



# Numerical Research of Periodic Solutions for a Class of Noncoercive Hamiltonian Systems

M. Timoumi

*Dpt of Mathematics-Faculty of Sciences-5000 Monastir-Tunisia*

Received: July 30, 2012; Revised: July 11, 2013

**Abstract:** In this paper, we are interested in the existence of periodic solutions and approximative solutions to the Hamiltonian system  $\dot{x} = JH'(t, x)$  when  $H$  is non-coercive of the type  $H(t, r, p) = G(p - Ar) + h(t) \cdot (r, p)$ . For the proof we use the Dual Action Principle and Critical Point Theory.

**Keywords:** *Hamiltonian systems; periodic solutions; non-coercive; dual action principle; discrete dual action principle; critical point theory; numerical research.*

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 34K28, 34K07, 34C25, 35A15.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously differentiable function such that  $G' : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be an homeomorphism. Let  $A$  be a matrix of order  $n$  and  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous  $T$ -periodic ( $T > 0$ ) function with zero mean value. Consider the non-coercive Hamiltonian

$$H(t, r, p) = G(p - Ar) + h(t) \cdot (r, p).$$

Here  $x \cdot y$  is the usual inner product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . We are interested in the boundary value problem

$$\dot{x} = JH'(t, x) \tag{H}$$

with

$$x(0) = x(T). \tag{C}$$

The goal of this work is to prove the existence of solutions to the problem (H)(C) and to approximate these solutions.

---

Corresponding author: [mailto:m\\_timoumi@yahoo.com](mailto:m_timoumi@yahoo.com)

For  $T$  and  $h$  given, we define the dual action integral  $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  as

$$\varphi(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T Jv \cdot \pi v dt + \int_0^T H_0^*(v - h) dt,$$

where  $H_0(r, p) = G(p - Ar)$ ,  $H_0^*$  is the Fenchel's transformation of  $H_0$  and  $E$  is the closed subspace of  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  defined by:

$$E = \left\{ v \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / \int_0^T v(t) dt = 0 \right\}.$$

Under some suitable assumptions on  $G$ , we will prove, in Section 2, that the problem  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$  has at least one solution and is equivalently to the following problem:

$$\text{find } v \in E \text{ such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial}\varphi(v), \quad (\mathcal{R})$$

where we introduce the notation  $\bar{\partial}$  to distinguish the sub differential in  $E$  and in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . In Section 3, we will introduce some problems  $(\mathcal{H}_N)(\mathcal{C}_N)$ ,  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$ ,  $(\mathcal{P}_N)$  defined in a finite dimensional space and related together by a discret dual action principle. In Section 4, we will study the existence of solutions to problem  $(\mathcal{P}_N)$ , which give solutions to problem  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$ . In Section 5, we will study some convergence problems related to this discretisation. We want to know if the differences system  $(\mathcal{H}_N)$  is near to system  $(\mathcal{H})$  for example for a very large integer  $N$ . In Section 6, we will give an example of application and in Section 7, we will conclude this work.

## 2 Existence of Periodic Solutions

Let  $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously differentiable convex function,  $A$  be a symmetric matrix of order  $n$  and  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  be a continuous  $T$ -periodic function with zero mean value on  $[0, T]$ . Consider the assumptions:

### Assumption 2.1

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} G(x) = +\infty. \quad (G_1)$$

**Assumption 2.2** There exist  $\alpha \in ]0, \frac{\pi}{T(1+|A|^2)}[$  and  $\beta \geq 0$  such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, G(x) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |x|^2 + \beta, \quad (G_2),$$

where  $|A|$  is the usual norm of  $A$ . Consider the non-coercive sub-quadratic Hamiltonian:

$$H(t, r, p) = G(p - Ar) + h \cdot (r, p).$$

We are interested in the existence of solutions for the boundary value problem

$$\dot{x} = JH'(t, x) \quad (\mathcal{H})$$

with

$$x(0) = x(T), \quad (\mathcal{C})$$

where  $H'$  is the derivative of  $H$  with respect to the second variable  $x$  and  $J$  is the standard  $(2n \times 2n)$  symplectic matrix:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of order  $n$ .

**Example 2.1** Consider a relativistic particle with a very small charge  $e$  and rest mass  $m_0$ , subject to a uniform constant magnetic field  $B$  and a uniform electric field  $E(t)$ . The energy expressed as a function of  $(t, r, p)$ , i.e. a Hamiltonian, is given by

$$H(t, r, p) = c \left[ m_0^2 c^2 + \left| p - \frac{e}{2} B(t) \wedge r \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - E(t) \cdot r,$$

where  $c$  is the velocity of light,  $p$  the usual mechanical momentum of particle and  $r$  is its position. The particle motion is described by the associated Hamiltonian system  $(\mathcal{H})$ .

