Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory, 19(1) (2019) 97-110



Existence of Renormalized Solutions for Some Strongly Parabolic Problems in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces

A. Talha^{*}, A. Benkirane and M.S.B. Elemine Vall

Laboratory LAMA, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, P.O. Box 1796, Atlas Fez, Morocco

Received: December 19, 2016; Revised: December 13, 2018

Abstract: In this work, we prove an existence result of renormalized solutions in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces for a class of nonlinear parabolic equations with two lower order terms and L^1 -data.

Keywords: parabolic problems, Musielak-Orlicz space, renormalized solutions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 46E35, 35K15, 35K20, 35K60.

1 Introduction

We consider the following nonlinear parabolic problem:

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(a(x, t, u, \nabla u) + \Phi(u) \right) + g(x, t, u, \nabla u) = f & \text{in } Q, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial Q = \partial \Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $A(u) = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))$ is an operator of Leray-Lions type, the lower order term $\Phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$, g is a nonlinearity term which satisfies the growth and the sign condition and the data f belong to $L^1(Q)$. Under these assumptions the term $\operatorname{div}(\Phi(u))$ may not exist in the distributions sense, since the function $\Phi(u)$ does not belong to $(L^1_{\operatorname{loc}}(Q))^N$.

In the setting of classical Sobolev spaces, the existence of a weak solution for the problem (\mathcal{P}) has been proved in [10] in the case of $\Phi \equiv g \equiv 0$. It is well known that this weak solution is not unique in general (see [16] for a counter-example in the stationary case).

^{*} Corresponding author: mailto:talha.abdous@gmail.com

^{© 2019} InforMath Publishing Group/1562-8353 (print)/1813-7385 (online)/http://e-ndst.kiev.ua 97

In order to obtain well-posedness for this type of problems the notion of renormalized solution has been introduced by Lions and DiPerna [12] for the study of Boltzmann equation (see also Lions [13] for a few applications to fluid mechanics models). This notion was then adapted to the elliptic version by Boccardo et al. [11]. At the same time, the equivalent notion of entropy solutions has been developed independently by Bénilan et al. [5] for the study of nonlinear elliptic problems.

The existence and uniqueness of a renormalized solution has been proved by D. Blanchard and F. Murat [8] in the case where $a(x, t, s, \xi)$ is independent of s, with $\Phi \equiv 0$ and $g \equiv 0$, by D. Blanchard, F. Murat and H. Redwane [9] with the large monotonicity on a. For measure data, u = b(x, u) and $\Phi \equiv 0$, the existence of renormalized solution for the problem (\mathcal{P}) has been proved by Y. Akdim et al.[3] in the framework of weighted Sobolev space, by L. Aharouch, J. Bennouna and A. Touzani [1], and by A. Benkirane and J. Bennouna [6] in the Orlicz spaces and degenerated spaces.

In the Musielak framework, the existence of a weak solution for the problem (\mathcal{P}) has been proved by M.L. Ahmed Oubeid, A. Benkirane and M. Sidi El Vally in [2] where $\Phi \equiv 0$, the existence of entropy solutions for the problem (\mathcal{P}) has been studied by A. Talha, A. Benkirane and M.S.B. Elemine Vall in [19].

As an example of equations to which the present result can be applied, we give

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \Big(\frac{m(x, |\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|} \cdot \nabla u + u |u|^{\sigma} \Big) + \frac{\operatorname{sign}(u)}{1 + u^2} \, \varphi(x, |\nabla u|) = f \in L^1(Q),$$

where m is the derivative of φ with respect to t.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^N and let φ be a real-valued function defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and satisfying the following conditions:

a) $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an N-function,

b) $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is a measurable function.

The function φ is called a Musielak–Orlicz function. For a Musielak-orlicz function φ we put $\varphi_x(t) = \varphi(x,t)$ and we associate its nonnegative reciprocal function φ_x^{-1} , with respect to t that is $\varphi_x^{-1}(\varphi(x,t)) = \varphi(x,\varphi_x^{-1}(t)) = t$. The Musielak-orlicz function φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if for some k > 0 and a non negative function h integrable in Ω , we have

$$\varphi(x, 2t) \le k\varphi(x, t) + h(x) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } t \ge 0.$$
 (1)

When (1) holds only for $t \ge t_0 > 0$; then φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition near infinity.

Let φ and γ be two Musielak-orlicz functions. We say that γ grows essentially less rapidly than φ at 0 (resp. near infinity), and we write $\gamma \prec \prec \varphi$, if for every positive constant c we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\gamma(x, ct)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right) = 0, \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\gamma(x, ct)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right) = 0).$$

We define the functional $\rho_{\varphi,\Omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx$, where $u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue measurable function.

We define the Musielak-Orlicz space (the generalized Orlicz spaces) by

$$L_{\varphi}(\Omega) = \Big\{ u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} \Big/ \rho_{\varphi,\Omega}\Big(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\Big) < +\infty, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \Big\}.$$

For a Musielak-Orlicz function we put: $\psi(x,s) = \sup_{t\geq 0} \{st - \varphi(x,t)\}$. ψ is called the Musielak-Orlicz function complementary to φ in the sense of Young with respect to the variable s. In the space $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ we define the following two norms:

$$\|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega} = \inf\Big\{\lambda > 0 / \int_{\Omega} \varphi\Big(x, \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\Big) dx \le 1\Big\},$$

which is called the Luxemburg norm and the so-called Orlicz norm by

$$|||u|||_{\varphi,\Omega} = \sup_{||v||_{\psi} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx,$$

where ψ is the Musielak-Orlicz function complementary to φ . These two norms are equivalent [14]. The closure in $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ of the bounded measurable functions with compact support in $\overline{\Omega}$ is denoted by $E_{\varphi}(\Omega)$.

