Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory, 24 (4) (2024) [400–](#page-0-0)[409](#page-8-0)

On the Synchronization of a Novel Chaotic System with Two Control Methods

Lakehal Nadjet ¹ and Fareh Hannachi ²[∗]

¹ Laboratory of Mathematics, Informatics and Systems (LAMIS), Echahid Cheikh Larbi Tebessi University - Tebessa, Algeria. ² Echahid Cheikh Larbi Tebessi University - Tebessa, Algeria.

Received: January 14, 2024; Revised: July 17, 2024

Abstract: This paper reports a new chaotic system and its synchronization via active and adaptive control methods. The novel system is presented and its chaoticity is confirmed using the Lyapunov exponents tool. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that the new system possesses the property of co-existing attractors. Moreover, two control methods are employed: active control and adaptive control. By designing appropriate controllers and estimation laws based on the stability theory of integer-order systems, we achieve synchronization between chaotic systems. Finally, numerical simulations are implemented to demonstrate the effectiveness and flexibility of the synchronization controllers and the estimation laws for the two methods.

Keywords: chaotic system; strange attractor; Lyapunov exponent; Lyapunov stability theory; adaptive control; synchronization.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34D08, 34C28, 37B55, 37B25, 37D45, 70K20, 93D05, 93D21.

1 Introduction

The chaos theory deals with the dynamical behavior of nonlinear dynamical systems which are highly sensitive to initial conditions and system parameters. Recently, chaos theory has achieved great development and has been successfully applied in many fields such as electronic engineering [1], computer science [2], communication systems [3, 4], medical image processing [1,2], complex networks [5], chemical engineering [6], investigation of HIV virus [28] and economic models [7]. By now, numerous chaotic systems with different types of attractors have been proposed and studied, for example those with

[∗] Corresponding author: [mailto:fareh.hannachi@univ-tebessa.dz](mailto: fareh.hannachi@univ-tebessa.dz)

[©]2024 InforMath Publishing Group/1562-8353 (print)/1813-7385 (online)/<http://e-ndst.kiev.ua>400

self-excited attractors [8,9], hidden attractors [10,11,12], coexisting attractors [13,14], infinitely many shifted attractors [15,16], multi scroll attractors [17,18], memristor attractors [19,20] and chaotic systems with fractional orders [11,21]. In the past few decades, chaos synchronization has received great attention owing to its applications in designing secure communication system. Synchronization of chaotic systems is a topic of interest in the field of nonlinear dynamics. It involves the design of control strategies that can make two or more chaotic systems behave identically or follow a certain pattern over time. Some of the methods used for chaotic synchronization include active control, adaptive control, fuzzy sliding mode control, sliding mode controller [22-25], backstepping neural network method [26], observer-based synchronization [27] and so on. This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the new chaotic system, its phase plots and equilibrium points. Section 3 describes the dynamic analysis of the new chaotic system including multistability and coexisting attractors. Moreover, the synchronization of the chaotic system with two control methods is realized by designing appropriate active and adaptive controllers and estimation laws using the stability theory of integer-order systems in Section 4. In Section 5, numerical simulations are implemented to demonstrate the effectiveness and flexibility of the synchronization controllers and the estimation laws for the two methods. The conclusion is given in the last section.

2 Description and Analysis of System

The new chaotic system has three positive parameters, cosine and nonlinear terms described by

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}_1 = a(-x_1 \cos(x_2) + x_2), \\
\dot{x}_2 = -bx_1 - x_3, \\
\dot{x}_3 = -x_1(1 - x_2^2) + cx_3,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

where $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$ are the states and a, b, c are the positive constants.

Figure 1: Strange Attractor of New Hyperchaotic System.

2.1 Equilibrium points

Putting equations of the system (1) equal to zero, i.e.,

$$
\begin{cases}\n a(-x_1 \cos(x_2) + x_2) = 0, \\
 -bx_1 - x_3 = 0, \\
 -x_1(1 - x_2^2) + cx_3 = 0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

402 LAKEHAL NADJET AND FAREH HANNACHI

then we can verify the following:

– If $1 + bc \leq 0$, the system (1) has only one equilibrium point $p_0 = (0, 0, 0)$,