The function

$$H_0(r, p) = G(p - Ar)$$

is convex and its Fenchel’s transformation  $H_0^*$  is given for all  $(s, q) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by (see [7])

$$H_0^*(s, q) = \begin{cases} G^*(q), & \text{if } s + A^*q = 0, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } s + A^*q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the functional

$$\psi(y) = \int_0^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} J \dot{y} \cdot y + H_0^*(\dot{y} - h) \right] dt \tag{2.1}$$

defined over the space

$$\{y \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / y(0) = y(T)\}.$$

Note that, from the periodicity condition:

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \psi(y + \xi) = \psi(y),$$

the true variable in (2.1) is  $\dot{y}$  and we can choose for  $y$  any primitive we like. The only condition on  $\dot{y}$  is:

$$\dot{y} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \text{ and } \int_0^T \dot{y} dt = 0.$$

In other terms, we have

$$\psi(y) = \varphi(\dot{y}),$$

where  $\varphi$  is the functional

$$\varphi(v) = \int_0^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} J v \cdot \pi v + H_0^*(v - h) \right] dt$$

defined on the space

$$E = \{v \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / \int_0^T v(t) dt = 0\},$$

where  $\pi v$  is the primitive of  $v$  with zero mean value:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\pi v) = v \text{ and } \int_0^T (\pi v)(t) dt = 0$$

or also

$$(\pi v)(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^r v(s) ds dr.$$

This allows to introduce the following problem:

$$\text{find } v \in E \text{ such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial}\varphi(v). \quad (\mathcal{R})$$

The problems  $(\mathcal{R})$  and  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$  are related by a dual action principle.

**Theorem 2.1** (*Dual action principle*). *Assume that the function  $G$  satisfies  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$  and let  $v \in E$ . Then the two following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$v$  is a solution of problem  $(\mathcal{R})$ ,*
- (ii) *there exists a constant  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  such that the function  $x(t) = J\pi v(t) + \xi$  is a solution of problem  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ .*

**Proof.** To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.  
Consider the functional

$$g(v) = \int_T^0 H_0^*(v - h)dt, \quad v \in E,$$

we have

**Lemma 2.1** *The sub-differential of  $g|_E$  in a point  $v \in E$  where  $g$  has finite value, is given by*

$$\bar{\partial}g(v) = \{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / \exists \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, u(t) + \xi \in \partial H_0^*(v(t) - h(t)) \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

**Proof.** If  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ ,  $v \in E$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  are such that  $u(t) + \xi \in \partial H_0^*(v(t) - h(t))$  a.e., we prove easily that  $u$  is in  $\bar{\partial}g(v)$ . Reversely, it is clear that

$$\bar{\partial}g(v) = \partial(g + \delta_E)(v),$$

where

$$\delta_E(v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v \in E, \\ +\infty, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Since it is clear that  $\partial\delta_E(v)$  is the set of constant functions and it is well known that (see [3])

$$\partial g(v) = \{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / u(t) \in \partial H_0^*(v(t) - h(t)) \text{ a.e.}\},$$

the result will be proved if we have

$$\partial(g + \delta_E)(v) = \partial g(v) + \partial\delta_E(v).$$

Let us establish that  $\partial(g + \delta_E)(v) = \partial g(v) + \partial\delta_E(v)$ . It is enough to prove that  $g^*\nabla\delta_E^*$  is exact (see [1]). By identifying the set of constant functions to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , we see that

$$\delta_E^* = \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}.$$

We deduce that for all  $u$  in  $L^2$ :

$$(g^*\nabla\delta_E^*)(u) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_0^T H_0(u - h + x)dt$$

and by  $(G_2)$ , we obtain

$$0 \leq (g^*\nabla\delta_E^*)(u) \leq \int_0^T H_0(u - h)dt \leq \alpha(1 + |A|^2)\|u - h\|_{L^2}^2 + \beta T. \quad (2.2)$$

By convexity and (2.2), we conclude that  $g^*\nabla\delta_E^*$  is continuous (see [3]). Now, let us write  $u = (r, p)$  and  $h = (h_1, h_2)$ , we have  $(g^*\nabla\delta_E^*)(u) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(\xi)$ , where

$$F(\xi) = \int_0^T G(p - h_2 - A(r - h_1) + \xi)dt.$$

By properties of  $G$ , it is easy to see that  $F$  is continuous and  $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} F(\xi) = +\infty$ . Consequently  $F$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and then  $g^*\nabla\delta_E^*$  is exact. On the other hand,  $g$  and  $\delta_E$  are convex, l.s.c and proper, therefore for all  $v$  in  $E$  where  $g$  is finite, we have

$$\bar{\partial}g(v) = \partial g(v) + \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is complete.

Let  $v \in E$  be such that

$$0 \in \bar{\partial}\varphi(v). \tag{2.3}$$

This is equivalent to

$$0 \in -J\pi v + \bar{\partial}g(v). \tag{2.4}$$

By Lemma 2.1, formula (2.4) is equivalent to the existence of  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  satisfying

$$J(\pi v)(t) + \xi \in \partial H_0^*(v(t) - h(t)) \text{ a.e.} \tag{2.5}$$

Let us put  $x(t) = J\pi v(t) + \xi$ . By Fenchel’s reciprocity, formula (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$v(t) - h(t) = H_0'(x(t))$$

or

$$\dot{x}(t) = JH'(t, x(t))$$

and it is clear that  $x$  is  $T$ -periodic. Then  $x$  is a solution of problem  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$  and Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Now, we associate with  $(\mathcal{R})$  the problem:

$$\text{find } \bar{v} \in E \text{ such that } \inf_{v \in E} \varphi(v) = \varphi(\bar{v}). \tag{\mathcal{P}}$$

The problem  $(\mathcal{P})$  allows to give a solution of problem  $(\mathcal{R})$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Assume assumptions  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$  hold, then problem  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$  has at least one solution.*