We say that a sequence of functions $u_n \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ is modular convergent to $u \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ if there exists a constant k > 0 such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_{\varphi,\Omega}\left(\frac{u_n - u}{k}\right) = 0$.

For any fixed nonnegative integer m we define

$$W^{m}L_{\varphi}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega) : \forall |\alpha| \le m, \ D^{\alpha}u \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega) \right\}$$
$$W^{m}E_{\varphi}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in E_{\varphi}(\Omega) : \forall |\alpha| \le m, \ D^{\alpha}u \in E_{\varphi}(\Omega) \right\},$$

and

where
$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$$
 with nonnegative integers $\alpha_i, |\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + ... + |\alpha_n|$ and $D^{\alpha}u$ denote
the distributional derivatives. The space $W^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ is called the Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev
space. Let

 $\overline{\rho}_{\varphi,\Omega}(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \rho_{\varphi,\Omega} \left(D^{\alpha} u \right) \text{ and } \|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega}^{m} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \overline{\rho}_{\varphi,\Omega} \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \le 1 \right\}.$ For $u \in W^m L\varphi(\Omega)$ these functionals are a convex modular and a norm on $W^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$, respectively, and the pair $\left(W^m L\varphi(\Omega), \|\|_{\varphi,\Omega}^m \right)$ is a Banach space if φ satisfies the following condition [14] :

there exists a constant
$$c > 0$$
 such that $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, 1) \ge c.$ (2)

The space $W^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ will always be identified with a subspace of the product $\prod_{|\alpha| \leq m} L_{\varphi}(\Omega) = \prod L_{\varphi}$, this subspace is $\sigma(\prod L_{\varphi}, \prod E_{\psi})$ closed. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the space of infinitely smooth functions with compact support in Ω and by $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$) the restriction of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ on Ω . Let $W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ be the $\sigma(\prod L_{\varphi}, \prod E_{\psi})$ closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$. Let $W^m E_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ be the space of functions u such that u and its distribution derivatives up to order m lie in $E_{\varphi}(\Omega)$, and $W_0^m E_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ is the (norm) closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$.

The following spaces of distributions will also be used:

$$W^{-m}L_{\psi}(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega); \ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \text{ with } f_{\alpha} \in L_{\psi}(\Omega) \right\}$$

and

 $W^{-m}E_{\psi}(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega); \ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \text{ with } f_{\alpha} \in E_{\psi}(\Omega) \right\}.$ We say that a sequence of functions $u_n \in W^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ is modular convergent to $u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

 $W^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ if there exists a constant k > 0 such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{\rho}_{\varphi,\Omega}\left(\frac{u_n - u}{k}\right) = 0.$

The inhomogeneous Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces of order 1 are defined as follows:

$$W^{1,x}L_{\varphi}(Q) = \left\{ u \in L_{\varphi}(Q) : \forall |\alpha| \le 1 \ D_x^{\alpha} u \in L_{\varphi}(Q) \right\}$$

and

$$W^{1,x}E_{\varphi}(Q) = \left\{ u \in E_{\varphi}(Q) : \forall |\alpha| \le 1 \ D_x^{\alpha}u \in E_{\varphi}(Q) \right\}$$

The last space is a subspace of the first one, and both are Banach spaces under the norm $||u|| = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} ||D_x^{\alpha}u||_{\varphi,Q}$. We have the following complementary system

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_0^{1,x} L_{\varphi}(Q) & F \\ W_0^{1,x} E_{\varphi}(Q) & F_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

F being the dual space of $W_0^{1,x} E_{\varphi}(Q)$. It is also, except for an isomorphism, the quotient of $\prod L_{\psi}$ by the polar set $W_0^{1,x} E_{\varphi}(Q)^{\perp}$, and will be denoted by $F = W^{-1,x} L_{\psi}(Q)$ and it is shown that

$$W^{-1,x}L_{\psi}(Q) = \left\{ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} D_x^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} : f_{\alpha} \in L_{\psi}(Q) \right\}.$$

This space will be equipped with the usual quotient norm $||f|| = \inf \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} ||f_{\alpha}||_{\psi,Q}$, where the inf is taken on all possible decompositions $f = \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} D_x^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$, $f_{\alpha} \in L_{\psi}(Q)$.

The space F_0 is then given by

$$F_0 = \left\{ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} D_x^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} : f_{\alpha} \in E_{\psi}(Q) \right\} = W^{-1,x} E_{\psi}(Q).$$

Let us give the following lemma which will be needed later.

Lemma 2.1 [7]. Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^N and let φ and ψ be two complementary Musielak-Orlicz functions which satisfy the following conditions: i) There exists a constant c > 0 such that $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, 1) \ge c$,

ii) There exists a constant A > 0 such that for all $x, y \in \Omega$ with $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\frac{\varphi(x,t)}{\varphi(y,t)} \le t^{\left(\frac{A}{\log(\frac{1}{|x-y|})}\right)}, \quad \forall t \ge 1.$$
(3)

iii)

If
$$D \subset \Omega$$
 is a bounded measurable set, then $\int_D \varphi(x, 1) dx < \infty$. (4)

iv) There exists a constant C > 0 such that $\psi(x, 1) \leq C$ a.e in Ω . Under these assumptions, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ with respect to the modular topology, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence and $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$ is dense in $W^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence.