– If $1 + bc > 0$ and $1 + bc \neq (k\frac{\pi}{2})^2$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, the system (1) has three equilibrium points:

$$
\begin{cases}\nP_0 = (0, 0, 0), \\
P_1 = (\frac{\sqrt{1+bc}}{\cos(\sqrt{1+bc})}, \sqrt{1+bc}, -\frac{b\sqrt{1+bc}}{\cos(\sqrt{1+bc})}), \\
P_2 = (-\frac{\sqrt{1+bc}}{\cos(\sqrt{1+bc})}, -\sqrt{1+bc}, \frac{b\sqrt{1+bc}}{\cos(\sqrt{1+bc})}).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3)

In order to check the stability of the equilibrium points, we derive the Jacobian matrix at a point $p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ of the system (1).

$$
J(p) = \begin{pmatrix} -acos(x_2) & ax_1sin(x_2) + a & 0 \\ -b & 0 & -1 \\ -1 + x_2^2 & 2x_1x_2 & c \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (4)

Therefore, the characteristic equation of J is obtained as

$$
\lambda^3 + \eta_1 \lambda^2 + \eta_2 \lambda + \eta_3 = 0. \tag{5}
$$

Based on the Routh-Hurwitz theorem, p is a stable point if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{cases} \eta_1 > 0, \eta_2 > 0, \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 > \eta_3. \end{cases} \tag{6}
$$

When $a = 1.2, b = c = 0.46$, system (1) has the following equilibria:

$$
\begin{cases}\n p_0 = (0, 0, 0), \\
 p_1 = (1.1007, 1.1007, 0.5063), \\
 p_2 = (-1.1007, -1.1007, 0.5063).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(7)

The corresponding eigenvalues and their stability are given in the following table.

3 Dynamic Analysis of the New Chaotic System (1)

3.1 Lyapunouv-exponent and Kaplan-York dimension

In this section, we will prove that the new system (1) is chaotic by calculating the Lyapunov exponents using the Wolf-Swift algorithm [29]. Simulation in Matlab gives us the following Lyapunov exponents:

$$
L_1 = 0.153564, L_2 = 0, L_3 = -0.378558.
$$
\n⁽⁸⁾

Also, the Kaplan-York dimension of system (1) is given by

$$
D_L = 2 + \frac{1}{|L_3|} \sum_{i=1}^{2} L_i = 2.40565. \tag{9}
$$

Since L_1, L_2 are positive Lyapunov exponents and $\sum_{i=1}^{3} L_i < 0$, the novel system (1) is a chaotic dissipative system with fractal dimension.

Figure 2: Lyapunouv exponent spectrum.

3.2 Multistability in the new 3-D chaotic sytem (1)

Multistability in chaotic systems refers to a phenomenon where a system can exhibit multiple stable states, or attractors, under certain conditions. This means that the system can evolve into different, distinct chaotic behaviors depending on its initial conditions or external parameters. In order to study the coexistence attractors and other characteristics of the system better, it is necessary to give some disturbance to the initial conditions under the condition of keeping the system parameters constant. The proposed 3D chaotic system (1) has symmetrical attractors with respect to the origine (equilibrum point $(0, 0, 0)$ because it is invariant under the coordinate transformation

$$
(x_1, x_2, x_3) \longrightarrow (-x_1, -x_2, -x_3). \tag{10}
$$

Fig.3 shows the dynamic behavior with coexistence chaotic attractors with different initial conditions.

Figure 3: Coexistence of two attractors with different initial values and parameters.

4 Identical Synchronization with Two Method

The synchronization error state is define by

$$
e(t) = Y(t) - X(t),
$$

where $Y(t) = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ and $X(t) = (x_1, x_2, x_3), e(t) = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$. The error dynamics is obtained as

$$
\dot{e}(t) = \dot{Y}(t) - \dot{X}(t).
$$

The drive system is described by

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}_1 = a(-x_1 \cos(x_2) + x_2), \\
\dot{x}_2 = -bx_1 - x_3, \\
\dot{x}_3 = -x_1(1 - x_2^2) + cx_3.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(11)

The response system is

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{y}_1 = a(-y_1 \cos(y_2) + y_2) + u_1, \\
\dot{y}_2 = -by_1 - y_3 + u_2, \\
\dot{y}_3 = -y_1(1 - y_2^2) + cy_3 + u_3,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(12)

where $U(t) = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ is the controller.