The proof of Theorem 2.2 follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 and the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** *Problem  $(\mathcal{P})$  possesses a solution: there exists a point  $\bar{v} \in E$  such that*

$$\min_E \varphi = \varphi(\bar{v}).$$

**Proof.** By using assumption  $(G_2)$  and going through the conjugate, we verify that

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, H_0^*(y) \geq \frac{1}{2\alpha(1 + |A|^2)}|y|^2 - \beta. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, by Wirtinger's inequality and using Fourier expansion, we have

$$\forall v \in E, \|\pi v\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.7)$$

We deduce from (2.6), (2.7) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\forall v \in E, \varphi(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha(1+|A|^2)} - \frac{T}{2\pi} \right] \|v\|_{L^2}^2 - \beta T. \quad (2.8)$$

Now, let  $(v_k)$  be a minimising sequence, then by (2.8),  $(v_k)$  is bounded. Since the space  $E$  is reflexive, then there exists a subsequence  $(v_{k_p})$  weakly convergent to a  $\bar{v} \in E$ . It is well known that the functional  $g$  introduced above is l.s.c, so we have

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T H_0^*(v_{k_p} - h) dt \geq \int_0^T H_0^*(\bar{v} - h) dt. \quad (2.9)$$

Elsewhere, the operator  $\pi$  is compact, so

$$\pi v_{k_p} \longrightarrow \pi \bar{v}, \text{ in } \mathbf{L}^2$$

and then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T J v_{k_p} \cdot \pi v_{k_p} dt = \int_0^T J \bar{v} \cdot \pi \bar{v} dt. \quad (2.10)$$

Consequently, we deduce from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$\min_E \varphi = \varphi(\bar{v}).$$

### 3 A Discrete Dual Action Principle

Giving a period  $T > 0$  and a forcing  $h$ , we have defined in the previous section the space  $E = L_0^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  and the functional  $\varphi : E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ . We will write a problem  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$  obtained by writing  $(\mathcal{R})$  not in  $L^2$  but in a finite dimensional space. This will allow us, having put a differences system  $(\mathcal{H}_N)$  and a constraint  $(\mathcal{C}_N)$ , to establish a "discrete dual action principle" connecting  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$  to  $(\mathcal{H}_N)(\mathcal{C}_N)$ .

**Notations.** For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$ , we will adopt the following agreement writing:

$$\begin{cases} x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \text{ where } x_i \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N), \text{ where } x^j \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ x_i^j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

This allows us to define the space

$$E_N = \{v = (r, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN} / \sum_{j=1}^N r^j = \sum_{j=1}^N p^j = 0\}.$$

Let us define on  $\mathbb{R}$  the sequence  $(t^j)_{j=1,2,\dots,N}$  by

$$\begin{cases} t^1 = 0, \quad t^{N+1} = T, \\ t^{j+1} - t^j = \delta = \frac{T}{N}, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

With any vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$ , we can associate a step function  $\tilde{x}$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which will be, by construction,  $T$ -periodic, as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}(t) = x^j, \quad \forall t \in [t^j, t^{j+1}[, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N; \\ \tilde{x}(t + kT) = \tilde{x}(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T[, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Then we can write  $\varphi$  applied to any element  $v = (r, p)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$ . We will denote by  $\varphi_N(v)$  its value (the index  $N$  in  $\varphi$  is to recall that we have calculated  $\varphi$  for elements of  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$ ).

We obtain

$$\varphi_N(v) = \frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^j J v^j \cdot v^k + \delta \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(v^j - h^j).$$

The vector  $h^j$  is obtained by discretising  $h$  with respect to  $(t^j)_{j=1,2,\dots,N}$ , which is possible since  $h$  is  $T$ -periodic.

**Definition 3.1** We recall the problem  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$ :

$$\text{find } v \in E_N \text{ such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial}\varphi_N(v). \tag{\mathcal{R}_N}$$

**Definition 3.2** We will denote by  $w_N = (r_N, p_N)$  the continuous piecewise linear functions, defined with respect to  $(t^j)_{j=1,2,\dots,N}$ . For these functions, we define the differences system

$$-J \frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) - w_N(t^j)}{t^{j+1} - t^j} = H'_0\left(\frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) + w_N(t^j)}{2}\right) + h(t^j), \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \tag{\mathcal{H}_N}$$

Then we look for  $w_N$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{H}_N)$  and the constraint

$$w_N(0) = w_N(T). \tag{\mathcal{C}_N}$$

**Theorem 3.1** (*Discrete dual action principle*). Assume  $G$  satisfies  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$ . Then for  $v \in E_N$  the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $v$  is a solution of  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$ ,
- (ii) there exists a constant  $\xi_N$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  such that the function

$$w_N(t) = J \int_0^t \tilde{v}(\tau) d\tau + \xi_N$$

is a solution of  $(\mathcal{H}_N)(\mathcal{C}_N)$ , where  $\tilde{v}$  is defined with respect to  $v$  as above.