Consequently, the action of a distribution S in $W^{-1}L_{\psi}(\Omega)$ on an element u of $W_0^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ is well defined. It will be denoted by $\langle S, u \rangle$.

Lemma 2.2 (Poincaré inequality) [18] Let φ be a Musielak-Orlicz function which satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, suppose that $\varphi(x,t)$ decreases with respect to one

of coordinates of x. Then, there exists a constant c > 0 depending only on Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x)|) \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, c |\nabla u(x)|) \, dx, \quad \forall u \in W_0^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega).$$
(5)

3 Assumptions and Main Result

Let Ω be a bounded open set on \mathbb{R}^N satisfying the segment property and T > 0, we denote $Q = \Omega \times [0,T]$, and let φ and γ be two Musielak-Orlicz functions such that $\gamma \prec \prec \varphi$ and φ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2. Let $A : D(A) \subset W_0^{1,x} L_{\varphi}(Q) \longrightarrow W^{-1,x} L_{\psi}(Q)$ be a mapping given by $A(u) = -\operatorname{div}(a(x,t,u,\nabla u))$, where $a : a(x,t,s,\xi) : \Omega \times [0,t] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying, for a.e $(x,t) \in Q$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^N, \xi \neq \xi'$,

$$|a(x,t,s,\xi)| \le \beta \bigg(c(x,t) + \psi_x^{-1} \varphi(x,\nu|\xi|) \bigg), \tag{6}$$

$$\left(a(x,t,s,\xi) - a(x,t,s,\xi')\right)(\xi - \xi') > 0,$$
(7)

$$a(x,t,s,\xi).\xi \ge \alpha \varphi(x,|\xi|),\tag{8}$$

where c(x,t) is a positive function, $c(x,t) \in E_{\psi}(Q)$ and $\beta, \nu, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$. Let $g : \Omega \times [0,t] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a Caratheodory function satisfying for a.e. $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,t]$ and $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$|g(x,t,s,\xi)| \le b(|s|)(c_2(x,t) + \varphi(x,|\xi|)), \tag{9}$$

$$g(x,t,s,\xi)s \ge 0,\tag{10}$$

where $c_2(x,t) \in L^1(Q)$ and $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous and nondecreasing function. Furthermore, let

$$\Phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N), \tag{11}$$

$$f \in L^1(Q)$$
 and u_0 is an element of $L^1(Q)$. (12)

For $\ell > 0$ we define the truncation at height $\ell: T_{\ell}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T_{\ell}(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \le \ell, \\ \ell \frac{s}{|s|} & \text{if } |s| > \ell. \end{cases}$$
(13)

The definition of a renormalized solution for problem (\mathcal{P}) can be stated as follows.

Definition 3.1 A measurable function u defined on Q is a renormalized solution of Problem (\mathcal{P}) if

$$T_{\ell}(u) \in W_0^{1,x} L_{\varphi}(Q), \tag{14}$$

$$\int_{\{(x,t)\in Q; m\leq |u(x,t)|\leq m+1\}} a(x,t,u,\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dxdt \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{15}$$

and if, for every function S in $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which is piecewise C^1 and such that S' has a compact support, we have

$$\frac{\partial S(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(a(x, t, u, \nabla u) S'(u) \right) + S''(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u - \operatorname{div} \left(\Phi(u) S'(u) \right) + S''(u) \Phi(u) \cdot \nabla u + g(x, t, u, \nabla u) S'(u) = f S'(u) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(Q), S(u)(t=0) = S(u_0) \text{ in } \Omega.$$
(16)

We will prove the following existence theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that (6) to (11) hold true. Then, there exists a renormalized solution u of problem (\mathcal{P}) in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is divided into five steps. **Step 1: Approximate problem.** Let consider us the following approximate problem

$$(\mathcal{P}_n) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) + \Phi_n(u_n) \right) + g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) = f_n & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(Q), \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u_n(t = 0) = u_{0n} & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $(f_n) \in L^1(Q)$ is a sequence of smooth functions such that $f_n f_n \to f$ in $L^1(Q)f$ in $L^1(Q)$, $\Phi_n(s) = \Phi(T_n(s))$ and $g_n(x,t,s,\xi) = T_n(g(x,t,s,\xi))$. Note that $g_n(x,t,s,\xi)s \ge 0$, $|g_n(x,t,s,\xi)| \le |g(x,t,s,\xi)|$ and $|g_n(x,t,s,\xi)| \le n$. Since Φ is continuous, we have $\Phi(T_n(s)) \le c_n$, then the problem (\mathcal{P}_n) has at least one solution $u_n \in W_0^{1,x} L_{\varphi}(Q)$ (see e.g. [2]).

Step 2: A priori estimates. We take $T_{\ell}(u_n)\chi_{(0,\tau)}$ as a test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) , we get for every $\tau \in (0,T)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}_{\ell}(u_n(\tau)) \, dx + \int_{Q_{\tau}} a(x, t, T_{\ell}(u_n), \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n) \, dx dt + \int_{Q_{\tau}} \Phi_n(u_n) \cdot \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n) \, dx dt$$
$$= \int_{Q_{\tau}} f_n T_{\ell}(u_n) \, dx dt - \int_{Q_{\tau}} g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_{\ell}(u_n) \, dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}_{\ell}(u_{0n}) \, dx, \qquad (17)$$

where

$$\widehat{T}_{\ell}(s) = \int_0^s T_{\ell}(\sigma) d\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{s^2}{2}, & \text{if } |s| \le \ell, \\ \ell |s| - \frac{s^2}{2}, & \text{if } |s| > \ell. \end{cases}$$
(18)