The synchronization error of system (11) and (12) is described as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{e}_1 = ae_2 + a(x_1 \cos(x_2) - y_1 \cos(y_2)) + u_1, \\
\dot{e}_2 = -be_1 - e_3 + u_2, \\
\dot{e}_3 = -e_1 + ce_3 + y_1 y_2^2 - x_1 x_2^2 + u_3.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(13)

4.1 Active Control Method

The controller system is constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}\nu_1 = -ae_2 - a(x_1 \cos(x_2) - y_1 \cos(y_2)) - \dot{e_1}, \\
u_2 = be_1 + e_3 - \dot{e_2}, \\
u_3 = e_1 - ce_3 - y_1 y_2^2 + x_1 x_2^2 - \dot{e_3},\n\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases} \dot{e_1} = -k_1 e_1, \\ \dot{e_2} = -k_2 e_2, \\ \dot{e_3} = -k_3 e_3, \end{cases}
$$

with $k_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$, being the constant gains. The controller system is

$$
\begin{cases}\nu_1 = ae_2 - a(x_1 \cos(x_2) - y_1 \cos(y_2)) - k_1 e_1, \\
u_2 = be_1 + e_3 - k_2 e_2, \\
u_3 = e_1 - ce_3 - y_1 y_2^2 + x_1 x_2^2 - k_3 e_3.\n\end{cases} (14)
$$

Substituting (14) into (13), we can obtain

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{e}_1 = -k_1 e_1, \\
\dot{e}_2 = -k_2 e_2, \\
\dot{e}_3 = -k_3 e_3.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(15)

It is clear from (15) that the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of the error system are negative, thus the zero solution of the errors systems is asymptotically stable, i.e., $\lim_{t\to\infty} |e(t)| = 0$. Hence the synchronization using active control between systems (11) and (12) is achieved.

Figure 4: Synchronization between $x_i, y_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, using active control.

Figure 5: The time-history of the synchronization errors $e_1(t)$, $e_2(t)$, $e_3(t)$ using active control.

4.2 Adaptive control method

We choose system (11) as the driver system and (12) as the response system; similarly, the synchronization error is defined as $\dot{e}(t) = \dot{Y}(t) - \dot{X}(t)$, then system [\(13\)](#page-4-2) is the synchronization error system. The controller system is constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}\nu_1 = -\hat{a}e_2 - \hat{a}(x_1 \cos(x_2) - y_1 \cos(y_2)) - \dot{e}_1, \\
u_2 = \hat{b}e_1 + e_3 - \dot{e}_2, \\
u_3 = e_1 - \hat{c}e_3 - y_1 y_2^2 + x_1 x_2^2 - \dot{e}_3.\n\end{cases} (16)
$$

406 LAKEHAL NADJET AND FAREH HANNACHI

Substituting (16) into (13), we obtain the error dynamics

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{e}_1 = (a - \hat{a})e_2 + (a - \hat{a})(x_1 \cos(x_2) - y_1 \cos(y_2)) - k_1 e_1, \\
\dot{e}_2 = (b - \hat{b})e_1 - e_3 - k_2 e_2, \\
\dot{e}_3 = -e_1 + (c - \hat{c})e_3 + y_1 y_2^2 - x_1 x_2^2 - k_3 e_3.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(17)

Let us now define the parameter estimation error as $e_a = a - \hat{a}$, $e_b = b - \hat{b}$, $e_c = c - \hat{c}$. The error dynamics simplifies to

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{e}_1 = e_a e_2 + e_a (x_1 \cos(x_2) - y_1 \cos(y_2)) - k_1 e_1, \\
\dot{e}_2 = -e_b e_1 - k_2 e_2, \\
\dot{e}_3 = e_c e_3 - k_3 e_3.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(18)

Now, consider the Lyapunov stability function V given by

$$
V = \frac{1}{2}(e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2 + e_a^2 + e_b^2 + e_c^2),
$$
\n(19)

then

$$
\dot{V} = (e_1\dot{e}_1 + e_2\dot{e}_2 + \dot{e}_3e_3 + \dot{e}_a e_a + \dot{e}_b e_b + \dot{e}_c e_c)
$$
\n(20)

with

$$
\dot{e_a} = -\hat{a}, \dot{e_b} = -\hat{b}, \dot{e_c} = -\hat{c}.\tag{21}
$$

In view of Eq. (20), the parameter update law can be chosen as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\hat{a} = e_1 e_2 + e_1 (x_1 \cos(x_2) - y_1 \cos(y_2)) + k_4 e_a, \\
\hat{b} = -e_1 e_2 + k_5 e_b, \\
\hat{c} = e_c e_3 + k_c e_c.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(22)

Consequently,

$$
\dot{V} = -k_1 e_1^2 - k_2 e_2^2 - k_3 e_3^2 - k_4 e_a^2 - k_5 e_b^2 - k_6 e_c^2 < 0,\tag{23}
$$

which is a negative definite function. Using the Lyapunov stability theory [30], we conclude that the closed-loop system (18) is globally asymptotically stable for all initial values of the error signals e_1, e_2, e_3 by using the adaptive controller (16) and the update parameter law (22). It proves that the adaptive synchronization between the drive and response system is achieved.