**Proof.** 1) The function  $w_N$  defined in (ii) is a continuous linear piecewise function as in Definition 3.2.

2) Given the definition of  $E_N$ , it is clear that  $w_N$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{C}_N)$  if and only if  $v$  belongs to this space, since

$$\int_0^T \tilde{v}(\tau) d\tau = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} \tilde{v}(\tau) d\tau = \delta \sum_{j=1}^N v^j.$$

3) In the following, we will need the next result:

**Lemma 3.1** *Let*

$$F(v) = \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(v^j),$$

then we have

$$\partial F(v) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN} / u^j \in \partial H_0^*(v^j), \forall j = 1, \dots, N\},$$

where  $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} u \in \partial F(v) &\iff \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN} / F(x) \leq F(v) + (x - v) \cdot u \\ &\iff \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}, \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(x^j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(v^j) + \sum_{j=1}^N (x^j - v^j) \cdot u^j \\ &\implies \forall j = 1, \dots, N, \forall x^j \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, H_0^*(v^1) + \dots + H_0^*(x^j) + \dots + H_0^*(v^N) \\ &\quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(v^j) + (x^j - v^j) \cdot u^j \\ &\implies \forall j = 1, \dots, N, \forall x^j \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, H_0^*(x^j) \leq H_0^*(v^j) + (x^j - v^j) \cdot u^j \\ &\quad \implies \forall j = 1, \dots, N, u^j \in \partial H_0^*(v^j). \end{aligned}$$

Reversely, if  $\forall j = 1, \dots, N, u^j \in \partial H_0^*(v^j)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall j, \forall x^j \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, H_0^*(x^j) \leq H_0^*(v^j) + (x^j - v^j) \cdot u^j \\ &\implies \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}, \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(x^j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(v^j) + \sum_{j=1}^N (x^j - v^j) \cdot u^j \\ &\implies \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}, F(x) \leq F(v) + (x - v) \cdot u \\ &\implies u \in \partial F(v). \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the functional

$$\varphi_N(v) = Q_N(v) + \delta \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(v^j - h^j)$$

defined over the space  $E_N$ , with

$$Q_N(v) = \frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^j Jv^j \cdot v^k.$$

We have

$$Q_N(v) = \frac{\delta^2}{2} [Jv^2 \cdot v^1 + \dots + Jv^N \cdot v^1] + \text{terms without } v^1,$$

so

$$\frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial v^1} = \frac{\delta^2}{2} [Jv^2 + Jv^3 + \dots + Jv^N] = -\frac{\delta^2}{2} Jv^1.$$



Similarly for  $2 \leq j \leq N$ ,

$$Q_N(v) = \frac{\delta^2}{2} [Jv^j \cdot (v^1 + \dots + v^{j-1}) + (Jv^{j+1} + \dots + Jv^N) \cdot v^j] + \text{terms without } v^j,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial v^j} &= \frac{\delta^2}{2} [-J(v^1 + \dots + v^{j-1}) + J(v^{j+1} + \dots + v^N)] \\ &= \frac{\delta^2}{2} [-J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^k - J \sum_{k=1}^j v^k] = -\frac{\delta^2}{2} J(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^k + v^j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\partial\varphi_N(v) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN} / \forall j = 1, \dots, N, u^j \in -\frac{\delta^2}{2} (2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^k + v^j) + \delta\partial H_0^*(v^j - h^j)\}.$$

4) By writing

$$\partial\varphi_N(v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v \in E_N, \\ +\infty, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi_N(v) = \partial(\varphi_N + \delta_{E_N})(v),$$

where we introduce the notation  $\bar{\partial}$  to distinguish the sub-differentials in  $E_N$  and in  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$ .

**Lemma 3.2** *We have*

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi_N(v) = \partial\varphi_N(v) + \partial\delta_{E_N}(v).$$

**Proof.** By writing

$$g_N(v) = \sum_{j=1}^N H_0^*(v^j - h^j),$$

it is enough to prove that  $\bar{\partial}g_N(v) = \partial g_N(v) + \partial\delta_{E_N}(v)$ . It is clear that  $\bar{\partial}g_N(v) = \partial(g_N + \delta_{E_N})(v)$ . The result will be proved if we have

$$\partial(g_N + \delta_{E_N})(v) = \partial g_N(v) + \partial\delta_{E_N}(v).$$

For this, it is enough to prove that  $g_N^* \nabla \delta_{E_N}^*$  is exact. We have  $\delta_{E_N}^* = \delta_{E_N^\perp}$ . Let us determine  $E_N^\perp$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} u = (r, p) \in E_N^\perp &\iff \forall v \in E_N, u \cdot v = 0 \iff \forall (s, q) \in E_N, \sum_{j=1}^N (s^j \cdot r^j + q^j \cdot p^j) = 0 \\ &\implies [\forall i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \forall s^i, s^j \in \mathbb{R}^n, s^i + s^j = 0 \implies s^i \cdot r^i + s^j \cdot r^j = 0] \\ &\implies [\forall i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \forall s^i \in \mathbb{R}^n, s^i \cdot (r^i - r^j) = 0] \\ &\implies \forall i, j = 1, \dots, N, r^i = r^j. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $p^i = p^j$ . Therefore we have

$$(r, p) \in E_N^\perp \implies r^1 = \dots = r^N, p^1 = \dots = p^N.$$

Reversely, if  $(r, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}$  is such that  $r^1 = \dots = r^N$  and  $p^1 = \dots = p^N$ , then

$$\forall (s, q) \in E_N, (s, q) \cdot (r, p) = \sum_{j=1}^N s^j \cdot r^j + \sum_{j=1}^N q^j \cdot p^j = \left( \sum_{j=1}^N s^j \right) \cdot r^1 + \left( \sum_{j=1}^N q^j \right) \cdot p^1 = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$E_N^\perp = \{(r, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN} / r^1 = \dots = r^N, p^1 = \dots = p^N\}.$$

For  $u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_N^* \nabla \delta_{E_N}^*)(u) &= \inf_{u_1 + u_2 = u} (g_N^*(u_1) + \delta_{E_N}^*(u_2)) = \inf_{\xi \in E_N^\perp} g_N^*(u + \xi) = \inf_{\xi \in E_N^\perp} \sum_{j=1}^N H_0(u^j + \xi^j) \\ &= \inf_{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \sum_{j=1}^N H_0(u^j + (x, y)) = \inf_{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \sum_{j=1}^N G(u_2^j - Au_1^j + y - Ax) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} K(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u^j = (u_1^j, u_2^j)$  and

$$K(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N G(u_2^j - Au_1^j + x).$$

Since  $K$  is continuous and goes to infinity as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $K$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.