The Lipshitz character of Φ_n and the Stokes formula together with the boundary condition $u_n = 0$ on $(0, T) \times \partial \Omega$ make it possible to obtain

$$\int_{Q_{\tau}} \Phi_n(u_n) \cdot \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n) \, dx dt = 0.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Due to the definition of \widehat{T}_{ℓ} and (12) we have

$$0 \le \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}_{\ell}(u_{0n}) \, dx \le \ell \int_{\Omega} |u_{0n}| \, dx \le \ell ||u_0||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Using the same argument as in [15], we can see that

$$\int_{Q} g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \, dx dt \le C_g. \tag{21}$$

Here and below C_i denotes positive constants not depending on n and ℓ . By using (12), (19), (20), (21) we can deduce from (17) that

$$\int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}_{\ell}(u_n(\tau)) \, dx + \int_{Q_{\tau}} a(x, t, T_{\ell}(u_n), \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n) \, dx dt \le \ell C_0.$$
(22)

By using (22), (7) and the fact that $\widehat{T}_{\ell}(u_n) \ge 0$, we deduce that

$$\int_{Q_{\tau}} \varphi(x, |\nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)|) \, dxdt \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q_{\tau}} a(x, t, T_{\ell}(u_n), \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n) \, dxdt \le \ell C_1, \quad (23)$$

we deduce from the above inequality (22) that

$$\int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}_{\ell}(u_n(\tau)) \, dx \le \ell C_0, \text{ for almost any } \tau \text{ in } (0,T).$$
(24)

103

On the other hand, thanks to Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ depending only on Ω such that

$$\int_{Q_{\tau}} \varphi(x, |v|) \, dx dt \leq \int_{Q_{\tau}} \varphi(x, \lambda |\nabla v|) \, dx dt, \quad \forall v \in W_0^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega).$$
⁽²⁵⁾

Taking $v = \frac{T_{\ell}(u_n)}{\lambda}$ in (25) and using (23), one has

$$\int_{Q_{\tau}} \varphi(x, \frac{|T_{\ell}(u_n)|}{\lambda}) \, dx dt \le \ell C_1.$$
⁽²⁶⁾

Then we deduce by using (26), that

$$meas\{|u_n| > \ell\} \le \frac{1}{\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{\ell}{\lambda})} \int_{Q_\tau} \varphi(x, \frac{1}{\lambda} |T_\ell(u_n)|) \, dxdt$$
$$\le \frac{C_1 \ell}{\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{\ell}{\lambda})} \quad \forall n, \quad \forall \ell \ge 0.$$
(27)

By using the definition of φ , we can deduce

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} (\operatorname{meas}\{(x,t) \in Q_{\tau} : |u_n| > \ell\}) = 0$$
(28)

uniformly with respect to n. Moreover, we have from (26) that $T_{\ell}(u_n)$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,x}L_{\varphi}(Q)$ for every $\ell > 0$. Consider now in $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ a nondecreasing function $\zeta_{\ell}(s) = s$ for $|s| \leq \frac{\ell}{2}$ and $\zeta_{\ell}(s) = \ell$ sign (s). Multiplying the approximating equation by $\zeta'_{\ell}(u_n)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial(\zeta_{\ell}(u_n))}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}\left(a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)\zeta_{\ell}'(u_n)\right) - \zeta_{\ell}''(u_n)a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n + \operatorname{div}\left(\Phi_n(u_n)\zeta_{\ell}'(u_n)\right) - \zeta_{\ell}''(u_n)\Phi_n(u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n - g_n(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)\zeta_{\ell}'(u_n) + f_n\zeta_{\ell}'(u_n)$$

in the sense of distributions. Thanks to (26) and the fact that ζ'_{ℓ} has a compact support, $\zeta'_{\ell}(u_n)$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,x}L_{\varphi}(Q)$ while its time derivative $\frac{\partial(\zeta_{\ell}(u_n))}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $W_0^{-1,x}L_{\varphi}(Q) + L^1(Q)$, hence Corollary 4.5 of [2] allows us to conclude that $\zeta_{\ell}(u_n)$ is compact in $L^1(Q)$. Due to the choice of ζ_{ℓ} , we conclude that for each ℓ , the sequence $T_{\ell}(u_n)$ converges almost everywhere in Q. Therefore, following [8,9,15], we can see that there exists a measurable function $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^1(\Omega))$ such that for every $\ell > 0$ and a subsequence, not relabeled,

$$u_n \to u \text{ a. e. in } Q,$$
 (29)

and

104

$$T_{\ell}(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_{\ell}(u) \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,x} L_{\varphi}(Q) \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_{\varphi}, \Pi E_{\psi}),$$
(30)
strongly in $L^1(Q)$ and a. e. in Q .

Now we shall to prove the boundness of $(a(x, t, T_{\ell}(u_n), \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)))_n$ in $(L_{\psi}(Q))^N$. Let $\phi \in (E_{\varphi}(Q))^N$ with $||\phi||_{\varphi,Q} = 1$. In view of the monotonicity of a one easily has,

$$\int_{Q} \left[a(x,t,T_{\ell}(u_n),\nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)) - a(x,t,T_{\ell}(u_n),\phi) \right] \left[\nabla T_{\ell}(u_n) - \phi \right] \, dx \, dt \ge 0, \qquad (31)$$

which gives

$$\int_{Q} a(x,t,T_{\ell}(u_{n}),\nabla T_{\ell}(u_{n})) \cdot \phi \, dxdt \leq \int_{Q} a(x,t,T_{\ell}(u_{n}),\nabla T_{\ell}(u_{n})) \cdot \nabla T_{\ell}(u_{n}) \, dxdt + \int_{Q} a(x,t,T_{\ell}(u_{n}),\phi) \cdot \left[\nabla T_{\ell}(u_{n}) - \phi\right] \, dxdt.$$
(32)