5 Numerical Simulation

In order to verify our results, we applied the fourth order Runge-Kutta method in Matlab with the step size $h = 10^{-6}$ to solve the systems of differential equations (11) , (12) and (13) for the active contol method with the initial conditions $X_0(1, 1, -0.5), Y_0(-2, -1, 1), e_0(-3, -2, 1.5)$ and the systems of differential equations (11) , (12) , (17) and (22) for the adaptive control method with the initial conditions $X_0(0.1, 0.2, -0.5), Y_0(-0.5, -0.2, 0.1), e_0(-0.6, -0.4, 0.6), (a_0, b_0, c_0) = (1, 0.5, 0.5), k_1 =$ $k_2 = k_3 = 1$. The parameter values of systems are taken as in the chaotic case $a = 1.2, b = 0.46, c = 0.46$. In Figs.4, 6, the synchronization between the states x_i and y_i , $i = 1, 3$, is depicted. In Figs.5, 7, the time-history of the synchronization errors $e_1(t), e_2(t), e_3(t)$ is depicted for the two used control methods, respectively.

Figure 6: Synchronization between $x_i, y_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, using adaptive control.

Figure 7: The time-history of the synchronization errors $e_1(t)$, $e_2(t)$, $e_3(t)$ using adaptive control.

6 Conclusion

This paper introduces a new chaotic system and proposes two control methods, active control and adaptive control, for synchronization using this system. We confirm the chaotic nature of the system using the Lyapunov exponents and demonstrate the coexistence of attractors. For active control, we design a controller based on the stability theory of integer-order systems to achieve synchronization. For adaptive control, we develop an estimation law to estimate the unknown parameters of the system and achieve synchronization. Numerical simulations are conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness and flexibility of both control methods.

The main important points in this work are:

- A novel chaotic system is presented and its chaoticity is confirmed using the Lyapunouv exponents.
- The synchronization between identical 3-D chaotic systems using two different control methods is achieved by designing appropriate active and adaptive controllers and estimation laws using the stability theory of integer-order systems.

The results achieved through the study of the novel chaotic system and the proposed control methods can be applied to various fields that involve chaotic systems, for example secure communication systems and encryption and decryption algorithms, Biological modeling and simulation of chaos-based robotics, making further research of the system particularly relevant. As such, they will be given due consideration in future work.