We have  $\partial \delta_{E_N}(v) = E_N^\perp$  then  $\bar{\partial} \varphi_N(v) = \partial \varphi_N(v) + E_N^\perp$ . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u \in \bar{\partial} \varphi_N(v) &\iff u \in \partial \varphi_N(v) + E_N^\perp \\ &\iff \exists \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} / \begin{cases} u^1 \in \frac{-\delta^2}{2} Jv^1 + \xi + \delta \partial H_0^*(v^1 - h^1) \\ u^j \in \frac{-\delta^2}{2} J(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v^k + v^j) + \xi + \delta \partial H_0^*(v^j - h^j), \forall j = 2, \dots, N. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

5)  $v$  is a critical point of  $\varphi_N$  if and only if there exists a constant  $\xi_N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \in \frac{-\delta^2}{2} J\bar{v}_1 - \xi_N + \delta \partial H_0^*(\bar{v}^1 - h^1), \\ 0 \in \frac{-\delta^2}{2} J(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{v}^k + \bar{v}^j) - \xi_N + \delta \partial H_0^*(\bar{v}^j - h^j), \forall j = 2, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

$\iff \exists \xi_N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \xi_N + \frac{\delta}{2} J\bar{v}^1 \in \partial H_0^*(\bar{v}^1 - h^1), \\ \xi_N + \frac{\delta}{2} J(2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{v}^k + \bar{v}^j) \in \partial H_0^*(\bar{v}^j - h^j), \forall j = 2, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Let us associate with  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}$ , the step function  $\tilde{v}$  and the continuous piecewise linear function  $w_N$  defined by

$$w_N(t) = J \int_0^t \tilde{v}(\tau) d\tau + \xi_N.$$

In particular, we have

$$w_N(t^{j+1}) = J \int_0^{t^{j+1}} \tilde{v}(\tau) d\tau + \xi_N = J \sum_{k=1}^j \int_{T^k}^{t^{k+1}} \tilde{v}(\tau) d\tau + \xi_N = \delta J \sum_{k=1}^j v^k + \xi_N,$$

which implies

$$\begin{cases} w_N(t^{j+1}) - w_N(t^j) = \delta J \tilde{v}(t^j), \\ w_N(t^{j+1}) + w_N(t^j) = 2[\frac{\delta}{2}(2J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \tilde{v}(t^k) + \tilde{v}(t^j)) + \xi_N]. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{cases} w_N(t^{j+1}) - w_N(t^j) = \delta J \tilde{v}(t^j), \\ w_N(t^{j+1}) + w_N(t^j) \in 2\partial H_0^*(v^j - h^j). \end{cases}$$

This yields

$$\frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) + w_N(t^j)}{2} \in \partial H_0^*(-J \frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) - w_N(t^j)}{t^{j+1} - t^j} - h(t^j)).$$

By using Fenchel’s reciprocity formula, we obtain

$$-J \frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) - w_N(t^j)}{t^{j+1} - t^j} = H_0'(\frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) + w_N(t^j)}{2}) + h(t^j).$$

#### 4 Existence Results

To resolve the problem  $(\mathcal{H}_N)(\mathcal{C}_N)$ , it suffices, by using Section 3, to find a point  $\bar{v}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$  solution of  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$ , i.e.

$$\text{find } \bar{v} \in E_N \text{ such that } 0 \in \bar{\partial} \varphi_N(\bar{v}). \tag{\mathcal{R}_N}$$

For this, we can study the existence of a minimum to the associate problem

$$\text{find } \bar{v} \in E_N \text{ satisfying } \inf_{v \in E_N} \varphi_N(v) = \varphi_N(\bar{v}). \tag{\mathcal{P}_N}$$

Assume that  $G$  and  $h$  satisfy the assumptions of Section 2.

**Remark 4.1** In Section 3, we have seen that we can associate with a point  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$  a step function  $\tilde{v}$  defined from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  by the relations:

$$\begin{cases} (i) \tilde{v}(t) = v^j, \forall t \in [t^j, t^{j+1}[, \forall j = 1, \dots, N, \\ (ii) \tilde{v}(t + kT) = \tilde{v}(t), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall t \in [0, T[. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

It is easy to see that the restriction  $\tilde{v}|_{[0, T]}$  of  $\tilde{v}$  to  $[0, T]$  is in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .

**Definition 4.1** 1) Denote by  $F_N$  the subset of  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  defined by

$$F_N = \{\omega \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / \omega \text{ verifies (4.1)}\},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} (i) \omega \text{ is defined for all } t \in [0, T], \\ (ii) \omega(t) = \omega^j, \forall t \in [t^j, t^{j+1}[, \forall j = 1, \dots, N, \\ (iii) \omega(T) = \omega^1 = \omega(0). \end{cases}$$

Firstly, remark that  $F_N$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .

2) Denote by  $\eta_N$  the function defined from  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$  into  $F_N$

$$\eta_N(v) = \tilde{v}|_{[0, T]}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}.$$

Remark that

$$\varphi_N(v) = \varphi(\eta_N(v)).$$

**Lemma 4.1** *The function  $\eta_N$  establishes a diffeomorphism between  $F_N$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$ , so we can identify  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$  with  $F_N$ .*

**Proof.** Since the partition  $(t^j)_{j=1, \dots, N}$  is fixed, then  $\eta_N$  is a differentiable linear map and we can verify easily that it is invertible.

**Lemma 4.2**  *$\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$  can be provided with the topology obtained by diffeomorphism from the topology induced from  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  on  $F_N$ .*

**Proof.** It is a consequence from the fact that  $F_N$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .

**Remark 4.2** By denoting  $\|\cdot\|_2$  the norm in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  and  $|\cdot|_{2n}$  the norm in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , we have the equality

$$\|\eta_N(v)\|_2 = \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N |v^j|_{2n}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The right quantity defines a norm in  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$ , we will denote it by  $|\cdot|_{2, N}$ . With these notations,  $\eta_N$  appears as an isometry from  $(L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}), \|\cdot\|_2)$  into  $(\mathbb{R}^{2nN}, |\cdot|_{2, N})$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *Under assumptions  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$ , the problem  $(\mathcal{P}_N)$  has, for all integer  $N$ , a solution  $v_N$ .*

**Proof.** By identifying  $\mathbb{R}^{2nN}$  to  $F_N$ , the proof is the same as that of the general case  $(\mathcal{P})$ . It is based on the following estimate:

$$\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}, \varphi_N(v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha(1 + |A|^2)} - \frac{T}{2\pi} \right] \|\eta_N(v)\|_2^2 - \beta T$$

or also

$$\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{2nN}, \varphi_N(v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha(1 + |A|^2)} - \frac{T}{2\pi} \right] |v|_{2, N}^2 - \beta T.$$

The previous theorem permits to assert that if assumptions  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$  are satisfied, then for all integer  $N$ , we can find a minimum for  $\varphi_N$  on  $E_N$  which is also a solution of  $(\mathcal{R}_N)$ . Therefore, by the discrete dual action principle introduced in Section 3, the problem  $(\mathcal{H}_N)(\mathcal{C}_N)$  has a solution.

Now we define a sequence  $(v_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  by setting

$$\begin{cases} (i) & N = 2^l, \\ (ii) & v_l \text{ is a solution of } (\mathcal{P}_N). \end{cases}$$

The estimate of the previous theorem permits to state the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.3** *Under assumption  $(G_2)$ , there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{N}^*, \|\eta_N(v_l)\|_2^2 = |v_l|_{2,N}^2 \leq M.$$

**Proof.** Note that, from the previous results, we have

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{N}^*, \varphi_N(v_l) = \varphi(\eta_N(v_l)) \leq \frac{k_l}{2} \|\eta_N(v_l)\|_2^2 - k_2$$

where  $k_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(1+|A|^2)} - \frac{T}{2\pi}$  and  $k_2 = \beta T$ . We have also

$$\forall l' \leq l, \varphi_N(v_l) \leq \varphi_{N'}(v_{l'}) \text{ with } N = 2^l.$$

Since

$$\varphi_N(v_{l'}) = \varphi_{N'}(v_{l'}) \text{ with } N' = 2^{l'},$$

we get

$$\forall l' \leq l, \varphi(\eta_N(v_l)) = \varphi_N(v_l) \leq \varphi_{N'}(v_{l'}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{N}^*, \frac{1}{2}k_1 \|\eta_N(v_l)\|_2^2 - k_2 \leq \varphi_N(v_l) \leq \varphi_1(v_1).$$

Since  $\varphi_1(v_1)$  is a constant with respect to  $l$ , the proof of Lemma 4.3 is complete.

### 5 Convergence Results

Under assumptions  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$ , we have proved in the previous section that there exists a sequence  $(v_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of solutions for the problems  $(\mathcal{P}_N)$  with  $N = 2^l$ . Consider the sequence  $(w_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of piecewise linear functions defined by

$$w_l(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{v}_l(\tau) d\tau + \xi_l$$

with  $\xi_l \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  such that

$$\xi_l \in -\frac{\delta_l}{2} J\tilde{v}_l(0) + \partial H_0^*(\tilde{v}_l(0) - h_l(0)), \quad \delta_l = \frac{T}{2^l}.$$

**Remark 5.1** Giving the definition of  $H_0$ , we can assume that  $\xi_l$  is of the type  $(0, \lambda_l)$  with  $\lambda_l \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (r, p) \in (a, b) + \partial H_0^*(s, q) &\iff (s, q) = H_0'((r, p) - (a, b)) \\ \iff (s, q) &= (-A^*G'(p - b - A(r - a)), G'(p - b - A(r - a))) \\ &= (-A^*G'(p - Ar - b + Aa), G'(p - Ar - b + Aa)) \\ &\iff (s, q) = H_0'(-a, p - Ar - b) \\ &\iff -(a, b) + (0, p - Ar) \in \partial H_0^*(s, q) \\ &\iff (0, p - Ar) \in (a, b) + \partial H_0^*(s, q). \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will take  $\xi_l$  of the form  $(0, \lambda_l)$ ,  $\lambda_l \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and we will prove that the associated sequence  $(w_l)$  has a subsequence strongly convergent in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to a solution  $\bar{w}$  of  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Lemma 5.1** [7] *The operator  $\pi$  from  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  into itself, introduced in Section 2, is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator: it transforms quickly convergent sequences to strongly convergent sequences.*