Using (6) and (23), we easily see that

$$\int_{Q} a(x, t, T_{\ell}(u_n), \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)) \cdot \phi \, dx dt \le C_3.$$
(33)

And so, we conclude that $(a(x, t, T_{\ell}(u_n), \nabla T_{\ell}(u_n)))_n$ is a bounded sequence in $(L_{\psi}(Q))^N$. Now, we prove that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\left\{ m \le |u_n| \le m+1 \right\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \, dx dt = 0.$$
(34)

Using in (\mathcal{P}_n) the test function $v = T_1(u_n - T_m(u_n))$, we obtain

$$\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, v \rangle + \int_{\{m \le u_n \le m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \, dx dt + \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) v \, dx dt + \int_Q \operatorname{div} \left[\int_0^{u_n} \Phi_n(r) T_1'(u_n - T_m(u_n)) dr \right] \, dx dt = \int_Q f_n v \, dx dt.$$

$$(35)$$

By using $\int_0^{u_n} \Phi_n(r) T'_1(u_n - T_m(u_n)) dr \in W_0^{1,x} L_{\varphi}(Q)$ and the Stokes formula, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} U_n^m(u_n(T)) \, dx + \int_{\{m \le u_n \le m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \, dx dt \\
\le \int_{Q} (|f_n + g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)|) |T_1(u_n - T_m(u_n))| \, dx dt + \int_{\Omega} U_n^m(x, u_{0n}) \, dx,$$
(36)

where $U_n^m(r) = \int_0^{u_n} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_1(s - T_m(s)) ds$. In order to pass to the limit as n tends to $+\infty$ in (36), we use $U_n^m(u_n(T)) \ge 0$, (12) and (21), we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{m \le u_n \le m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \, dx dt$$

$$\leq \int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} (|f| + C_g) \, dx dt + \int_{\{|u_0| > m\}} |u_0| \, dx.$$
(37)

Finally, by(12) and (37) we obtain (34).

Step 3: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients. Fix $\ell > 0$ and let $\phi(s) = s \exp(\delta s^2), \delta > 0$. It is well known that when $\delta \ge (\frac{b(\ell)}{2\alpha})^2$ one has

$$\phi'(s) - \frac{b(\ell)}{\alpha} |\phi(s)| \ge \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(38)

Let $v_j \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ be a sequence which converges to u for the modular convergence in $W_0^{1,x}L_{\varphi}(Q)$ and let $\omega_i \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ be a sequence which converges strongly to u_0 in $L^2(\Omega)$. Set $\omega_{i,j}^{\mu} = T_{\ell}(v_j)_{\mu} + \exp(-\mu t)T_{\ell}(w_i)$, where $T_{\ell}(v_j)_{\mu}$ is the mollification with respect to time of $T_{\ell}(v_j)$. Note that $\omega_{i,j}^{\mu}$ is a smooth function having the following properties:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\omega_{i,j}^{\mu}) = \mu(T_{\ell}(v_j) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}), \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}(0) = T_{\ell}(\omega_i), |\omega_{i,j}^{\mu}| \le \ell,$$
(39)

$$\omega_{i,j}^{\mu} \to T_{\ell}(u)_{\mu} + \exp(-\mu t)T_{\ell}(w_i) \text{ in } W_0^{1,x}L_{\varphi}(Q)$$

$$\tag{40}$$

for the modular convergence as $j \to \infty$,

$$T_{\ell}(u)_{\mu} + \exp(-\mu t)T_{\ell}(w_i) \to T_{\ell}(u) \text{ in } W_0^{1,x}L_{\varphi}(Q)$$

$$\tag{41}$$

for the modular convergence as $\mu \to \infty$. Let now the function ρ_m on \mathbb{R} with $m \ge \ell$ be defined by

$$\rho_m(s) = \begin{cases}
1, & \text{if } |s| \le m, \\
m+1 - |s|, & \text{if } m \le |s| \le m+1, \\
0, & \text{if } |s| \ge m+1.
\end{cases}$$
(42)

We set $\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n} = T_{\ell}(u_n) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}$. Using the admissible test function $Z_{i,j,n}^{\mu,m} = \phi(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n})\rho_m(u_n)$ as test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) and since $g_n(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)\phi(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n})\rho_m(u_n) \ge 0$ on $\{|u_n| > \ell\}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{split} &\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, Z_{i,j,n}^{\mu,m} \rangle + \int_Q a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n) \cdot (\nabla T_\ell(u_n) - \nabla \omega_{i,j}^\mu) \phi'(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n}) \rho_m(u_n) \, dxdt \\ &+ \int_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \phi(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n}) \rho'_m(u_n) \, dxdt \\ &+ \int_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} \Phi_n(u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \phi(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n}) \rho'_m(u_n) \, dxdt \\ &+ \int_Q \Phi_n(u_n) \cdot (\nabla T_\ell(u_n) - \nabla \omega_{i,j}^\mu) \phi'(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n}) \rho_m(u_n) \, dxdt \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_n| \le \ell\}} g_n(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n) \phi(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n}) \rho_m(u_n) \, dxdt \le \int_Q f_n Z_{i,j,n}^{\mu,m} \, dxdt. \end{split}$$
(43)

Denote by $\epsilon(n, j, \mu, i)$ any quantity such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} \lim_{\mu \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \epsilon(n, j, \mu, i) = 0.$