408 LAKEHAL NADJET AND FAREH HANNACHI

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the editor-in-chief and the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] J. H. B. Deane and D. C. Hamill. Instability, Subharmonics, and Chaos in Power Electronic Systems. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics 5 (3) (1990) 260–268.
- [2] T. Munakata, S. Sinha and W. L. Ditto. Chaos computing: implementation of fundamental logical gates by chaotic elements. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications 49 (11) (2002) 1629–1633.
- [3] L. Xiong, Z. Liu, X. Zhang. Dynamical Analysis, Synchronization, Circuit Design, and Secure Communication of a Novel Hyperchaotic System. Complexity 2017 (1) (2017) 4962739.
- [4] J. Sun, Y. Shen, Q. Yin and C. Xu. Compound synchronization of four memristor chaotic oscillator systems and secure communication. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science 23 (1) 2013.
- [5] J. Lu and G. Chen. A time-varying complex dynamical network model and its controlled synchronization criteria. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Transactions on Automatic Control 50 (6) (2005) 841–846.
- [6] S. Vaidyanathan. Adaptive synchronization of chemical chaotic reactors. International Journal of ChemTech Research 8 (2) (2015) 612–621.
- [7] Y. Okuyama and S. E. Chang. Modeling spatial and economic impacts of disasters. In: Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [8] G. Xu, Y. Shekofteh, A. Akgül, et al. A new chaotic system with a self-excited attractor: Entropy measurement, signal encryption, and parameter estimation. *Entropy* 20 (2) (2018) 86.
- [9] Z. Faghani, F. Nazarimehr, S. Jafari, et al. Simple chaotic systems with specific analytical solutions. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 29 (09) (2019) 1950116.
- [10] V.T. Pham, C. Volos, S. Jafari, et al. A chaotic system with different families of hidden attractors. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 26 (08) (2016) 1650139.
- [11] A. Ouannas, A.A. Khennaoui, S. Momani, et al. Hidden attractors in a new fractional–order discrete system: Chaos, complexity, entropy, and control. Chinese Physics B 29 (5) (2020) 050504.
- [12] R. Rameshbabu, K. Kavitha, P. S. Gomathi and K. Kalaichelvi. A New Hidden Attractor Hyperchaotic System and Its Circuit Implementation, Adaptive Synchronization and FPGA Implementation. Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory 23 (2) (2023) 214–2264.
- [13] Q. Lai, Z. Wan, P.D.K. Kuate, et al. Coexisting attractors, circuit implementation and synchronization control of a new chaotic system evolved from the simplest memristor chaotic circuit. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 89 (2020) 105341.
- [14] Q. Lai, Z. Wan and P.D.K. Kuate. Modelling and circuit realisation of a new no-equilibrium chaotic system with hidden attractor and coexisting attractors. Electronics Letters 56 (20) (2020) 1044–1046.
- [15] Q. Lai, P.D.K. Kuate, H. Pei, et al. Infinitely many coexisting attractors in no-equilibrium chaotic system. Complexity 2020 (1) (2020) 8175639.
- [16] J. Wen and J. Wang. A chaotic system with infinite attractors based on memristor. Frontiers in Physics 10 (2022) 902500.

- [17] K. Sugandha and P.P. Singh. Generation of a multi-scroll chaotic system via smooth state transformation. Journal of Computational Electronics 21 (4) (2022) 781–791.
- [18] S. Liu, Y. Wei, J. Liu, et al. Multi-scroll chaotic system model and its cryptographic application. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 30 (13) (2020) Article ID 2050186.
- [19] D. Yan, L. Wang, S. Duan, et al. Chaotic attractors generated by a memristor-based chaotic system and Julia fractal. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 146 (2021) 110773.
- [20] J. Sun, X. Zhao, J. Fang, et al. Autonomous memristor chaotic systems of infinite chaotic attractors and circuitry realization. Nonlinear Dynamics 94 (2018) 2879–2887.
- [21] A. Rami and H. Fareh. A novel Fractional-order chaotic system and its synchronization via adaptive control method. Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory 23 (4) (2023) 359–366.
- [22] S. Vaidyanathan. Sliding mode controller design for the global stabilization of chaotic systems and its application to Vaidyanathan jerk system. Advances and Applications in Chaotic Systems. Springer (2016) 537–552.
- [23] J. Mostafaee, S. Mobayen, B. Vaseghi, et al. Finite–time synchronization of a new five–dimensional hyper–chaotic system via terminal sliding mode control. Scientia Iranica 30 (1) (2021) 167-182.
- [24] S. Vaidyanathan, V.T. Pham and C.K. Volos. Adaptive backstepping control, synchronization and circuit simulation of a novel jerk chaotic system with a quartic nonlinearity. Advances and Applications in Chaotic Systems. Springer (2016) 109–135.
- [25] T. Niknam and M.H. Khooban. Fuzzy sliding mode control scheme for a class of non-linear uncertain chaotic systems. IET Science, Measurement and Technology 7(5) (2013) 249–255.
- [26] G. Ma, C. Chen, Y. Lyu and Y. Guo. Adaptive backstepping-based neural network control for hypersonic reentry vehicle with input constraints. IEEE Access 6 (2017) 1954–1966.
- [27] J. Kabziński and P. Mosiolek. Adaptive, observer-based synchronization of different chaotic systems. Applied Sciences 12(7) (2022) 3394.
- [28] F. Parastesh, et al. A simple chaotic model for development of HIV virus. Scientia Iranica. Special issue on collective behavior of nonlinear dynamical networks (2021) 1643–1652.
- [29] A. Wolf, J. B. Swift, H. L. Swinney and J. A. Vastano. Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time series. Physica D: nonlinear phenomena $\bf{16}$ (3) (1985) 285-317.
- [30] W. Hahn. *Stability of Motion*. Springer, Berlin, New York, 1967.