**Lemma 5.2** *Under assumptions  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$ , there exists a subsequence  $(w_{l_k})$  of  $(w_l)$  strongly convergent in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to  $\bar{w}$ . Moreover  $\bar{w}$  is defined in 0 and  $T$  and satisfies  $\bar{w}(0) = \bar{w}(T)$ .*

**Proof.** It is easy to verify that the sequence  $(w_l)$  is included in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . By Lemma 4.3, the sequence  $(\tilde{v}_l)$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , then it possesses a subsequence  $(\tilde{v}_{l_k})$  weakly convergent in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to a point  $\bar{v}$ . In particular  $(\tilde{v}_{l_k})$  being defined for all integer  $k$  and for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , the sequence  $(\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t))$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  to  $\bar{v}(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Recall that we have defined  $\xi_l$  by

$$\xi_l \in -\frac{\delta_l}{2} J\tilde{v}_l(0) + \partial H_0^*(\tilde{v}_l(0) - h_l(0)), \delta_l = \frac{T}{2l}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_l + \frac{\delta_l}{2} J\tilde{v}_l(0) &\in \partial H_0^*(\tilde{v}_l(0) - h_l(0)) \\ \iff \tilde{v}_l(0) - h_l(0) &= H'_0(\xi_l + \frac{\delta_l}{2} J\tilde{v}_l(0)) \\ &= H'_0\left((0, \lambda_l) + \frac{\delta_l}{2} J(\tilde{v}_l^1(0), \tilde{v}_l^2(0))\right) = H'_0\left(\frac{\delta_l}{2} \tilde{v}_l^2(0), \lambda_l - \frac{\delta_l}{2} \tilde{v}_l^1(0)\right) \iff \\ \tilde{v}_l(0) - h_l(0) &= \left(-A^* G'(\lambda_l - \frac{\delta_l}{2}(\tilde{v}_l^1(0) + A\tilde{v}_l^2(0))), G'(\lambda_l - \frac{\delta_l}{2}(\tilde{v}_l^1(0) + A\tilde{v}_l^2(0)))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G'$  is an homeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $G'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and since  $(\delta_l)$  goes to zero in  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $l$  goes to infinity and  $(\tilde{v}_{l_k}(0))$  is bounded and converges to  $\bar{v}(0)$ , the sequence  $(\lambda_{l_k})$  converges to  $\bar{\lambda}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$\bar{\lambda} = (G')^{-1}(\bar{v}^2(0) - h^2(0)).$$

By previous Remarks and Lemma 5.1, we deduce that the sequence  $(w_{l_k})$  converges strongly to  $\bar{w}$  in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . Moreover

$$\bar{w}(t) = J \int_0^t \bar{v}(\tau) d\tau + \bar{\xi} \text{ with } \bar{\xi} = (0, \bar{\lambda})$$

and then, in particular, we have  $\bar{w}(0) = \bar{w}(T)$ .

**Lemma 5.3** *The sequence  $(y_{l_k})$  defined by*

$$y_{l_k} = \tilde{v}_{l_k} - Jh_{l_k} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$$

*converges strongly in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to  $\bar{y} = \bar{v} - Jh$ .*

**Proof.** It is an immediately consequence of previous lemma's proof.

**Lemma 5.4** *With the point  $w_l$  of  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , we associate the element  $\omega_l$  of the same space defined by*

$$\begin{cases} \omega_l(t^j) = \frac{1}{2}(w_l(t^{j+1}) + w_l(t^j)), \forall j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \omega_l(0) = \omega_l(T), \\ \omega_l(t) = \omega_l(t^j), \forall t \in [t^j, t^{j+1}[, \forall j = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

*Under assumptions  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$ , the subsequence  $(\omega_{l_k})$  of  $(\omega_l)$  converges strongly in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to  $\bar{w}$ .*

**Proof.** It suffices to prove

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}\|_2 = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Then we will use the inequality

$$\|\omega_{l_k} - \bar{w}\| \leq \|\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}\|_2 + \|w_{l_k} - \bar{w}\|_2$$

and we conclude by using Lemma 5.2.

We have

$$\|\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}\|_2^2 = \int_0^T |\omega_{l_k}(t) - w_{l_k}(t)|^2 dt,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes  $|\cdot|_{2n}$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\|\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{t^{j+1}}^{t^j} |\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}|^2 dt, \tag{5.2}$$

where  $N_k = 2^{l_k}$ . In  $[t^j, t^{j+1}[$ ,  $w_{l_k}(t)$  can be written

$$\forall t \in [t^j, t^{j+1}[, w_{l_k}(t) = w_{l_k}(t^j) + (t - t^j)\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t^j).$$

Then equality (5.2) becomes

$$\|\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{t^{j+1}}^{t^j} |\omega_{l_k}(t^j) - w_{l_k}(t^j) - (t - t^j)\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t^j)|^2 dt.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}\|_2^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} |\omega_{l_k}(t^j) - w_{l_k}(t^j)|^2 dt \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} |t - t^j| |\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t^j)| |\omega_{l_k}(t^j) - w_{l_k}(t^j)| dt + \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} |t - t^j|^2 |\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t^j)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} |\omega_{l_k}(t^j) - w_{l_k}(t^j)|^2 dt + 2 \frac{T}{N_k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \left[ \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} |\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t^j)|^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} |\omega_{l_k}(t^j) - w_{l_k}(t^j)|^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{T}{N_k} \right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{t^j}^{t^{j+1}} |\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t^j)|^2 dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The expression  $\omega_{l_k}(t^j) - w_{l_k}(t^j)$  can be written

$$\omega_{l_k}(t^j) - w_{l_k}(t^j) = \frac{w_{l_k}(t^j) + w_{l_k}(t^{j+1})}{2} - w_{l_k}(t^j) = \frac{w_{l_k}(t^{j+1}) - w_{l_k}(t^j)}{2}.$$