The very definition of the sequence $\omega_{i,j}^{\mu}$ makes it possible to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (cf.[2]) Let $Z_{i,j,n}^{\mu,m} = \phi(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n})\rho_m(u_n)$, we have for any $\ell \ge 0$

$$\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, Z_{i,j,n}^{\mu,m} \rangle \ge \epsilon(n,j,i).$$
 (44)

Concerning the right-hand of (43), by the almost everywhere convergence of u_n , we have $\phi(T_\ell(u_n) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu})\rho_m(u_n) \rightharpoonup \phi(T_\ell(u) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu})\rho_m(u)$ weakly-* in $L^{\infty}(Q)$ as $n \to \infty$, and then

$$\int_Q f_n \phi(T_\ell(u_n) - \omega_{i,j}^\mu) \rho_m(u_n) \, dx dt \to \int_Q f \phi(T_\ell(u) - \omega_{i,j}^\mu) \rho_m(n) \, dx dt,$$

so that $\phi(T_{\ell}(u) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu})\rho_m(u) \rightharpoonup \phi(T_{\ell}(u) - T_{\ell}(u)_{\mu} - \exp(-\mu t)T_{\ell}(w_i))\rho_m(u)$ weakly star in $L^{\infty}(Q)$ as $j \to \infty$, and finally,

$$\phi(T_{\ell}(u) - T_{\ell}(u)_{\mu} - \exp(-\mu t)T_{\ell}(w_i))\rho_m(u) \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ weakly star as } \mu \to \infty.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\langle f_n, \phi(T_\ell(u_n) - \omega_{i,j}^\mu) \rho_m(u_n) \rangle = \epsilon(n, j, \mu).$$
(45)

Similarly, Lebesgue's convergence theorem shows that

$$\Phi_n(u_n)\rho_m(u_n) \to \Phi(u)\rho_m(u)$$
 strongly in $(E_{\psi}(Q)^N)$ as $n \to \infty$.

and

$$\Phi_n(u_n)\chi_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}}\phi'(T_\ell(u_n) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}) \to \Phi(u)\chi_{\{m \le u \le m+1\}}\phi'(T_\ell(u) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu})$$

strongly in $(E_{\psi}(Q)^N)$. Then by virtue of $\nabla T_{\ell}(u_n) \rightharpoonup \nabla T_{\ell}(u)$ weakly star in $(L_{\varphi}(Q)^N)$, and $\nabla u_n \chi_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} = \nabla T_{m+1}(u_n) \chi_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}}$ a. e. in Q, one has

$$\int_{Q} \Phi_{n}(u_{n}) \cdot (\nabla T_{\ell}(u_{n}) - \nabla \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}) \phi'(T_{\ell}(u_{n}) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}) \rho_{m}(u_{n}) \, dx dt$$

$$\rightarrow \int_{Q} \Phi(u) \nabla (\nabla T_{\ell}(u) - \nabla \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}) \phi'(T_{\ell}(u) - \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}) \rho_{m}(u) \, dx dt$$

as $n \to \infty$, and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} \Phi_n(u_n)\phi(T_\ell(u_n) - \omega_{i,j}^\mu)\nabla u_n\rho'_m(u_n) \, dxdt \\ &\to \int_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} \Phi(u)\phi(T_\ell(u_n) - \omega_{i,j}^\mu)\nabla u\rho'_m(u) \, dxdt \end{split}$$

as $n \to +\infty$. Thus, by using the modular convergence of $\omega_{i,j}^{\mu}$ as $j \to +\infty$ and letting μ tend to infinity, we get

$$\int_{Q} \Phi_n(u_n) \cdot (\nabla T_\ell(u_n) - \nabla \omega_{i,j}^{\mu}) \phi'(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n}) \rho_m(u_n) \, dx dt = \epsilon(n, j, \mu) \tag{46}$$

and

$$\int_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} \Phi_n(u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \phi(\theta_{i,j}^{\mu,n}) \rho'_m(u_n) \, dx dt = \epsilon(n,j,\mu). \tag{47}$$

Concerning the third term of the right-hand side of (43) we obtain that

$$\begin{split} &|\int_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \phi(\theta_{n,j}^{\mu,i}) \rho'_m(u_n) \, dxdt \,|\\ &\le \phi(2k) \int_{\{m \le |u_n| \le m+1\}} a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n \, dxdt. \end{split}$$

Then by (34) we deduce that

$$\left|\int_{Q} a(x,t,u_{n},\nabla u_{n}) \cdot \nabla u_{n} \phi(\theta_{n,j}^{\mu,i}) \rho_{m}'(u_{n}) \, dx dt\right| \leq \epsilon(n,\mu,m). \tag{48}$$

107

Using the same technics as in the proof of Proposition 5.6 in [4], we obtain

$$\int_{Q} \left(a(x,t,T_{k}(u_{n}),\nabla T_{k}(u_{n})) - a(x,t,T_{k}(u_{n}),\nabla T_{k}(u)\chi^{s}) \right) \\
\times \left(\nabla T_{k}(u_{n}) - \nabla T_{k}(u)\chi^{s} \right) dxdt \leq \varepsilon(n,j,\mu,i,s,m).$$
(49)

To pass to the limit in (49) as n j, m, s tend to infinity, we obtain

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{Q} \left(a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)\chi^s) \right) \\ \times \left(\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)\chi^s \right) dxdt = 0.$$
(50)

And thus, as in the elliptic case (see [18]), there exists a subsequence also denoted by \boldsymbol{u}_n such that

$$\nabla u_n \to \nabla u$$
 a.e. in Q . (51)

