Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory, 25 (1) (2025) 78-90



## General Stability Result for a Nonlinear Viscoelastic Wave Equation With Boundary Dissipation

B. Madjour<sup>\*</sup> and A. Boudiaf

Applied Mathematics Laboratory, Sétif 1 University, SETIF, 19000, Algeria.

Received: April 13, 2024; Revised: January 17, 2025

**Abstract:** In this paper, we consider a model of a dynamic viscoelastic wave equation with a nonlinear source and boundary dissipation. Our fundamental goal is to establish the general decay rates of the energy solutions under a class of generality of the relaxation function  $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the inequality  $g'(t) \leq -H(g(t))$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where H is a function satisfying some specific properties. This work extends the previous works with a viscoelastic wave equation and improves earlier results in the literature.

Keywords: viscoelastic wave equation; relaxation function; general decay; convexity. Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 35B37, 93D15, 93D20, 74D05, 35L55.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the following nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - k_0 \Delta u + \int_0^t g\left(t - s\right) div(a(x) \nabla u\left(s\right)) ds + b\left(x\right) u_t = |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ k_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \int_0^t g\left(t - s\right) \left(a\left(x\right) \nabla u\left(s\right)\right) \nu ds + h\left(u_t\right) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u\left(x, 0\right) = u_0\left(x\right), u_t\left(x, 0\right) = u_1\left(x\right), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $k_0 > 0$ , and  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$   $(n \ge 1)$  with a smooth boundary  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$ . Hence  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  are closed and disjoint with  $mes(\Gamma_0) > 0$  and  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal to  $\Gamma$ .  $b : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a function, and

$$2 (2)$$

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: bilel.madjour@univ-setif.dz

<sup>© 2025</sup> InforMath Publishing Group/1562-8353 (print)/1813-7385 (online)/http://e-ndst.kiev.ua 78