But we know that

$$\frac{w_{l_k}(t^{j+1}) - w_{l_k}(t^j)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{l_k} \tilde{v}_{l_k}(t^j).$$

Therefore the inequality (5.3) becomes

$$\|\omega_{l_k} - w_{l_k}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{9}{4}(\delta_{l_k})^2 \int_0^T |\tilde{v}_{l_k}(t)|^2 dt. \tag{5.4}$$

Since  $\delta_{l_k} = \frac{T}{N_k} = T2^{-l_k}$  goes to zero as  $k$  goes to infinity and  $\tilde{v}_{l_k}$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , the relation (5.1) is proved.

If assumption  $(G_2)$  is satisfied, Lemma 4.3 permits to write

$$\delta_{l_k} \int_0^T |v_{l_k}(t)|^2 dt = \frac{T}{2^{l_k}} [2^{l_k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |v_{l_k}^j|^2] \leq \frac{T}{2^{l_k}} M.$$

Therefore we can state the following convergence result:

**Theorem 5.1** *Under assumptions  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$  and Lemma 5.2 notations, the subsequence  $(\omega_{l_k})$  converges strongly in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to a solution  $\bar{w}$  of  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ .*

**Proof.** To prove this theorem, we will need the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.2** [4] *Let  $A$  be a monotone maximal operator from its domain  $D(A) \subset L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  into  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . Let  $(x_l)$  and  $(y_l)$  be two sequences satisfying*

- (i)  $x_l \in \text{Dom}A, \forall l \geq l_0,$
- (ii)  $y_l = A(x_l), \forall l \geq l_0,$
- (iii)  $(x_l)$  converges weakly to  $\bar{x}$  in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}),$
- (iv)  $(y_l)$  converges weakly to  $\bar{y}$  in  $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{2n}),$
- (v)  $\limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} (x_l y_l) \leq \bar{x} \bar{y}.$

Then

- (j)  $\bar{x} \in \text{Dom}A,$
- (jj)  $\bar{y} = A(\bar{x}).$

By Section 3, we know that for all integer  $l$ , the following system is verified:

$$\begin{cases} (i) \frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) - w_N(t^j)}{t^{j+1} - t^j} = J[H'_0 \frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) + w_N(t^j)}{2}] + h^j, \forall j = 1, \dots, 2^l \\ \text{and} \\ (ii) \frac{w_N(t^{j+1}) - w_N(t^j)}{t^{j+1} - t^j} = v_l^j, \forall j = 1, \dots, 2^l. \end{cases}$$

By using the notations of Lemma 5.3, equation (i) can be rewritten

$$\forall t \in [0, T], -Jy_l(t) = H'_0(\omega(t)).$$

Since the operator " $-J$ " from  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is an isometry, we deduce from the previous Lemmas that the sequences  $(-Jy_{l_k})$  and  $(\omega_{l_k})$  as the operator  $H'_0$  verify assumptions of the previous Theorem, therefore we can assert that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], -J\bar{y}(t) = H'_0(\bar{w}(t))$$

or also

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \bar{v}(t) = J(H'_0(\bar{w}(t)) + h(t)),$$

where

$$\bar{w}(t) = \int_0^t \bar{v}(\tau) d\tau + (0, \bar{\lambda}).$$

Therefore  $\bar{w}$  is a solution of  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ .



## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we first prove the existence of solutions of a problem of non-coercive convex Hamiltonian systems  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$  through the theory of critical point theory and the dual action principle. Then we associate with  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$  a sequence of problems  $(\mathcal{H}_N)(\mathcal{C}_N)$ ,  $(R_N)$ ,  $(P_N)$  defined in a finite dimensional space and related together by a discrete dual action principle. We prove that problems  $(\mathcal{H}_N)(\mathcal{C}_N)$  possess a sequence of solutions which converges to a solution of problem  $(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{C})$ .

## References

- [1] Aubin, J.P. and Ekeland, I. *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*. Wiley, 1986.
- [2] Benhassine, A. and Timoumi, M. Homoclinics orbits for a class of second order Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory* **12** (2012) 145–157.
- [3] Ekeland, I. and Temam, R. *Analyse convexe et problèmes variationnels*. Dunod-Gauthier-Villars, 1974. [French].
- [4] Gaussens, E. *Numerical research of periodic solutions for an Hamiltonian system*. In: *Proceeding du Colloque de Rome*. BIRHÖSER, 1981.
- [5] Timoumi, M. Oscillations d’une particule relativiste. *J. Méca. Théorique et Appliquée*. **7** (1988) 531–544. [French]
- [6] Timoumi, T. Periodic and subharmonic solutions for a class of noncoercive superquadratic Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory* **11** (2011) 319–336.
- [7] Timoumi, M. Solutions périodiques de systèmes Hamiltoniens convexes non coercitifs. *Bulletin de la classe des sciences* **12** (1989) 463–481. [French]