Then, for all k > 0, one has

$$a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))$$

weakly star in $(L_{\psi}(Q))^N$ for $\sigma(\Pi L_{\psi}, \Pi E_{\varphi}).$ (52)

Step 4: In this step we prove that u satisfies (15). According to (50), one can pass to the limit as n tends to $+\infty$ for fixed $m \ge 0$ to obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\left\{ m \le |u_n| \le m+1 \right\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \, dx dt$$
$$= \int_Q a(x, t, T_{m+1}(u), \nabla T_{m+1}(u)) \nabla T_{m+1}(u) \, dx dt$$
$$- \int_Q a(x, t, T_m(u), \nabla T_m(u)) \nabla T_m(u) \, dx dt$$
$$= \int_{\left\{ m \le |u| \le m+1 \right\}} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx dt.$$
(53)

Taking the limit as $m \to +\infty$ in (53) and using the estimate (34) show that u satisfies (15). Following the same technique as that used in [2], and by using (29), (50) and Vitali's theorem, we have

$$g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \to g(x, t, u, \nabla u)$$
 strongly in $L^1(Q)$. (54)

Step 5 : Passing to the limit. Let S be a function in $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that S' has a compact support. Let K be a positive real number such that $\operatorname{supp}(S') \subset [-K, K]$. Pointwise multiplication of the approximate equation (\mathcal{P}_n) by $S'(u_n)$ leads to

$$\frac{\partial S(u_n)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)S'(u_n)\right) + S''(u_n)a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n
- \operatorname{div}\left(S'(u_n)\Phi(u_n)\right) + S''(u_n)\Phi(u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n
+ g_n(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)S'(u_n)
= f_nS'(u_n).$$
(55)

In what follows we pass to the limit as n tends to $+\infty$ in each term of (55). • Since S is bounded and continuous, then the fact that $u_n \longrightarrow u$ a.e. in Q, implies that $S(u_n)$ converges to S(u) a.e. in Q and L^{∞} weakly-*. Consequently,

$$\frac{\partial S(u_n)}{\partial t} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial S(u)}{\partial t} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(Q) \text{ as } n \text{ tends to } +\infty.$$

• Since $\operatorname{supp}(S') \subset [-K, K]$, we have for $n \ge K$,

$$a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)S'(u_n) = a(x,t,T_K(u_n),\nabla T_K(u_n))S'(u_n) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q.$$

The pointwise convergence of u_n to u and (52) as n tends to ∞ and the bounded character of S' permit us to conclude that

$$a(x,t,T_K(u_n),\nabla T_K(u_n))S'(u_n) \longrightarrow a(x,t,T_K(u),\nabla T_K(u))S'(u) \text{ weakly star in } (L_{\psi}(Q))^N$$
(56)

as n tends to infinity.

• Regarding the 'energy' term, we have for $n \ge K$

$$S''(u_n)a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n = S''(u_n)a(x,t,T_K(u_n),\nabla T_K(u_n))\cdot\nabla T_K(u_n) \text{ a.e. in } Q.$$

The pointwise convergence of $S'(u_n) \longrightarrow S'(u)$ and (52) as n tends to $+\infty$ and the bounded character of S'' permit us to conclude that

$$S''(u_n)a(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n \rightharpoonup S''(u)a(x,t,T_K(u),\nabla T_K(u))\cdot\nabla T_K(u) \text{ weakly star in } L^1(Q).$$
(57)

Recall that $S''(u)a(x,t,T_K(u),\nabla T_K(u))\cdot\nabla T_K(u) = S''(u)a(x,t,u,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla u$ a.e. in Q. • Since $\operatorname{supp}(S') \subset [-K,K]$, we have

$$S'(u_n)\Phi_n(u_n) = S'(u_n)\Phi_n(T_K(u_n)) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q.$$
(58)

As a consequence of (11) and (29), it follows that

$$S'(u_n)\Phi_n(u_n) \to S'(u)\Phi(T_K(u)) \quad \text{a.e. in } (E_{\varphi}(Q))^N,$$
(59)

we have $\nabla S''(u_n)$ converges to $\nabla S''(u)$ weakly in $(L_{\varphi}(Q))^N$ as n tends to $+\infty$, while $\Phi_n(T_K(u_n))$ is uniformly bounded with respect to n and converges a. e. in Q to $\Phi(T_K(u))$ as n tends to $+\infty$. Therefore

$$S''(u_n)\Phi_n(u_n)\nabla u_n \rightharpoonup S''(u)\Phi(u)\nabla u \quad \text{weakly in } L_{\varphi}(Q), \tag{60}$$

• Since $supp S' \subset [-K, K]$ and from (54), we have

$$f'(u_n)g_n(x,t,u_n,\nabla u_n) \longrightarrow g(x,t,u,\nabla u)S'(u)$$
 strongly in $L^1(Q)$. (61)

• Due to $f_n \longrightarrow f$ in $L^1(Q)$ and the fact that $u_n \longrightarrow u$ a.e. in Q, we have

$$S'(u_n)f_n \longrightarrow S'(u)f$$
 strongly in $L^1(Q)$. (62)

As a consequence of the above convergence results, we are in a position to pass to the limit as n tends to $+\infty$ in equation (55) and to conclude that

$$\frac{\partial S(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(a(x,t,u,\nabla u)S'(u)\right) + S''(u)a(x,t,u,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla u - \operatorname{div}\left(S'(u)\Phi(u)\right) + S''(u)\Phi(u)\cdot\nabla u + g(x,t,u,\nabla u)S'(u) = fS'(u).$$
(63)

It remains to show that S(u) satisfies the initial condition.

