{\prime}(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, where $\beta>0$. Note, if $f$ satisfies 29) and $\beta>0$, then $g(t, y, z)=f(t, y, z)+\beta z$ satisfies (29).

Now, assume the existence of solutions, $w_{1}$ and $v_{1}$, of the following differential inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq f\left(t, w_{1}(t), w_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad & v_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq f\left(t, v_{1}(t), v_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1  \tag{30}\\
w_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad w_{1}^{\prime}(0)=w_{1}^{\prime}(1), & v_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad v_{1}^{\prime}(0)=v_{1}^{\prime}(1)
\end{array}
$$

Assume further that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(v_{1}(t)-w_{1}(t)\right) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad\left(v_{1}^{\prime}(t)-w_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\beta>0$, define a partial order $\succeq_{1}$ on $C^{1}[0,1]$ by

$$
u \in C^{1}[0,1] \succeq_{1} 0 \Longleftrightarrow\left(t-t_{0}\right) u(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1, \text { and } u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

In particular, assume $v_{1} \succeq_{1} v_{1}$.
Theorem 5.2 Assume $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and assume $f$ satisfies the monotonicity properties 29. Assume the existence of two times continuously differentiable functions, $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$, satisfying (30) and 31. Define the sequences of iterates $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty},\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by 25) and (26), respectively. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1}$,

$$
v_{k} \succeq_{1} v_{k+1} \succeq_{1} w_{k+1} \succeq_{1} w_{k}
$$

Moreover, $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $C^{1}[0,1]$ to a solution $v$ of and $\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $C^{1}[0,1]$ to a solution $w$ of (20) satisfying

$$
v_{k} \succeq_{1} v_{k+1} \succeq_{1} v \succeq_{1} w \succeq_{1} w_{k+1} \succeq_{1} w_{k}
$$

## 6 Conclusion

Boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations with dependence on a real parameter $\beta$, where $\beta=0$ is a simple eigenvalue, are studied. The concept of a maximum principle in $\beta y^{\prime}$ is defined. Sufficient conditions are obtained such that if $\mathcal{A} y+\beta y^{\prime}=f$ is a representation of the boundary value problem, there exists a punctured neighborhood of $\beta=0$ such that $f \geq 0$ implies $\beta y^{\prime} \geq 0$, where $y$ is the unique solution of $\mathcal{A} y+\beta y^{\prime}=f$. Two examples are provided to illustrate the main theorem and an application of a monotone method is given.

## References

[1] B. Alziary, J. Fleckinger and P. Takáč. An extension of maximum and anti-maximum principles to a Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, J. Differential Equations 156 (1999) 122-152.
[2] D. Arcoya and J.L. Gámez. Bifurcation theory and related problems: anti-maximum principle and resonance, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001) (9-10) 1879-1911.
[3] I.V. Barteneva, A. Cabada and A. O. Ignatyev. Maximum and anti-maximum principles for the general operator of second order with variable coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput. 134 (2003) 173-184.
[4] A. Cabada and J.Á Cid. On comparison principles for the periodic Hill's equation. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 86 (1) (2012) 272-290.
[5] A. Cabada, Alberto, J.Á Cid and L. López-Somoza. Maximum Principles for the Hill's Equation. Academic Press, London, 2018.
[6] A. Cabada, Alberto, J.Á Cid and M. Tvrdý. A generalized anti-maximum principle for the periodic one-dimensional $p$-Lapacian with sign changing potential. Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) (7-8) 3434-3446.
[7] J. Campos, J. Mawhin and R. Ortega. Maximum principles around an eigenvalue with constant eigenfunctions. Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (6) (2008) 1243-1259.
[8] Ph. Clément and L.A. Peletier. An anti-maximum principle for second-order elliptic operators. J. Differential Equations 34 (1979) 218-229.
[9] Ph. Clément and G. Sweers. Uniform anti-maximum principles. J. Differential Equations 164 (2000) 118-154.
[10] P. Hess. An antimaximum principle for linear elliptic equations with an indefinite weight function, J. Differential Equations 41 (1981) 369-374.
[11] G. Infante, P. Pietramala and F.A.F. Tojo. Nontivial solutions of local and nonlocal Neumann boundary value problems, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 146 (2) (2016) 337-369.
[12] J. Mawhin. Partial differential equations also have principles: Maximum and antimaximum, Contemporary Mathematics 540 (2011) 1-13.
[13] Y. Pinchover. Maximum and anti-maximum principles and eigenfunctions estimates via perturbation theory of positive solutions of elliptic equations. Math. Ann. 314 (1999) 555590.
[14] M. H. Protter and H. Weinberger. Maximum Principles in Differential Equations. Prentice Hall, Englewoods Cliffs, N.J., 1967.
[15] P. Takác̆. An abstract form of maximum and anti-maximum principles of Hopf's type. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 201 (1996) 339-364.
[16] M. Zhang. Optimal conditions for maximum and antimaximum principles of the periodic solution problem. Bound. Value Probl. (2010), Art. ID 410986, 26 pp.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author: mailto:peloe1@udayton.edu