To this end, firstly note that, S being bounded, $S(u_n)$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(Q)$. Secondly, (55) and the above considerations on the behavior of the terms of this equation show that $\frac{\partial S(u_n)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q) + V^*$. As a consequence, an Aubin's type lemma (see, e.g, [17]) implies that $S(u_n)$ lies in a compact set of $C^0([0,T], L^1(\Omega))$. It follows that, on the one hand, $S(u_n)(t=0) = S(u_{0n})$ converges to S(u)(t=0) strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, the smoothness of S implies that

$$S(u)(t=0) = S(u_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

As a conclusion of step 1 to step 6, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Example 3.1 Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^N and T > 0, we denote by $Q = \Omega \times [0, T]$, and let φ and ψ be two complementary Musielak functions. Moreover, we assume that $\varphi(x, t)$ decreases with respect to one of coordinates of x (for example, $\varphi(x, t) = |t|^{p(x)} \log(1 + t^3)$, $p(x) = e^{(-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_N^2)}$. We set

$$a(x,t,s,\zeta) = (3 + \cos^2(\varphi(x,s)))\psi_x^{-1}(\varphi(x,|\zeta|))\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|},$$

$$g(x,t,s,\zeta) = \frac{\varphi(x,|\zeta|)}{1+s^2}, \ \Phi(s) = (|s|^{r_1-1}s,...,|s|^{r_N-1}s), \quad 1 \le r_1,...,R_N < \infty.$$

It is easy to show that $a(x, t, s, \zeta)$ is the Caratheodory function satisfying the growth condition (6), the coercivity (8) and the monotonicity condition, while the Caratheodory function $g(x, t, s, \zeta)$ satisfies the condition (9) and (10), Finally, the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\{(x,t) \in Q; m \le |u(x,t)| \le m+1\}} a(x,t,u,\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u & dxdt = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(a(x,t,u,\nabla u)S'(u)\right) + S''(u)a(x,t,u,\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(S'(u)\Phi(u)\right) + S''(u)\Phi(u) \cdot \nabla u + g(x,t,u,\nabla u)S'(u) = fS'(u), \\ S(u)(t=0) = S(u_0) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \text{for every function } S \text{ in } W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and such that } S' \text{ has a compact support in } \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

$$(64)$$

has at least one renormalised solution for any $f \in L^1(Q)$.

References

- Aharouch, L., Bennouna, J. and Touzani, A. Existence of renormalized solutions of some elliptic problems in Orlicz spaces. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 22 (1) (2009) 91–110.
- [2] Ahmed Oubeid, M. L., Benkirane, A. and Sidi El Vally, M. Strongly nonlinear parabolic problems in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.* 33 (1) (2015) 191–223.
- [3] Akdim, Y., Bennouna, J., Mekkour, M. and Redwane, H., Parabolic Equations with Measure Data and Three Unbounded Nonlinearities in Weighted Sobolev Spaces. Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory 15 (2) (2015) 107–126.
- [4] Azroul, E., Redwane, H. and Rhoudaf, M. Existence of a renormalized solution for a class of nonlinear parabolic equations in Orlicz spaces. *Port. Math.* **66** (1) (2009) 29–63.
- [5] Bénilan, P., Boccardo, L., Gallouet, T., Gariepy, R., Pierre, M. and Vazquez, J.L. An L¹-theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa 22 (1995) 241–273.
- [6] Benkirane, A. and Bennouna, J. Existence of solution for nonlinear elliptic degenrate equations. Nonlinear Analysis 54 (2003) 9–37.
- [7] Benkirane, A., and Sidi El Vally, M. (Ould Mohamedhen Val). Some approximation properties in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Thai. J. Math.* **10** (2012) 371–381.
- [8] Blanchard, D., and Murat, F. Renormalized solutions of nonlinear parabolic with L^1 data: existence and uniqueness. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.*, A **127** (1997) 1137–1152.
- [9] Blanchard, D., Murat, F., and Redwane, H. Existence and uniqueness of renormalized solution for fairly general class of non linear parabolic problems. J. Differ. Equ. 177 (2001) 331–374.
- [10] Boccardo, L. and Gallouet, T. On some nonlinear elliptic equations with right-hand side measures. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 17 (1992) 641–655.
- [11] Boccardo, L., Giachetti, D., Diaz, J.I. and Murat, F. Existence and regularity of renormalized solutions for some elliptic problems involving derivation of nonlinear terms. J. Differ. Equ. 106 (1993) 215–237.
- [12] DiPerna, R.J. and Lions, P.L. On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. Ann. Math. 130 (1989) 321–366.
- [13] Lions, P.L. Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Incompressible Models. Oxford Univ. Press, 1996.
- [14] Musielak, J. Modular spaces and Orlicz spaces. Lecture Notes in Math. (1983) 10-34.
- [15] Porretta, A. Existence results for strongly nonlinear parabolic equations via strong convergence of truncations. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. IV (177) (1999) 143–172.
- [16] Serrin, J. Pathological solution of elliptic differential equations. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 18 (1964) 385-387.
- [17] Simon, J. Compact sets in the space $L^{p}(0,T,B)$, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. 146 (1987) 65–96.
- [18] Talha. A., Benkirane, A. Strongly nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in Musielak-Orlicz spaces, *Monatsh. Math.* 184 (2017) 1–32.
- [19] Talha, A., Benkirane, A. and Elemine Vall, M.S.B. Entropy solutions for nonlinear parabolic inequalities involving measure data in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.* 36 (2) (2018) 199–230.